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Gwen Malone Stenography Services certify the following to be a true and accurate transcript of the stenographic notes in the above-named action.

THE HEARING COMMENCED ON MONDAY, 5TH DAY OF
FEBRUARY, 2018 AS FOLLOWS:

CATHAOIRLEACH: Good afternoon, Members, you're al1 very welcome here. I'd like to start with votes of sympathy please.
MS. GALLAGHER: Cathaoirleach, the death of Ms. Elizabeth Wolohan, mother of our friend and colleague, Larry wolohan, and also an aunt of Cl1r. Sylvester Bourke. For George Cardiff, father-on-law of our colleague, Barbara Mason. For Margaret Moore, sister of our colleague.
Pauline Moore. And for Robert Jordan, a former employee of wicklow County Council and our colleague and friend.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Cullen.
CLLR. TOMMY CULLEN: Chairman, Mrs. Hayes of Kiltegan was buried yesterday. I'd like to pass a vote of sympathy to her. Her husband worked on the Council for many years.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I'd like to second that vote for Mrs. Hayes. C11r. Blake.
CLLR. BLAKE: Could I add to that, you said Robert Jordan there, his wife also died during the week, a week later. And to Pauline Cleary, the wife of Joe death of his mother, Mary Healy. Tom, there beside you would know her well. I think he was the first CEO of what is wicklow Partnership today. Thank you.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: To the Murray family on the death of Ronan Murray who wasn't employed by the Council but done huge amount of work for the Council in Bray, Chairman.

CATHAOIRLEACH: We'11 have a minute's silence then, please.
[ONE MINUTE'S SILENCE WAS OBSERVED]

CATHAOIRLEACH: I have a couple of proposals for Suspension of Standing Orders so I'11 take them. Cl1r. Behan.

CLLR. BEHAN: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I want to propose a Suspension of Standing Orders to discuss the situation regarding Ravenswell and Coláiste Raithín in Bray and the fact that schools in both cases are almost completed and yet they can't get access to them. So if we could discuss it for a couple of minutes. I have a proposal at the time then of what we might do about it. CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed? Cllr. Whitmore. CLLR. WHITMORE: Greystones Municipal District has a motion on this.

CLLR. LAWLESS: I have a Suspension of Standing Orders on the same thing so that is me covered.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that you covered, Nicola?
CLLR. LAWLESS: That's me covered, yeah. Thank you, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Thornhil1.

CLLR. THORNHILL: Go raibh maith agat, Cathaoirleach. I would just like a Suspension of Standing Orders in relation to a matter but I've just been reminded that maybe this matter could be brought up under number 5 to consider the Chief Executive's Monthly Management Report. It relates to the Chinese New Year.

CATHAOIRLEACH: If you'd like to bring it up at that point then that's fine.
CLLR. THORNHILL: I'11 bring it up at number 5 so. Thank you. Go raibh maith agat.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Members, I'11 just revert to the agenda now. Just one item, if the Members can agree this. Item 3 to receive the Annual Report from the wicklow County Council Audit Committee. Just for logistical reasons I would ask could that item be brought to the top of the agenda to item number 1 because $I$ have a number of people here who have to be elsewhere and they're here waiting to speak about that item. Is that agreed?
MS. GALLAGHER: Can I get a proposer and seconded by C11r. Ryan.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Without further ado then, Tom, you're very welcome, and Noel.
MR. GREGAN: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. Cathaoirleach, Chief Executive, Council officials, Members of the press and ladies and gentlemen, thank you, Councillors, for the opportunity to address the Council here today on the work of the Wicklow County Council Audit Committee for the 12 -month period up to 31st December
2017.

As you are aware, the scale, complexity and the diversity of Local Government businesses as well as a need for transparency and accountability in Local Authorities serves to highlight the importance of regularly reviewing the effectiveness of the internal financial and operating controls that are in place.

The wicklow County Council Audit Committee was initially established in 2007 and the Members are, Mr. Noe1 Geraghty, Vice-Chairman; Ms. Mary Savage, Cathaoirleach, Mr. Edward Timmons; Cllr. Gerry Walsh; and, myself, Tom Gregan.

The Committee is supported and ably assisted by Mr. Brian Gleeson, Head of Finance; Mr. Derek Keyes; and Mr. Tom Murphy and meets on a formal basis five or six times per year.

In addition to the following meetings, the Audit Committee Members also attend other meetings in relation to their busy programme of work. The role of the Audit Committee is to advise the Council on financial reporting processes, internal controls, risk 14:08 management and audit matters.

The functions of the Audit Committee include:

To foster the development of best practice in the performance by the Local Authority of its Internal Audit function;

To review the financial and budgetary reporting practices and procedures within the Local Authority;

To review any audit financial statements, auditor's report or auditor's special report in relation to the Local Authority and asses any action taken within the Authority by its Chief Executive in response to such a statement or report and report its finding to the Authority;

To review systems they have operated by the Local Authority for the management of risk;

To assess and promote efficiency and value for money with respect to the Local Authority performance of its functions;

To review the findings and recommendations of the National Oversight and Audit Commission and the response of the Chief Executive to these and to take further action as appropriate.

Internal Audit Assignments for 2017
In early 2016 Capital Business Services Limited were engaged by wicklow County Council to support the staff
of the Internal Audit Unit. This arrangement ceased in the summer of 2017 due to the withdrawal of Capita from the market. The Committee wishes to record its appreciation for the professional expertise brought to the process by Capita and to acknowledge the benefit of the Audit Committee and the Council by their input during their engagement.

All audits are signed on an "Assurance Rating" which is an objective assessment of control environment operating in the area under review. Assurance ratings and their significance are categorised under four headings as follows:

1. Substantial - There is a robust system of risk management, control and governance which should ensure that objectives are fully achieved.
2. Satisfactory - There is an adequate and effective system of governance, risk management and control. Any 14:11 residual risk should not significantly impact on the achievement of objectives.
3. Limited - This is an inadequate and/or an ineffective system of governance, risk management and control in place.
4. Unacceptable - The system of governance, risk management and control has failed or there is a real
and substantial risk that the system will fail to meet its objectives.

The following audits were undertaken during the course of 2017:

1. Review of Community Grants Scheme The purpose of this review was to examine and record and evaluate the systemless of internal control and to make recommendations where this is deemed necessary and appropriate. The review addressed risks related to the completeness, accuracy, recording and regularity of the Council's expenditure under the scheme.

The overall assurance classification rating for this review was "Limited". Eight recommendations were made in the report, all of which were promptly implemented and managed.
2. Information And Communications Technology/Business

Continuity
The objective of this audit is to review the following control objectives:

To ensure that roles and responsibilities within the ICT sections are clearly allocated and appropriately resourced;

To ensure that the technical systems and physical
environment are fit for purpose;

To ensure that the IT security is robust in preventing unauthorised access to systems and data;

To ensure that systems and data are stored effectively and appropriately backed up;

To ensure adequate contingency arrangements for disaster recovery and business continuity.

The overall assurance classification rating of the review was "Limited". Thirteen recommendations were made in the report. Some of the recommendations have been implemented. It is noted that additional staff resources have been assigned to the Department in recent months which will contribute further to achieving the improvements required.
3. Motor Tax

The purpose of the review was to examine, record and evaluate the systems of internal control established by the Council's management in the Motor Tax offices and to make recommendations for improvement where this is deemed necessary or desirable.

The fieldwork visits focused on assessing the controls in place in respect of security of cash and the stocks of vehicles licence discs, receipts and other official
stationary held. The objective of the report was to ensure that the Council has implemented a robust and effective financial control framework in accordance with best practice.

The overall assurance classification rating of this review was "Satisfactory". The Motor Tax Offices operate within a strong controlled environment. The motor tax system imposes strict protocols on the manner in which stock is issued and dictates the manner in which transactions are carried out. Appropriate daily lodgement procedures and reconciliations are in operation. Professional cash in transit services provide secure transfer of lodgement amounts.

The staff of all three offices are aware of the importance of their tasks and are very courteous and experienced in dealing with the members of the public. There is acute awareness around the security of cash and the physical security measures reflect this.

## 4. Risk Management Process

The scope of this report was to review the adequacy of the risk assessment process. To consider the effectiveness and completeness of the operational, assess the embeddedness of enterprise wide risk management and to identify if the responsibilities for risk profiling, risk strategy, risk policy and risk
supervision are clearly defined and understood.

The overall assurance classification rating of this review was "Limited". Four recommendations were made. Management have agreed with the recommendations and timelines for their implementation throughout 2018 have been set out.
5. Report to the National Oversight and Audit Commission - Public Spending Code Quality Assurance Report
Internal Audit, in collaboration with the Procurement Section, completed a quality assurance report as part of Wicklow County Council's compliance with the Public spending code (PSC).

The Public Spending Code requires that a number of projects are selected to be reviewed more intensively. The report submitted included "in-depth checks" on the following areas.

## (a) Rental Accommodation Scheme

This review determined that the operation of the Rental Accommodation Scheme in Wicklow County Council was being administered efficiently and effectively and in accordance with the tenets of the Public Spending Code. Appropriate procedures governing the scheme are in place covering areas such as applications and assessments. The financial procedures in effect offer
substantially assurance that receipts and payments and the recoupment process are being correctly administered. Arrears cases can be difficult to manage and the importance of early intervention cannot be overstated.
(b) River Dargle Flood Defence Scheme The River Dargle Flood Defence Scheme is a key infrastructural project for the Town of Bray. The scheme is being implemented and governed in accordance with the tenets of the Public Spending Code.

Appropriate appraisal of the scheme was conducted as evidenced by the Environmental Impact Statement. In decision, the cost benefit analysis provides an economic assessment of the costs and benefits of the scheme and in so doing high1ights the economic justification for the scheme. Ongoing and contemporaneous monitoring and evaluation of the scheme's implementation is met through the
comprehensive Month7y Management Reports that are prepared.

The appropriate documentation and data is available for any future evaluation of the scheme.

The future efficacy of the scheme will be determined over time. Appropriate monitoring and empirical methods should be employed to gather and evaluate the
data and thus the contribution of the scheme. A post project review should take place in a reasonable timeframe as per the public Spending code requirement, to assess whether the scheme objectives have been met.

The processes and procedures employed on the scheme satisfy the requirements set out for the management of public expenditure. Accordingly, this review concludes that the manner in which the scheme is being implemented provides substantial assurance that there is compliance with the Public Spending Code.

## Annual Audit Plan

The Annual Audit Plan sets out the proposed assignments for the coming year. The plan has been finalised following consultation with the Chief Executive, Directors of Service/Heads of Function and the list of assignments reflects the ongoing objectives of achieving efficiencies in our processes, adding value, assessing compliance and contributing towards the organisations strategic objectives. Progress on these assignments will be reported to the Council in due course. A tender process is underway at time of writing with a view to engaging a suitable service provider to assist with the delivery of the 2018 Internal Audit programme.

Meeting with the Local Government Auditor we had the pleasure of meeting with the Government

Auditor, Mr. Maurice O'Neil1, in our December meeting.

The members were circulated with a copy of the Statutory Audit Report 2016 from the Local Government Auditor in advance of the meeting. The Local Government Auditor outlined the main elements of the Statutory Audit Report 2016 to the Committee.

Following a general discussion on the matter, the Audit Committee agreed to give the Statutory Audit Report further consideration at a meeting that took place last month. In this regard, I wish to confirm that the report's contents, management's responses and follow-up actions will inform the Audit Committee's work programme in the year ahead.

## Procurement

The Members will be aware that good procurement practices are crucial from a transparency and efficiency perspective. In this regard the Audit Committee has been a strong advocate for continual improvements to the Council's procurement practices and procedures.

At our December meeting, Ms. Fiona Flynn, Procurement Officer, made a presentation to the Audit Committee on this issue and we note and welcome the significant progress made by the Council in this area. The Audit Committee will of course continue to high1ight the
importance of good procurement practices.

Again, I would like to thank Ms. Flynn for the great work she is doing.

Training Day
Regulation 5 of the Local Government (Audit Committee) Regulations 2014, requires that the training needs of the Audit Committee are reviewed on an annual basis and reported to the Local Authority. I can advise the Members that a training day was arranged in October in collaboration with the Institute of Public
Administration. The key themes addressed were:

- the responsibility of Audit Committees;
- developing key relationships;
- Audit Committee evaluation;
- risk management.

Conclusion
We would also like to thank you, the Members of wicklow County Council, for your ongoing support and assistance. we would like to acknowledge the help and advice that we received from the former chief Executive, Mr. Brian Doyle, as well as from the new Chief Executive, Mr. Frank Curran.

We would also like to thank you the outgoing Acting Head of Finance, Ms. Debbie Crombie for all her advice
and assistance during her tenure.

We would like to place on record our thanks to the Internal Audit Unit for their support and assistance over the last 12 months, which are a great help to our Committee.

In addition, we would like to thank you Mr. Maurice O'Neill, Local Government Auditor, for all his advice and assistance and I do believe that Mr. O'Neill has now retired and I wish him well for the future, himself and his family.

We would like to assure the Council that the Audit Committee will continue to focus on important matters such as value for money, promoting good accounting practices and ensuring better and more informed decision-making.

Finally, I would like to take this opportunity, on behalf of my colleagues on the Audit Committee to wish our new Chief Executive, Mr. Frank Curran and indeed the new Head of Finance, Mr. Brian Gleeson, every success for the future. we look forward to working with you both in the year ahead. Go raibh maith agat,

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Walsh.
CLLR. WALSH: Thank you, Tom, for that presentation. As one who joined this Committee only last year, I do
see the importance of the work the Committee carries out in relation to the effectiveness of the financial operations and controls of the Council. Just one question on the presentation there, Tom, you might be able to update us on. Capita Businesses Services Limited whose contract I think expired there in July of last year, what's the situation regarding a replacement for them? They carried out some very effective and valuable work on behalf of the Audit Committee so I think it's important that they be replaced as a matter of urgency.

MR. GREGAN: Brian, yes.
mR. GLEeson: Cllr. Walsh, just to fill you in on that. we have gone to tender to look for a replacement and the closing date for the tender is actually 12 th February this year. So hopefully we'11 have a new service provider in place very shortly. Thank you. CLLR. WALSH: Thank you. CATHAOIRLEACH: I'd just like to briefly say about the Audit Committee, it's a superb Committee but just a couple notes on it and Gerry has alluded to it there. The Audit Committee is really only as good as the resources is gets and I've seen that elsewhere in other organisations. It's crucial that the Audit Committee gets the resources, the outside resources to do the audits because we're helpless if we look for audit reports to be done in different areas and they're not done. So I'd urge Management and the Council going forward that we ensure -- to date we've had great
support and good resources but I think it's really important that going forward those resources are maintained and the importance of the work they do is recognised.

The other thing I'd also say to all the Directors of the Council is, you see NOAC referred to there and they do a lot of very good benchmarking reports comparing Wicklow to counties, right across all the Local Authorities in the country, in terms of expenditure and 14:26 stuff like that, particularly on the area of roads. I'd ask that Management of this organisation looks at that and sees, you know, where wicklow is benefitting in somewhere and in other areas it's not benefitting. Something it can use that tool that NOAC provide to identify areas where wicklow could improve the allocation of resources from Central Government. Thank you.

Cl1r. Behan.
CLLR. BEHAN: Cathaoirleach, I'd like to thank the Members of the Audit Committee and obviously the members of the staff, the finance staff. In relation to the review of the Community Grant Scheme and the IT business continuity, there are a number of recommendations made in both cases. I'd like a comment from the Chief Executive about those recommendations. Is he aware of them? Can he summarise them? Or if -MS. SAVAGE: It's in the report, Councillor.

CLLR. BEHAN: If necessary, can he come back to us at some stage with his view as to what he sees as the essential things that need to be done.
MR. CURRAN: We have the reports, the Internal Audit reports, $I$ don't have them in front of me now but we'11 certainly address a lot of the issues, in terms of the Community Grant Scheme, we're looking at things like post project review, making available the minutes of meetings, having the selection criteria tied down. A lot of that stuff we've done already that we've taken those on board and carried out that.

In relation to ICT, in terms of business continuity security, we've taken on extra staff now in order to follow through on a lot of those. I can assure you that each and every item that was raised in the audit has been followed through and we're working on that. I can give you more details. I don't have them in front of me now but I can assure you we're working on each an every one of them.
CLLR. BEHAN: If you could at some stage I would appreciate it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Tommy Cullen.
CLLR. TOMMY CULLEN: Chairman, I'd like to concur with C11r. Behan in regards to the review of the Community
Grant Scheme. It is something I raised this year at the Estimates and I'd like to see a lot more rigorous and stringent examination of the Grant Scheme and to see an invoice trail with regards to the expenditure of
that. I think it's an issue that has been highlighted and I think I'd like, as Cllr. Behan said, we do get an update on that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I think generally all money that's allocated out of this Council, be it Christmas light funds or whatever, all over the place, we need to ensure that documentation and backup is provided so that are funds that are allocated from this Council end up where they're supposed to end up.
MR. CURRAN: That was one of the points that was raised 14:29 and they have put procedures in place to get that. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Blake.
CLLR. BLAKE: Just in relation to the Chief executive's Monthly Report, I would just ask would the Audit Committee be prepared to make a comment as regards the collection of rates, rent and loan collections? They're running at from $66 \%$ to $95 \%$. Is it appropriate for them to make a comment on that? Thanks, Chairman. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Thornhill.
CLLR. THORNHILL: Cathaoirleach, go raibh maith agat. Cathaoirleach, may I first of all say to all the Audit Team well done because it's a very important job, you know, to keep an eye on finances. But one thing -- I just spotted something here in the report and maybe it's a bit ambiguous but you might be able to clarify and maybe let us know about it. Arrears cases can be difficult to manage and the importance of early intervention cannot be overstated. I would like an elaboration on that, please. Go raibh maith agat.

CATHAOIRLEACH: I think I can maybe answer that one. I suppose like in any organisation or any business the earlier you collect a debt or the earlier you go to pursue the debt, the easier it is to collect. By not pursuing it early you're almost giving a hidden message 14:31 to the person who owes the money that you don't really want the money that bad7y. So we need to be more proactive as regards collecting debts.
CLLR. THORNHILL: Go raibh maith agat.
MR. GLEESON: Just in response to C11r. Blake's point. 14:31
I think you're referring to page 23 on the Chief Executive's Report, was it, the stats there regarding 66\%?

CLLR. BLAKE: Yeah.
MR. GLEESON: If you look at the footnotes there, Councillor, that $66 \%$ collection rate excludes vacant property adjustments and specific doubtful arrears. We just finalised those so that should actually bring in the collection rate up to $81 \%$, which exceeds the target that was set for the year of $80 \%$.

CLLR. BLAKE: It's not actually up-to-date at all then? MR. GLEESON: No, the write-offs and the vacancy write-offs are done at the end of the year so that was just pure cash collection so it's adjusted at the end of the year when the write-offs are done and the doubtful arrears are identified. So that brings it up in line with the target. It actually exceeds the target set.

CLLR. BLAKE: The total rate collection would still
only be 66\%.
MR. GLEESON: Of actual money but for the collection rate, the way it's set by the Department it actually takes into account vacancies and doubtful arrears so that's kind of a set criteria across the board for all Local Authorities.

CLLR. BLAKE: Housing loans then at $75 \%$, is that up-to-date as well then?
MR. GLEESON: That would be up-to-date and it's in line with previous years.

CLLR. BLAKE: Okay.
CATHAOIRLEACH: That concludes the Audit Report. Thank you very much.

Originally Item 1 on the agenda: To consider report in 14:33 accordance with Part VIII of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001-2006 in respect of a proposed development of 47 housing units at Delany Park, Meadowvale, Arklow, County wicklow. MR. LANE: Just a small presentation. Because of the
number of observations we've a small presentation to detail the changes. The important thing here was that a Part VIII was done by the Arklow Town Council in 2005. This is a change to modern design and to reflect the current demand patterns. Ciaran will explain the rationale for the changes. It is only changes within the design and the increased number of units, disability-friendly units, Ciaran will explain those, together with the demand patterns.

MR. C. O'BRIEN: Good afternoon. This is our site here in Arklow. This is the main Wexford Road. This is the Emoclew Road. This is the overall size of the site of 1.9 hectares. This shows the additional site offices.

This is a stamped copy of the approved 2005 application for 64 units and Noonans would have developed and built all the rest of the site. You can see that most of the houses are three-bedroom houses and there is provision for four disabled person bungalows in 2005. You can see also the Drive here, when it's finished, it was never meant to be a cul-de-sac and can you see here there is a very unused sort of linear narrow space at the end which is a very unsatisfactory public open space.

Just to follow up on a bit more detail. There is about ten slides so if you bear with me.

This is the 64 units. The 17 here, these are on site already. They are six and eleven two and three-bedroom units. What we did on the site is, in line with the Department of the Environment (Disabled) Guidelines they favour clusters of terraced units, particularly bunches of five together. So you get a three-bedroom, a two-bedroom, a three-bedroom, two-bedroom, a three-bedroom. So the mix here at the moment, the mix here is we have 19 two-bedroom houses. These are all in red. There's four in number three-bedroom houses,
these are on the end. Then there's two in number four-bedroom house, these are these. Then there's 20 in number of one-bedroom. Essentially just to clarify, the difference this and the other Part VIII is, public open space has been increased substantially so this will be a linear link park. Because the scheme has been named Delany Park we will introduce some sort of landscaped feature in tribute to Ronnie Delany as well. so the link just opens up the pedestrian exit here on to the Emoclew Road and on to the wexford Road.

The houses have been set out in short terraces in accordance with the Department of the Environment requirements. This assists with energy conservation and optimises the amount of space that can be provided on the site. Okay.

This is an aerial view. So the architecture matches the existing. It gives density of 31 units per hectare so we are in accordance with the current guidelines. So the 64 units, what we're talking about today is 47, Phase 2 and 3, 26 units and 21 units.

The design and types of the houses reflects wicklow County Council Housing Strategy which, in turn, is based on the social need in the area. The houses are predominantly two-storey with single-storey special needs houses. This is on the end here to match the single-storey houses on the Drive.

The houses are to be of a vernacular design with amended pictures to match the existing houses on the estate.

This is just a very quick sample of the Guidelines. I mean what we're doing today is a very small drop in the water for the needs in Arklow. we're providing 20 in number of one-bedroom houses and you can see how many is needed here. The same for -- we're providing 21 in number two-bedroom units; Arklow needs 322.

This is a graphic imitation of what the houses will look like in clusters. So when people think of terraced houses they think of traditional terraced houses but essentially they blend quite well together on the footprints so you get good passive surveillance on the street and on the end we have a special type house which is bit unique, it's a four-bedroom house on the end which is -- the fourth bedroom is designed for you're visited by a carer or a disabled person living downstairs. This is adaptable, this house, so there's two of those units there. You can see how it fits in here. Instead of doing these houses now and then in ten years' time doing DPG grants for a disabled unit we are planning ahead already.

So you can see the render finishes match the existing houses. All the houses have double-glazed windows. These here are fire and escape rescue windows so in the
event of a fire in the children's bedroom, you can get on to the roof here and wait to be rescued.

This is the floor plans of the main houses. These are the three-bedroom and these are the two-bedroom houses and this gives you the idea of the setback of the junction between one and two in context. You can see how the existing Meadowvale houses, and a lot of houses were built in the last ten years before that, you get these blank elevations when you're driving in and around the sites so it is very, very off putting. Now everything is built in context so this space here becomes, the windows in this elevation here on the plan so you can always have parents overseeing children at play.

So the houses here, you can see these little circles, these means all the houses, even the two-bedrooms have been designed for wheelchair transfer. Bathrooms, again the toilets downstairs are accessible well. We had applied for Lifetime Capital Homes, that was shot down by the Department of the Environment. But essentially the three-bedroom houses themselves, they have a standard bathroom upstairs with a shower, I'11 go on to the next one.

These are the end houses here so again this is an aerial view from the Drive so you can see the road comes around and again you get this passive
surveillance on to the street.

There's a huge need for one-bedroom houses and units in Arklow. This is something that wouldn't have been catered for in the original Part VIII application. So you can see apartments have been designed and detailed to look like surrounding houses. So this doesn't look like an apartment, it looks like a house. It will blend in with them. We will use soil, concrete floors and duct all the plumbing work so the acoustics and sound attenuation between the tenants upstairs and downstairs won't be a problem.

There's quite a lot of these houses here. The ground floor is actually fully accessible so a disabled person can live here. The bathroom itself is fully accessible, you know, access out to the back of the terrace and all the entrances are ramped up for fully accessible.

We have also decided to do, and in fairness to the Department of the Environment they're very supportive of us, the upstairs unit is ambulant disabled so you get these easy steps, only six inches high, so even if somebody had a hip replacement they'd be able to get up 14:40 the stairs because they're only quite smal1. Because of the need of, you know, 322 people want one bedroom, this is 20 units built in a small cluster to look like houses. You can see it in its context here, you look
at that there. This is you standing in a landscaped area. You wouldn't know that was an apartment, it looks like a house.

This is our special needs bungalow on the end. Again, the height of the house here matches the single-storey dwellings on the Drive and this is a side section showing these are the normal housing terraces, it steps down to here along, the linear link park and this is the Wexford Road. So these houses, there's one house here and house there. Each of these houses has two fully accessible bathrooms, very, very large bathrooms, fully adaptable bedrooms where you can have two single people or one double person, or one person living with a carer or a family member disabled.

So this is, I don't like using "state of the art" as an architect but this is as good as it gets regarding accessibility of disabled persons' housing. So there's these two on the end, type $E$.

The final house is a house on the end where I showed you where this is how the bedroom was built downstairs. So you have three bedrooms upstairs and one bedroom downstairs, so the provision is you would build a bathroom at the same time.

So I would just like to say as well in summary that I'd like to thank Shelly Barrett, my fellow architect for
assisting on this, but particularly I'd like to thank Joe Lane and Brid Kilkenny for employing architects because I know some of the surrounding counties, even where we are, don't have architects, don't see the need to use architects and I would hope now with this scheme, hopefully getting a favourable Part VIII it will lead to other schemes. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do I have a proposer? C11r. Murphy. CLLR. MURPHY: Can I just have a comment. I'm delighted with the design, absolutely, and it's very inclusive and accessible to all which I think the motto is 'getting there eventually' but I just have a few words to say.

First of all, I'd just like to say I made it clear from 14:43 the start in my relationship with any of the residents that came to me that $I$ will be voting for this housing project but, and I say but, I would like some questions answered.

As we sit here in the Chamber we've another example, well it's not really an example it's another story of lack of communication or slow to communicate with concerned residents from wicklow County Council, just like we heard last month from a housing project in Greystones. And, yes, we're back here again this month with a similar situation only this time it's Arklow's turn and, again, who will it be next month?

On 24th November 1ast Arklow Municipal District Cathaoirleach, C11r. Annesley, facilitated a meeting with representatives from Meadowvale residents and Housing officials from wicklow County Council. From that meeting there was a request from the residents looking for documents which they felt necessary. I'm just giving you two examples of what was requested, traffic assessment and an impact statement and some others.

At our monthly December meeting I asked if there was a report on that meeting that took place in November two weeks previous? During that meeting I received an e-mail from Mr. Philip Healy, one of the representatives from the Residents' Committee, saying that they had not received some documents that they had looked for. So then at that meeting I asked could another meeting be arranged in the New Year between the Housing officials and our full County Councillors. That meeting was agreed. A date was set for Wednesday, 14:45 January 10th, before our month1y meeting and this was agreed by all our six Councillors. This date was to be forwarded to wicklow County Council officials with a request to attend.

I felt this meeting would clear some issues and if any solutions could be put but when that meeting started on 10th January we were informed that no Housing officials were attending and I felt embarrassed as I did not
realise this was happening until the start of the meeting. And I would ask I would like to ask why? In my opinion there was ample time given to the officials.

My next question is I'd like it clarified for me, it came back to us that it was stated at that meeting in November, which was recorded, what institution housing is being referred to and I hope I will get the right answer from that because I would like to be very clear to all of us here today.

The point I would like to ask and I have it recorded from the answer here I received, with regards to new development which is on the cards re Tallon's Farm at Emoclew Road, will planning permission be refused due to site loss and safety issues which has been highlighted? I'm sure there is no different rules for social and private housing schemes.

I am aware of a meeting with our local engineer
Jack Kelly and Declan Murnane which took place some weeks ago and I want to ask was all the options looked at this? The whole issue of this is an access road. And can another meeting with resident representatives be held so that all documents can be requested to be

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Fitzgerald.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: Just in 2007 the last social houses were built in Arklow and I've been on the Council now
almost 20 years. Over the 1ast 12 years our Housing List has been increased substantially and many people have languished on the Housing List for up to 14 years. In all we have 833 on the Housing List at the moment and I can make it quite clear, I will not vote against social housing.

Housing needs have been met by RAS, HAP, Rent Allowance, Private Renting Voluntary Bodies and in many cases by people returning to the family home and in some cases by purchase by the Local Authority. The commencement of the building of 17 houses in Delany Park is an exciting time for those on the Housing List and for those who have soldiered over so many years to get social housing in Arklow.

A slight error, in my opinion, was made in 2005 when 1and was accepted instead of housing. We can't do anything about that. The 64 houses should have been integrated into the estate, why I don't know but I can hazard a guess: because they were social houses. I would imagine.

There is a proposal that an exit should come on to the Emoclew Road. Can I just give people who don't know the Emoclew Road, there's one school; a graveyard; the Croghan Industrial Estate, 300 people working in it; three sports clubs; a hardware store. As I say we have eight exits on to that road. That was talked about in

2005 but it was shot down. I believe the residents have a valid reason in Meadowvale Drive. They have concerns about the amount of traffic that would be coming out of the exits/entrances, the traffic that would be coming from within Meadowvale itself. So I'm proposing that we on7y have one exit/entry into Delany Park, the exit/entry on the east side coming into the Drive. Now the exit/entry on the west side into Meadowvale Avenue should be pedestrian only and emergency vehicles can enter the site if need be.

The Emoclew Road is not an option. I live very near that and it's like a car park in the morning. It's totally unsustainable that we should think of putting an exit or entry on to the Emoclew Road. I'm not an engineer, some others claim to be, but there with people saying we should go on to the Wexford Road. I don't believe that's an option either. When the time comes here today I will have no problem in proposing that this be accepted, the Part VIII and that I'm proposing that, and maybe the Council Officials will pass some comment on it if it's possible to do that. I think it's possible, having been up there yesterday and Saturday, to change -- just we don't need, for instance, all the traffic would be coming through
Delany Park, through the Drive, all that would be coming through then is the 64 houses; 17 built next year. This will continue up to 2021 and I think it's a reasonable -- now someone has said to me about heavy
goods vehicles going in to Delany Park through the Drive. The houses will be heated by natural gas for a start. The only trucks that should be going in is the refuse trucks, and they have to go up the Drive anyway. I think it's quite possible that we could change that slightly to just have one exit/entrance and that is what I will be proposing at the time when the discussion finishes.

Lastly, I want to thank you Joe Lane, I know I have his 14:51 ear bent over since he became Director of Housing. I want to thank the architects and I want to thank the staff of Wicklow County Council. It's a wonderful design and it gives me great pleasure of a Councillor longstanding, it will give me great pleasure to propose 14:51 that we adopt it with minor adjustments.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Do I have a seconder?
CLLR. ANNESLEY: I'11 second that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Annesley. C11r. Snel1.
CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. First and first most I want to thank Ciaran and Shelly, Brid and their team upstairs, the Architectural Team and Design Team and also for the vision that Joe and Jackie have shown on this. They're talking about something that was in place in 2005, 13 years people in Arklow have been waiting. And indeed it is stark as Cllr. Fitzgerald said to have 833 people on the Housing List of families. I certainly will be supporting this one hundred percent.

In regards of the two phases that's coming, 26 units and 21, I think it's blatantly obvious to everyone, no matter what part of the County you live in, you may not be in the Arklow Municipal District but certain7y everyone here would have to concur that Arklow is the area that's been crying out for houses for the last two decades.

In regards to social housing, there just hasn't been the opportunity to do anything in the area. And I know 14:52 it's been difficult for the six Members of the Arklow Municipal District in regards to representations and looking at this project and I certainly want to praise each and every one of them for the work that has gone into this, but if I could in particular to the Chair person of Arklow Municipal District, C11r. Annesley, who has raised this on more than a couple of occasions at the Strategic Policy Committee. Indeed I know he's been up in the engineer's office on several occasions and arranging meetings, as has been outlined earlier by 14:53 previous speakers.

Having spent two and a half hours here, Cathaoirleach, last week with Ms. Laura English, who is our representative and our speaker on behalf of people with 14:53 disabilities, Laura represents the Disability Federation of Ireland. We're lucky enough to have her employed in wicklow County Council a couple of days a week and I know she's working her way around each

Director's section in regards to housing, environments and road and I think on that alone this deserves support. The engineer has given a very, very positive speak in regards to the design of these houses that they can be transferred quite easily to meet the accommodation and the needs of people with disabilities. It's not just the two specified accessibility houses it's other houses, it's the one-bedroom units, the ground floor units and I think it's the way forward for not just this Local Authority but all Local Authorities throughout Ireland. I think it's something that other Councils could learn from and certainly we in Wicklow County Council should be learning from this project. I think it is going to be a marvelous project. I commend the people of Arklow for their vision in regards acknowledging the great Ronnie Delany in,marking this project as Delany Park but I will certainly always, always take on board people's concerns. I have looked at the development. I have looked at their concerns. I have spoken to engineer, same as all previous speakers and I'm speakers to come but at the end of the day first and foremost we must provide social housing. We must meet the demands of the people on our Local Authority list and I think this is a very good day for the people of Arklow and I will be supporting it one hundred percent. Thanks, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. McDonald.
CLLR. MCDONALD: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. I obviously
agree with everything that everybody has said. We welcome these houses, probably more than most areas. I, too, will be supporting the Part VIII.

I'm not going to repeat everything that everybody said but I just have a question around the entrance onto the Emoclew Road that maybe could be addressed, if not today, further down the line. I would have a concern that if that, as C11r. Murphy said, that development site, the site on the Emoclew Road was to be developed in the future and hopefully it would because we need a11 sorts of types of housing in Arklow, and when the sewage treatment plan is up and running, hopefully we'11 get to start building again, but my concern would be if -- at the moment that exit/entrance onto the Emoclew Road is a none runner, if that development was to proceed down the line are we looking at more traffic, more houses needing to go through Meadowvale to get in and get out? Because if there's going to be no access on to the Emoclew Road, if a development was to be built there where would the entrance/exit be put? It's just a concern going forward.

By and large I welcome this, as C11r. Sne11 said one hundred and ten percent. I just wish that maybe we had 14:56 three or four more times the amount but hopefully in the future we will. Thanks very much to the Housing Department, the architects, designers, did topnotch and I'm sure we won't get any better. Thanks very much,

Cathaoirleach.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Whitmore.
CLLR. WHITMORE: Thanks very much, Chair. I think everyone in the Chamber will welcome the addition of social housing. It's obvious7y the biggest issue to affect us as a county but I think -- and the policy is, you know, for a good social mix and for integration into the existing communities and that's really, really important. I do think that integration needs to start at the planning process though and I think that there needs to be greater communication with the residents in the areas that surround the social housing development because I actually think that communication and the work between the Council and those residents will make for a much smoother transition for the new residents of the social housing units. I think that that has been 1acking in this case. And I think as C11r. Murphy pointed out, in Greystones there was very simple issues that the local residents had been raising and unfortunately they weren't addressed in the first really hope that we do get to build a whole lot more social housing in the County but 1 think it's really important that as we develop and get that social housing up and running that we do involve the local everyone knows that we do need to put these houses in but it's making sure that we put them in a way that, you know, is as seamless as possible and can listen and
take into account anyone's concerns if possible. So that would be my main concern.

C11r. Murphy actually outlined a lot of the issues that have been raised. I, myself, and I know many other Councillors were contacted by the residents of the area and they did have very serious concerns and I would just like to put that to Joe that I do think communication in this regard needs to be increased quite significantly. Thank you.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Kennedy.
CLLR. KENNEDY: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. With numbers in the Municipal District heading for a thousand I'd very much welcome this development today and I'm going to talk Joe and the architects on the team for bringing 14:58 it to us. I think there is a good mix in this housing. I think the people of Arklow needs this. The County needs this. And this should be seen as a stepping stone for the people of Arklow because we need an awful lot more houses. I'd very much welcome this today and 14:58 I'11 be supporting it, thank you. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Behan.
CLLR. BEHAN: Cathaoirleach, I don't know if are there are any other Arklow members wanting to speak, I'm reluctant to say anything before the local members have 14:59 had a chance.
CATHAOIRLEACH: No, there's no one else. I'm sorry, Tommy's just popped in.
CLLR. ANNESLEY: We11, I'd like to thank all the

Councillors in the Chamber and the housing staff because this wasn't an easy one for me as Cathaoirleach. I did facilitate two meetings with the residents of meadowvale. I banged my own ahead against the wall trying to get a solution here that would suit everybody and unfortunately on the engineer's advice I could not get another road into that housing estate. I'11 take the engineer's word at their word, okay. Look, the residents said they were going to employ their own traffic management to contradict our own engineer but I didn't see that report either.

I will, hands up, definitely there was a lack of communication. When that was brought to my attention I tried to deal with that hands on. I met with five residents and the staff of the Housing Department and the Design Team. So there's nobody in this Chamber is going to say no to social housing and absolutely, Ciaran did a great design on the house and I admire your vision for the way the houses can be changed for disabilities in years to come, if they have to be, at less expenditure. I will not vote against this development. And I will have to say, I supported cllr. Fitzgerald's motion on making Delany Park a no through road. The only residents that would be driving through the Drive would be the residents of Delany Park. So I will be voting for this today and I just want to thank you again, Director, for all your help on this one because it wasn't an easy one for you but as
you said to me yourself, we're shouting in Arklow about houses; now you produced houses and now we're shouting about them. So we can't speak out both sides of our mouth. We want this development so I will be voting for it.

I'd just like to thank all the members in the Chamber that have tried to resolve this in Arklow. Thank you. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Behan.

CLLR. BEHAN: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. The first people I want to pay tribute to are the six Arklow Councillors because it's been an extremely difficult situation for them to deal with. I would also pay tribute, as we have done on many occasions in the past, pay tribute to Joe Lane and his staff for the great job they are doing generally for housing across the county.

The first point that residents made to all of us is that they are very grateful to the six elected representatives for the work that they're doing but they were not happy with the consultation they had with the officials of this Council. So that has to go on the record because they want that put on the record. They did not feel they had proper engagement with the officials of the Council.

The second point I want to put on record and I think it is a very important point for all of us to bear in mind because it is important that there is a level playing
field in this County. This particular development has been begun already. It was granted permission in 2005 and it started in 2017, so 12 years after the date permission was granted by Arklow Town Council it commenced. Any other citizen in this County or any applicant for planning permission in this County gets five years to build their project and if they don't build it after five years they've got to come back in for a new planning application. In this case the Council carried on with an old plan that was 12 years old and what they did basically was moved in, through a cul-de-sac, without giving people a proper opportunity to give their views on it. Now I am dealing with a case at the moment in Bray where a person was granted planning permission for a very simple construction by Bray by Bray Town Council. It ran out of time. When the person came to wicklow with the very same application they were turned down. They were told it's not going to be granted because it's a different authority, we're going to look at it differently and so on. So there are different rules applying to people, the ordinary people as apply to the Council. I think that's one of the factors that really has annoyed people in Meadowvale is that they feel they're not getting a fair crack of the whip.

As Councillors, anybody who has talked to them knows, they are completely and utterly in support of the housing on the site. They never had a difficulty with
that. They don't have a difficulty with that. But their basic point is that another access could have been found and still could be found. And I still think it could be found because while the Emoclew Road argument, we've heard al1 about that and I can see the points that are being made about that. I don't understand why the Wexford Road has been completely ruled out. There's an estate $I$ think on the far side called Cré na Mara and the only argument that I could see in the report was, it would creates a crossroads from a T-junction and therefore there was completely unacceptable. I don't see why that option could not have been explored. And I suspect possibly the reason is that plans had already advanced in-house here to get ahead and build this. I know why the pressure was on to get the houses built. But it actually meant that a proper alternative wasn't really even ever considered. Again, $I$ feel that's why residents were so annoyed and upset about what has happened here.

Now another point that I think they would want made here today is that a temporary access has been created for construction vehicles and they acknowledge that that has been put in place. They most definitely are asking that any future construction traffic going on to 15:05 that site comes via the temporary access and not past their houses. I really think that's a very minimal request that should be granted and I'd like to hear Mr. Lane's point of view on that because I think that's
very, very reasonable.

I think overal1, Cathaoirleach, as I say, it's a very difficult situation. None of us want to vote against social housing, we all know the need for it is there. But I personally would like to see more time being given to exploring an alternative entrance from the wexford Road before making a final decision on this. I know the Arklow Members have already accepted that it should go ahead. I'd certainly be interested in what Mr. Lane says about C11r. Fitzgerald's proposal, which could well meet some of the difficulties that are there. But I can't see what the harm would be in looking, again, at the wexford Road to see is that a possible alternative, Cathaoirleach. Thank you. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Mitche11.

CLLR. MITCHELL: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. I'11 be supporting this proposal but I would echo the points about listening a little bit more to the residents and the local Councillors when coming up with these proposals, from the experience we had last month at this meeting in Greystones.

I'd like to pick up primarily on a point raised in the presentation separate to Arklow really and that was about the role of an architect employed by wicklow County Council. About 12 years ago or so I put down a motion and lobbied hard to try and get an architect employed by the Council to improve the design of
buildings in County wicklow and I'm glad to say that an architect was employed. However, a key part of what I put down was that the architect would spend $50 \%$ of their time on the Council buildings such as these housing estates, where good jobs are being done, but also $50 \%$ on the planning. To try and improve the quality of design of major schemes in County wicklow, or more prominent buildings which are being built in County wicklow and that has never happened, the second part of that. I appreciate the time of the architect may be limited but I do think there is a need for an architectural input, particularly in the four towns of wicklow County Council. I believe in some places such as Cork, which has won some awards for some of its older towns that the architects have a very much higher 15:07 place in the planning of those towns than is the case here. I would still want to see what originally I thought we got 12 years ago, which was that an architect would have some involvement in the quality of general architecture, particularly in the towns of
wicklow. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach, very briefly. I fully support what cllr. Mitchell just said, it was the exact point that I was going to make. I think it's 15:08 very welcome that we've get architects on the staff now and they provided some really clever density of designs and some very, very smart designs of late, not just on this one but in Bray as well. I hoping as we go
forward to build more Council houses that we'11 continue to retain the services of architects amongst the staff. The idea of having a county architect, I think that's what C11r. Mitchell was referring to, I'd fully support that as well. I've spoken about it in the past and I hope it is something we can look at at budget time. Thanks, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Fortune.
CLLR. FORTUNE: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I think, to be fair, the Arklow Councillors have explained the whole situation very well and it's a very important development and I've read correspondence we got from some residents in Arklow and also that correspondence was very reasonable. I just think that the designs and the stuff we're putting together at the moment here in the Council on housing has improved by a hundred-fold, a thousand-fold and that's great because I think it suits the people going in to live in the houses and it suits people in the immediate areas as well. That's long overdue. But there's one little gap and it's come 15:09 up at previously meetings and there's a tendency in the Chamber that when Members try to push it or raise it, they're looked upon as if it they're from outer space or somewhere that are going against the wind and that's not fair because everybody in this Chamber, I think I said it at the previous meeting, knows the situation with housing and wants housing. The little gap I am talking about here is communication. It's very simple, it shouldn't be an issue and I am convinced, based on
my experience in the last four or five months on a number of issues, that if communication was taking place in the way it should take place, we wouldn't even be having half these discussions here in the Chamber and that's the reality. So really and truly, you know, 15:10 we just can't ignore people. I mean there are people out in all our areas, living in their homes as we speak today and something happens, either a private developer arrives in on top of them and puts 300 houses around them and does all kinds of things and affects their back gardens with flooding and all kinds of carry on. I could take Members to where I live myself and show them that. Or the Local Authority goes in, who maybe used not do the best design and forget maybe when they build the houses they're landlords and they have a responsibility. So all I'm saying is, if there was a communication we wouldn't be having these discussions and that's where the gap is and that has to be addressed. I would wish and hope, moving forward, and there is a great job being done in the Housing Department because the Housing Department are being basically starved of resources by the Department. That's a Government issue. Other people in the Chamber needs to deal with that as wel1. But we need to really communicate with people and be fair to people because not communicating with people is just not fair. And my whole ethos of politics and being involved is that we should be fair to everybody and that's not fair. If you're fair you wouldn't be having discussions. That's
my view on it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Thanks. Cl1r. Fitzgerald.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: Just Cllr. Behan alluded to it. When we knew that this estate was about to happen or the contracts were signed I did go to Wicklow County Council and asked that the Wexford Road be looked at prior to construction and it was looked at by the engineers and it wasn't practical. It looks to me as if we would need a roundabout because a similar development just further south of that, Knockmore, the development had to build a roundabout because it couldn't come out opposite the Maples.

I just want to clarify. I believe I've got an understanding at this stage that all heavy goods
vehicles, all deliveries to that site will go through the temporary entrance on the Emoclew Road and there's no issue with. And I want to thank the owner of that site for facilitating wicklow County Council in allowing wicklow County Council or the contractor to bring all the stuff in through that. I go up there nearly every day because I'm just waiting for the first block to go up because I'11 be there to say I was there -- a photograph, you're right. And C11r. Annesley is coming with me and the rest of them. After 13 years I think it's a great achievement for the Housing Department and everyone involved in it. I sort of got a bit emotional when I went up the first day, but then when I seen the little bit of hassle I said I better
get out of it quick. But anyway.

I see the trucks going in. The gates are open. They go in. They clean the road, right. And just, I want to make a point on Emoclew, they have an exit/entrance there in place for 70 years, that development there on Emoclew Road, Tallon's, so there is an exit there. But that's a matter for the planning department. That's not our function. If there's a planning application that comes in, that's a planning issue and I know C11r. McDonald has alluded to it there. That's not for the Councillors.

Look it, I have proposed this development with the change and hopefully the Members agree to it and I'd like to hear the Director's response to it. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Murphy.
CLLR. MURPHY: Can I just say I don't like challenging people, it's not in me. But I felt, I do feel very strong about this in a sense of communication and it took all of my strength to write down something last night so $I$ don't take it easy sitting here to challenge other people who $I$ feel is much more experienced at this than me.

Just as well, this is one of the first housing projects I heard of when I came into the political world over ten years ago and it is great, an absolute privilege to know that people with disabilities are on the housing
scale here and to the standard that it has come to today. It is a good day and none of us six Councillors ever said anything different to this. So we've all been in agreement that this, we are very, very much thrilled with this but there's a but as I've said, and I won't make an apologies for challenging really, because that's what we're here for. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. O'Neill. CLLR. O'NEILL: Again, Cathaoirleach, I'd like to congratulate Ciaran and the team. I did raise issues here before, okay, on social housing, not only social housing but private housing whatever, that the likes of, there should be side entrances and at least back entrances and all that. But it's -- I mean we could talk about it till the cows come home as such but there's Department Guidelines here and that's what I find the issues. So if there's guidelines there to put $X$ amount of houses into $X$ amount of space and whatever design, you know, we're up against that. It's very hard for Councillors, I know in my area I'd be delighted to hear of a couple of dozen houses being given the go-ahead in West Wicklow. The need for housing is huge so I would be very supportive of it. MR. LANE: We'11 deal with communication first. One of the difficulties was that we started Phase 1 and at the 15:16 same time were going through the Part VIII for Phase 2 and Phase 3. So that caused a lot of confusion, yes, it wasn't ideal but it was a timing issue.

Even the meeting you identified on 10th January, again some of the issues there were consultation with Phase 1 and construction issues and Phase 2 and Phase 3 and throughout the place we've tried to separate the two because Phase 2 and Phase 3 is statutory and it's what we're dealing with today.

We will be meeting again. I think we did seek nominations and we will talk to Linda regarding them. We will go back and we'11 talk to the local group and we'11 deal with some of those issues regarding the construction traffic for Phase 1 and we will also -again, this is where we have to break it down. There is also a big part of this, there's letters to go out after today's meeting. So there is a difficulty when you start doing the Phase 1 construction and the Phase 2 and Phase 3 and that added to the consultation.

The consultation process is clear and is statutory regarding when we go through the process we all started, and invariably it is started by us going to the local Municipal meeting, which we did in this case, and explaining roughly what we're doing and explaining the ethos behind it.

The next big one there. So, yes, the consultation. In this case there was confusion because the two were together. We did do a letter drop on the Phase 1 but I don't think, because of the two lots together I think
there was -- it was just bad timing both of them together but when you're trying to move at this pace that's what's going to happen.

We did, when we went back to look at Phase 2 and Phase 3 we did go back from basics. We didn't presuppose we'd be coming out through Meadowvale. We did go back and look at the other two options. But the engineers involved from not happy and were happy with the pre-existing through meadowvale, which is what
we've gone with today. So we did start. We have looked at it. I can confirm the specific question was asked. Jack and Declan looked at it in the context of this but also in the context of the temporary solutions that were mentioned for the construction traffic.

The third point is construction traffic, we're under licence and under agreement. Once we maintain that and everything else is going okay we intend to use that for construction traffic. It is subject to a third party licence agreement. At the moment we don't envisage any difficulty there.

Like I said, we have looked at all the other junctions. The Meadowvale junction is the one that we're happiest with. It was designed originally for all Meadowvale, including the 64 and we don't see any reason why -yes, there is little difficulty regarding construction of traffic at the moment.

The option that C11r. Fitzgerald mentioned we will look at that. We do have a slight difficulty regarding a turning circle at the bottom of the 64 units but other than that we will attempt to deal with those and yes, at that stage, it could be closed, which means on7y going through the Drive would be the 64 new ones, plus the three or four that are pre-existing. We don't see any major problem with it, we just have trouble designing a turning circle at the base of that and we'11 work with that. Again, once we can get over that 15:19 we've no issue with that one.

Again, Councillors will remember when this new programme, it was Housing Strategy 2020 at the time, I think, was in 2015 and we came and we looked at the priorities for the next, the first 12 schemes, I think Emoclew at the time was one of our top ones. We saw it as a phased basis. We're now just trying to accelerate to bring the three phases together. Like I said, thanks to Ciaran and the others the design is better suited for the demand figures and for modern living. The demand patterns in the last 12 years have changed, as is the much higher one and two-bedroom demand.

Under licence; consultation. I think that's the main issues.

Again, we did look at the both Emoclew and the old Wexford Road and we would be not comfortable today
bringing some form of a Part VIII coming on to those. But we did look at them when we were designing from the word go. Those reports we'11 check, we want to get an nomination. We'll come back to you locally and get a nomination. I think we might have miscommunication regarding current construction traffic but a lot of the queries on the current construction traffic have been dealt with since that temporary solution has been sorted. I think that's the main issue CATHAOIRLEACH: Thanks, Members. I'm anxious to kind of bring this to a vote. I don't want it to go on. Cl1r. Fitzgerald, do you want to come back in? CLLR. FITZGERALD: I'm proposing again with the adjustment and I think what the Director is saying, you're going to believe able to do that.
MR. LANE: We are having trouble with the turning circle, but if we can do it we will do it.

CLLR. FITZGERALD: That's not strong enough though, Joe. I mean we're here, that reduces the traffic through the Drive by $80 \%$.
MR. LANE: We will stop traffic going up through the bottom of the 64 somehow. Is that strong enough? CLLR. FITZGERALD: Okay. I'm happy enough. CATHAOIRLEACH: Could I just make a general point? Insofar as possible as many issues like this are resolved before a full council meeting. I appreciate everybody is doing their best.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: I have to say I have spoken to the Housing Section about this over the last couple of
weeks. It is not a new -- it might be new to the meeting but it's not new to me.
CLLR. MURPHY: Or to any of us.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I understand it's difficult. It's just a general point.

CLLR. FITZGERALD: It should be more acceptable to the people on the Drive and I want to see social housing there, as C11r. Sne11 and others have said. That's my main objective. I'm delighted.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I'm going to a vote. Have I proposer. 15:22 MS. GALLAGHER: Proposed by C11r. Fitzgerald and seconded by C11r. Tommy Annesley. [VOTE TAKEN.] That's 25 for; and seven not present. CATHAOIRLEACH: Next item. Item 2: To consider report in accordance with Part VIII of the Planning and Development Act in respect of the proposed development of one unit at 16A Oak Drive, Blessington.
Cllr. Blake.
CLLR. BLAKE: I propose this, Chairman. We had a presentation from the Housing section at our meeting recently, the Baltinglass meeting. It's for a three-bedroom bungalow, special needs in regards to it. It is a pity there's on7y the one house but we have a difficulty because with sewerage in Blessington but nevertheless it's just one house that Irish water have 15:24 given the go-ahead for. As I say, it's a special needs house as well so it gives me good pleasure to be able to propose it, Chairman.

CLLR. DORAN: I'11 second that.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. O'Neill?
CLLR. O'NEILL: I tried to propose it there but however.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Gerry, the reason you didn't propose it is you were a bit slow in with your light.

CLLR. O'NEILL: It all depends which way the Chairman looks. He doesn't look this way. I've been involved in this issue from the outset and the unit for this unfortunate young gir1. I'd propose it again. I'm delighted and hopefully we'11 get the full support of the meeting. Maybe the Cathaoirleach might look this way the odd time before he asks for a proposal.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Gerry, could I just clarify that. Cllr. Blake's light lit up, I pressed it. That's what I do. That's the procedure I do. That's the way I do things. That's the fairest way. If you'd pressed first you would have been asked first. If you'd have come to me before the meeting and said you wanted to propose it I would have let you propose it. Let's get the record straight.

CLLR. MATTHEWS: Can we get a buzzer!
CLLR. BLAKE: Chairman, $I$ bow to Gerry if he wants to propose it and I'll second it. I'm not looking to get in in front of anybody.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that okay then, Gerry?
CLLR. O'NEILL: Yeah, fair play to Cllr. Blake.
CLLR. BLAKE: I just want to see the house is built. That's all.

CATHAOIRLEACH: We're not petty, Gerry. C11r. Sne11.

CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I'11 neither propose it or second it but I do support it. I just want to, again, commend the people behind this development and I'm sure C11r. Miriam Murphy will concur with what I'm going to say in regards to I'd love to see more projects like this and I would encourage to seek more funding through the Department for units, particularly one-off units, in-fills or on land that we own to go out and try and assess the needs of people with disabilities that are on our Housing List. I think this is a brilliant opportunity for one particular family but there is many, many more. I just want to thank all the staff behind this project. As I say, for the people of West Wicklow, it may only be one unit but to this family it's everything. I want to commend everyone behind it.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Just I would just like to make one comment. I would welcome this as well. Since we're on the subject of Blessington could I just ask Housing could they do an update report on the situation at Burgage, the considerable land bank that the Council has there on that side of the town where there is an opportunity maybe to build some social housing. I know it came up here before but I'd just ask that the report is done on the up-to-date status of the land there and how we might move it on to developing social housing in Burgage in Blessington.

I have a proposer, C11r. O'Neill and a seconder

Cl1r. Blake for the Part VIII. Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: we have to go for the vote. Excuse me.
[VOTE TAKEN]
MS. GALLAGHER: That's 22 for; and ten not present. okay.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Members, Item 4. Thanks very much. I'd like to welcome Jim Conway and Malachy Bradley, Eastern Midlands Regional Assembly and their Regional Special Economic Strategy. I'd like to welcome both of 15:28 you gentlemen and thank you very much for your patience for waiting for us this long.
MR. CONWAY: Thank you, Cathaoirleach, good afternoon everyone and thanks very much for the opportunity to present today, which is actually our first presentation 15:28 to a full Council as a Regional Assembly. As the Cathaoirleach says, I'm joined by Malachy Bradley who is the Assistant Director and Senior Planner. I suppose just, time is tight together but just I'd like to dispe1 some rumours that I'm a Dub! As you can see 15:29 from the screen there's Blessington and Poulaphouca and there's just a little circle there, that's where I'm from actually, just for clarity.

So we'11 move on on to the subject matter. This afternoon we're going to cover a number of items, primarily we're here to talk about planning. We know the time, we would like to use it that way. We'11 talk about the region and what we do, who we are and then
we'11 get into the Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy, our processes and timelines around that and then discuss the Issues Paper, the closing date is coming up on the 16th. Then we have some key questions and we'11 try and leave as much time as possible for questions from yourselves.

The Assembly was established in 2015 and there are three assemblies nationally. Ours is subdivided into three strategic planning areas, wicklow in the eastern area and a lot of you may be familiar with the old mid East Regional Authority, and it largely reflects that area with the addition of Louth.

Our functions are actually quite broad. We manage
Regional Operational Programmes and I suppose the Regional Operational Programme is around EU funding and quite recently the EU have recognised the three Irish regions for $E U$ funding purposes. That's an area that may be of interest to you for another time. Certainly we're open to talking to you around that but it's a way of distributing European funding that's available on a regional basis.

We also have, as part of our function, obviously we the regions and that will be the primary part of the presentation today. The Regional Spatial and Economic Strategies which will follow the National Planning

Framework announcements as soon as that happens.

We have an office in Brussels, an Irish regions office that's primarily there for the benefit of Local Government, Local Authorities in particular and we work 15:31 closely with the Local Government around that office. It's a link for engagement with the EU. We're also the secretariat to Committee to the Regions, National Committee to the Regions. I'm the secretary and that's one of the institutions in Europe where Local and Regional Governments engages in the European process at a political level.

In terms of our decision-making and our governance, the decision-makers are Councillors, 38 including the Committee Region's Members ,taken from 12 Local Authorities that make up the region and they're listed there. I won't go through all of them. And from Wicklow we have three. We've Councillors Vance, Mitche11 and Cullen. We meet on the second Friday of the month and we work closely across all of the areas I've just mentioned so it's broader than the planning area.

But to get into the planning piece and in terms of planning hierarchy, there would be much conversation and much in the news this morning and recently about the National Planning Framework and we have had an input into that and we have been involved in the
discussions coming up to that. But I suppose to be clear it isn't our function as an assembly to deliver the National Planning Framework but it is our function obviously to deliver Regional Spatial and Economic Strategies and as an assembly in terms of planning hierarchy, we sit between Central Government and Local Government and the Local Authorities. So we would meet regularly, we've close working relationships with the Local Authorities and we've recently quite recently met with the Chief Executive here. In the last number of weeks we have met with planning, Sorcha and Des, and Christine was with us there last week as well and Sean. We work closely across all of those areas on the LECP development side. The year before last we worked very closely with staff here as well.

I think to get into the planning piece maybe I'11 ask Malachy, who is the Senior Planner, to bring us
through, I suppose, touching the NPF and certainly we can talk about that in the questions, but primarily to get into our role in terms of what we can do and what we're here to do and then talk to yourselves about any questions you might have.
MR. BRADLEY: Can everyone here me okay? I hope my dulcet tones carry and they're not from Dublin either, you've kind of guessed that.

Just to pick up from where Jim has gone from. Just to touch on the National Planning Framework, which was
obviously the Public Housing, Planning, Local Government document and the whole of Government approach. I'm sure you're familiar with the draft that was out on display near the end of last year but it was based on an ESRI model which was forecasting that we would be increasing the divergence between where people live and people work and most of the growth in the country would be generated in and around Dublin. That was based on a business-as-usual trend. The planning framework has kind of indicated headline growth targets based on that demand. An additional one million people, a quarter of those by 2040 -- a quarter of all the population by 2040 would be the age of 65 and the requirement for two-thirds of a million more jobs and over half a million more houses.

The planning framework addresses its regional (inaudible) a change from this business-of-usual and what they look at is a rebalancing, so to speak, approach, where a growth in our region is the same as a 15:35 growth in the other two regions combined which is not actually as forecasting was going to dictate from the ESRI model. of that growth, nationally half of it is in the five cities. The five city region that talks about. In total two-thirds (inaudible) and it talks about 15\% of the growth in rural areas. They're just some of the headlines of that.

I suppose as Jim that said, we did -- I suppose we were
in consultation engagement with the Department what we did do, I suppose, at different stages last year throughout the process, is we would have met with our own Members and we would have worked with them at strategic planning area so the eastern area relevant to 15:36 yourselves. We would have worked up to try and gather all their comments, issues, opinions and form them into a submission to the Department. We also done the same with the Executive for all the Local Authorities. We did that at two different stages throughout that last year to try and capture, at a regional scale, what were the issues and what should be considered in preparation of the National Planning Framework.

So that's the policy framework that is, in my understanding, being discussed today in Cabinet and it's to be published quite soon.

I suppose in that what we're here today about is what is the Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy. Just to give you an idea of what this is and what we're tasked to do. It's a strategic document to set out a vision for the region for the next 12 to 20 years. It's to support the implementation of the National Planning Frame and the National Investment Plan, which is co-joined with the NPF.
what the strategy will do is set out the framework for local planning and economic development across the
region. We have a wide range of areas to consider within the strategy of employment, housing, retail, transport, infrastructure, environment, heritage, landscape, sustainable development and climate change. so that's what's listed in the Act, in the Planning Development Act that we have to consider in comparing the strategy. What does that actually mean? what's that going to look like in a strategy? well there's a couple of key areas to it. We have a spatial strategy, which has to look at the optimum spatial distribution of growth across the region, looking at cities, towns and rural communities. That's not just population but it's also employment growth along with supporting infrastructure as well. That there is just an example of some of the settlements in our region already, some of the higher, larger settlements within the region. You can see, for instance, that we have 23 settlements in the region of a population of over 10,000, not including Dublin City.

What else? An economic strategy. This is a new function. Most of you would be familiar with regional planning and regional planning guidelines which are in effect at the moment and that were adopted by the old regional authorities. That was the traditional
land-use planning. We have a new enhanced function now and that's why it's an economic strategy as well is as a spatial strategy. So we have a stronger role in economic development. The strategy has to look at
boosting regional competitiveness across the region and looking at employment and economic opportunities across the region.

It's also aligned with the Local Economic Community Plans and we've a specific statutory function in the preparation of that plans and also we have a policy in line with the national and with the regional action plan for jobs.

So we can see that there's an opportunity in the strategy to build on regional strengths and to facilitates more effective development across the region. I think combining the two, which is the first time this is being done in Ireland, really will create better places for people to live, work, study, visit and invest in.

There's a couple of other elements to the strategy that I think are particularly relevant to this Local
Authority. There National Planning Framework is a new policy called the Metropolitan Area Strategic Plan. These will be prepared for each of the five cities; Dublin, Waterford, Limerick, Galway and Cork. They have to be in tandem with and part of the Regional have to prepare a Metropolitan Plan for Dublin. The boundary is already defined as in the Dublin Metropolitan Area and it's defined in the current

Regional Planning Guidelines and it includes down as far as Greystones and goes as far north as Donabate and then west to cover Maynooth and Celbridge. So this is quite a large area that covers seven Local Authorities and it covers over half the population of our region. But effectively this is more of a, I suppose, an alignment plan to drive a delivery of key sites within the region, both within the city and all those outlined settlements within the Metropolitan Area. It looks at strategic infrastructure and key location of housing, 15:40 employment and regeneration. And also regional amenities such a parks and walking and cycling networks.

One of the other elements to the RSES is a transport strategy. There has to be an (inaudible) spatial strategy that looks at both land-use planning and transport. The National Transport Authority are a stakeholder and have an explicit role in the process. we have to consider their elements of their strategy in the current GDA Strategy but also it's an opportunity for the rest of the region, midlands and Louth to actually develop out a transport strategy for the first time. So that's the main kind of elements of the strategy.

How are we preparing this? This is just a structure to give you an idea. There are members at the top of the assembly, 38 members and they have the reserved
function of making the strategy. So they adopt the strategy. Below that, those three Committees the three areas that the Director outlined. They are a plenary committee made up of members of each of the SPA areas and they are a policy formulation and they can make recommendations to the Assembly. On the executive side we have the Senior Officials Advisory Group who are to give strategic advice to the Assembly. That will be made of up Chief Executives from Local Authorities and Chief Executive from other state bodies and agencies. Then below that we have Technical Working Groups. The reason I put those up there, it gives an idea that we are taking a thematic approach to the development of the strategy. So we have four key things. We have people and places; economic and employment; infrastructure and climate change; and environment and heritage. They're the kind of four main pillars or themes that we're looking at for this strategy and there's another one for the Dublin Metropolitan Area.

Just to reference those. Each of those Committees have explicit functions within the process and they have their own designated Chair and Cllr. Vance is the Chair of the Eastern Strategic Planning Area Committee for the Assembly.

Just to give you an indication of our process which we have commenced under instruction of the Minister. We are out on display for public consultation pre-draft.

This process is very similar to a County Development Plan process you'd be familiar with. So we are out on display with an Issues Paper at present. We then have to prepare a draft strategy which has to be approved by the Members put out on display, same again as your Development Plan process.

We have to prepare a Director's report from submissions and that goes back the Members. If there are alterations or amendments made to the plan that are material it goes back out on display. Then the members have the final function of adopting the plan. Similar to your Development Plan process, we are subject to the ful1 rigours of Planning Assessment under SEA Appropriate Assessment for Flood Risk.

So to give you context of where we are now. We have a consultation period that we've just extended to 16th February upon request from the Department. We are out on display of an Issues Paper which is available on our website which can inform the debate. We have also prepared, in conjunction with AIRO Research in Maynooth, a baseline, kind of socioeconomic baseline of the region and of each SPA to try and see what are the strategic issues. That's available. And there's also 15:43 a map here in conjunction with that as well on the site that we've done. That has proved quite beneficial to try and identify issues and key issues in the process. That's where we're at at this stage. We're at issue
identification. We're trying to see what are the areas that the strategy should be addressing in the region and we're trying to frame this with kind of key questions. I suppose what kind of society do we want to see? Where will we live in the next 12 to 20 years? 15:44 what can make a successful place? what are successful places? What services will we need in these places? How do we ensure a healthy environment? what kind of jobs do we want and where do we want them? How will we travel? And how will we live more sustainably?
we also have a leaflet - and I will hand these out if the Cathaoirleach doesn't object - which kind of just informs the process and to give members an idea of where we're at and what we're doing in the process at present. We have key questions on the back of this leaflet as well to try and prompt some debate.

So at this stage in the process we are, as I said, at the issue of identification. There's no policy formed at this stage. We do have to be consistent with national policy but we don't have, as I said, at this stage, any firm fix on where we're at on the policy.

As I said, I will just leave here the details of our public consultation. Submissions can be made in writing, they can be made by e-mail or to the website, there's a portal on the website. We'd welcome submissions from yourselves individually or as a

Council. That will run until 5:00 p.m. on Friday, 16th February. I might just hand a few of those out, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Absolutely.
MR. BRADLEY: That's the end of my presentation. The
15:45 Director and myself are happy to take some questions if the Members would wish. Thank you, Cathaoirleach. CATHAOIRLEACH: I would like to just make a couple of comments. We discussed this briefly at a previous meeting, I think it was December. There's two big concerns, two really stand-out things on the proposed policies. First of all, on the rural planning where they're talking about excluding the qualification criteria of social need. I'd be very concerned if this becomes policy. We have it in or County Development
Plan. We have very restrictive rural planning guidelines in Wicklow but one of things it does include, and it's a very, very important one, particularly for people who want to live beside their elderly parents and mind them, and that idea of excluding social from the policy to me is a big no-no and I know a lot of other Members have expressed the same in the past.

The other thing, if you look at the population targets they are really, for the implications of towns in Wicklow they really are off the rails. I just had a quick look at some numbers and it's talking about restricting populations for rural towns and the bigger
towns, growth to be restricted by $15 \%$ in 2040 and they've extrapolated that back to 2028 based on the 2016 census. The increase is miniscule. I'11 give you an example. In Dunlavin it would imply that the population in Dunlavin could only increase by 60 people 15:47 between 2016 and 2028. Like in a 12 -year period a town could only grow by 60 people. It's the same right across. That's just one example. Roundwood, a very similar situation. The growth restriction on towns is just completely out of kilter with what we have in our Development Plan and it's completely unrealistic, especially given populations grow in line with how an economy grows. This economy is growing. The population, I'd say, may already even have passed what you are proposing to restrict to 2028. Putting these very, very limited restrictions on growing populations is really, really false. Okay, you can have guidelines but economic growth brings people to towns and towns grow. But to restrict the population to $15 \%$ to 2040 over 24 -year period to me is, numbers wise if you look at it, it's just off the rails and it's completely at variance with our County Development Plan.
CLLR. MURPHY: Can I just say a few words. First of all, thank you very much for your presentation. I have to say I really hadn't a clue what it was about. I said this is going to be another boring thing but you've actually have educated me and made me sit up and think of really what is in there. Just a few things. Is it Tom your chairperson? You look very Liam Brady,
you even sound like Liam Brady so you have your next career. I know Liam Brady well. But you're so like him.

Just on one or two issues, as our Chairman has said about the local planning and the transport and the rural. I mean this is very serious for wicklow County Council we're such a geographical area. I mean we hear about rural development but like we have to put this in place, you know, we need small steps but I mean it's very difficult to improve rural, I suppose, living because I mean again the planning laws are so way off the wall at times that we're going back into second and third and fourth generations to get planning permission, do you know? I mean how can we build up our rural population by such a hard demand on, especially young people wanting to go back to exactly as our Chairman has said, to live beside their parents and to improve the economics of our rural villages. And also transport. We're in a modern world, we're meant to be, and all last week on our local East Coast Radio every day for five days there was issues of transport situation on 133 and that's only one specific bus that has major problems in liking. The people are standing two hours on a bus stop waiting to be linked and up to two drivers can go two different directions. We've a long way to go and also we've a long, long way to go on accessibility for transport either by train or by bus. I mean I hope this will be looked at in your
programme.

Also, we're the Garden of Ireland and, again, transport for tourism is just non-existent and we want to promote tourism in our county and in Ireland, as it is, within the Garden of Ireland we can't get one bus from another to Glendalough from some of our towns which is crazy in 2018. Absolutely crazy.

There's a lot of strategies in your presentation and that word actually upsets me because it's just paperwork and it goes to a level and it sits there until it's reviewed again and it's another strategy. So I'd question that. Also, I would like to ask is disability included in your strategy? And is there a person with disability sitting on your board? I'm not looking for a job, may I add, but I just want to know. Thank you very much.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. And thanks to Jim and malachy for the presentation. Jim, I thought when you started by saying that you wanted to dispel a rumour I thought it was going to be the rumour that the National Development Framework has been designed solely to drive a wedge between urban and rural communities. Obviously that's not what it's been designed to do, but in listening to some of the commentary that's gone on you would think that's just been purely designed to focus on urban areas and had no regard for the rural
communities.

So this is an Issues Paper at this stage and when would you propose to have a Draft Plan issued? will it be a statutory document once it's produced, like the National Planning Framework? I don't know what the name of the alliance that's going to around the country, the 'country and western alliance'! If they're as successful as they think they're going to be in completely redesigning our National Planning
Framework, where does that leave our regional strategy then? Do we need to go back? In other words, have we anything to be worried about? They're not going to get their hands on it, are they? Hopefully.

Just around a transport strategy then as well. If you've transport infrastructure waiting to go like, say, the Luas to Bray or a DART underground, those type of projects and they're sort of on hold at the moment, how do you develop a regional transport strategy without knowing if they're going to get the go-ahead or when they're going to get the go-ahead. I know this is going to be tied to national infrastructure spend but it would be handy to know that.

The last thing is the reference to climate change even in the issues paper is very disappointing. It's the last chapter. It's tied in with infrastructure. It gets its own paragraph of about four or five lines
right at the very back. I mean even if you listed them by alphabetical order it would get a higher placement than that. I think everything we need to do needs to be climate proofed and the climate change should be in every single aspect of every part of this plan and every plan that we look at because it's going to affect absolutely everybody. It doesn't matter what walk of life you're in, it doesn't matter what job you're in or where you live, you're going to be affected by it. I'm disappointed to see it buried and I hope in the Draft Plan it gets a bit more prominence. Thank you, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Mitchell.
CLLR. MITCHELL: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. Just to say I'm on the Eastern Regional Midlands Authority and it is certainly of interest and I think it's good work that's being done here and it's very important issues which we in wicklow face on the periphery of the Greater Dublin Area.

Wicklow has expanded very rapidly as required by the population targets which have been handed down to us from the Department of the Environment and at the moment very large numbers of houses are being built in Greystones, in Newtownmountkennedy, quite a few in this is resulting in a large extra commuter demand. The expensively maintained rail line is hardly used and has the worst service into Dublin of any rail line in
the Greater Dublin Region. This is a real problem. And there's massive congestion on the N11 which we hope to get the funds around, but still the road around Bray will always be a choke point in the expansion of wicklow. At the same time Central Dublin has hardly expanded its population at all, even though most transport investment is actually made in Central Dublin and we're creating a doughnut city where everybody is going to live on the outside side. My concern is that this National Plan will redirect growth to Cork and Limerick and other places away from the Greater Dublin Area and then somebody will say: 'Oh, yes, we need to put all the transport investment and other things into those regions' and the people that an enormous expansion is currently taking place in wicklow will be ignored and the funding will be directed towards the other regions. We have the AIRO organisation who set there are very high commuting times for wicklow, some of the longest in the country. There's a very high percentage of commuters from Greystones, I think it's only about $16 \%$ work locally, probably one of the lowest in Leinster for any town. There's obviously a need for industry but we need better investment in public transport which I don't see and certain7y there's a lot of issues about the 133 bus at the moment which I suppose how it's managed is a detail but it's being managed very badly. I think we urgently need a plan. I have written a paper on how to provide a better rail and commuter service for East Wicklow and hopefully
that will create a debate and some action about doing something.

My concern about the National Plan and these plans is that they'11 direct the transport investment to other areas while the population are here. Thank you. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Winters.
CLLR. WINTERS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach, and thank you both for your presentation. I also would share some of the concerns of my colleagues about the limiting of growth population within rural areas. It sort of increases then in more central urban areas, the growth of population there. Looking at our own county we already have a school shortage of both primary and secondary schools in Greystones and Bray and in Arklow and pushing into it in wicklow. If you start closing down any of the schools in the outer areas because of lack of numbers and lack of choice of subjects because of fall in numbers you are forcing a huge cost on providing schools within the more urbanised areas to be able to facilitate the children that would come in from the rural areas to get the subject requirements, because obviously it all depends on numbers in the schools; if you limit the population you limit the amount of children that can attend a school.

In every aspect I cannot understand why you would want to limit people living in rural areas and try to force them into completely overcrowded urbanised settings. I
fail to see from your presentation anything that would convince me that that was a good idea. Thanks. CATHAOIRLEACH: cllr. Bourke.

CLLR. BOURKE: Cathaoirleach, just following on from that there's three schools in my area that are losing teachers and are struggling to survive, three rural schools; Barndarrig, Brittas Bay, Ballycoog and if this $15 \%$ cap comes in we are going to possibly finish them off or make it very, very difficult for them to survive. We're talking about rural post offices being under pressure to stay open. We've got one in Barndarrig that's struggling to stay open. Do we want to finish them off? It strikes me that this proposal of $15 \%$ restriction is a very top-down approach and I believe it should be scrapped and reversed and have more of a bottom-up approach would be much better for our communities.

Is this the same policy that's been driven by officials who also, 12 years ago, insisted that we stop building social houses in County Wicklow, even though we've made representations many times. Myself and Nicky Kelly went to Dublin with other Councillors to meet the Minister at the time and the officials told us, no, the private sector would be well able to cater for the social housing needs in County wicklow. So if it is the same type of people who are making the decisions I believe they are making the mistake again. That's my contribution, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Just to be clear, the $15 \%$ is not only applying to rural areas or small villages it applies to towns, it applies to wicklow Town. It would mean wicklow Town reducing its population.
CLLR. WINTERS: Forcing people to Dublin.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Yeah. C11r. walsh.
CLLR. WALSH: Thanks, Cathaoirleach, and thanks to Jim and Malachy for their presentation. I take it the RSES wi11 feed into the National Planning Framework from your presentation and just listening to some of the debates around the National Planning Framework as recently as yesterday morning on the radio, I mean concerns there of course it is Dublin-focused and the Greater Dublin Region is literally going to go over the cliff in years to come with the proposals for the jobs and a11 the additional houses.

I agree with Cllr. Mitchell in relation to the transport strategy when we have issues -- again an issue that came up there in that debate I listened to yesterday was Metro North and the viability of that economically and financially and every other way, there's huge question marks over it. We in wicklow, I suppose wicklow is unique, I suppose we're divided by the mountains so you have all the population growth and 16:01 all the additional development in northeast of the County, which is accompanied by the traffic implications and traffic problems. Where you have the west of the County then more or less forgotten, you
have these restrictive measures as the Cathaoirleach explained and the restriction in growth having a negative impact there. The other Councillors have alluded to those issues so I won't repeat them.

So we're sort of, you know, we're north, east, west, shall we say, divide there. Again there's a lot of reservations around the National Planning Framework as I'm sure you'11 agree. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. McLoughlin.
CLLR. McLOUGHLIN: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. Thank you, Jim and Malachy for your presentation. I actually think this is a really good idea, well it's not an idea but it's something that we really need to look at because as our population is growing so too does everything with it and we really need to look very carefully as what we're doing. We've a situation in North Wicklow, Greystones and Bray now which is going to be part are the Dublin Metropolitan Area and I often wonder what exactly does that mean because up to now the only thing it has meant is more houses. It hasn't meant better infrastructure and it certainly hasn't meant any industry. So what I would like to see if they are doing this, and if they're going to do it properly, that's not just about the four categories of people and place which is separate to the economy, which is separate to the environment they have to be altogether cohesively. So if you're planning to increase the population by $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and Z in North wicklow,
you then have to a plan as to what are you going to do about infrastructure and what are we going to do about industry? we have a situation in Greystones, as Derek alluded to, where $16 \%$ of the people actually work within the town. In Bray it's only 29\%. How are those 16:03 people going to commute? I think if we are looking at a proper Dublin commuter plan we have to go up instead of constantly going out. I was only in Dublin a couple of weeks ago and it's absolutely ridiculous the heights of our buildings in Dublin. They have to go up in order to facilitate more people living in the area and working in the area. And, likewise, if you are coming out to, as far as Greystones $I$ believe the boundary is just as Charlesland, you have to facilitate industry and we have all the land there that you can possibly need but we have to be given some incentives there.

With regards to the world population, I think $15 \%$ is just too low. I do think we need to add, you have to allow increase in population but likewise I don't believe in this piecemeal approach that we've done because politically it was a good thing to do. We have a bit of a factory here and a bit of this there and half a road that's a dual carriage. I don't think how long we're waiting for the N 11 to become the M11, which really is still the N11 in some places. So I there needs to be better joined-up thinking. I do think if you are going to curtail villages and towns you then have to put in place proper infrastructure insofar as
that the people can live in the towns and they can commute wel1 to wherever they have to work. I think $15 \%$ is far too low and $I$ will be putting in a submission about that. But, please, don't make Great North Wicklow part of a Dublin Metropolitan Area and then say: Well all we'11 1et them do is build houses and nothing else. Because it just is not sustainable as we are now. Thank you very much.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Thank you, Chairman. Could I welcome Jim 16:04 and Malachy. I'm one of the Members of the East Mid1ands Regional Assembly and when it came up at a Protocol meeting in regard to when we were discussing the RSES Plan that a lot of Members weren't aware really of what was going on and what type of work was done in the East Midlands Regional Assembly and it was suggested that we invite Jim and Malachy here today. I think all the Councillors are very interested in this and certainly it has been gathering momentum in the last while back because of the controversies that have happened over the last couple of months and within Government and within Government parties and within all the parties, $I$ think it's fair to say, in that regard to this. There's fears to all political parties and all communities in regard to this plan.

The previous plan was a number of years ago and it was an abject failure because it started out with gateway towns. If we all remember, these were where all the
population and industry and all was to go into these gateway towns, but there was so many of them, in actual fact the gateway towns didn't grow as much as towns that were actually not gateway towns at all and the population went into them. So in that sense it didn't go as planned.

This particular plan is very controversial in the sense because a lot of people representing rural areas have great difficulty with it because it seems to be forcing people into the larger urban areas and also employment in there as well and effectively that it could be the death knell of the rural communities and an awful lot of people who represent rural areas are deeply unhappy about this as well.

In regard to the population. We all know that it is envisaged that our population is going to grow by a million in the next 20 years and obviously we're going to have an extra 600,000 jobs and maybe half a million extra houses as we11 and you have to plan for that in a coherent type of way. But the most important thing, as far as I'm concerned, is you have to get the balance right. You have to get the balance between what you put into the cities and the towns and the balance in the rural areas as well to allow the rural areas to thrive as well because that is the fear. The fear has always been, and has never been accepted in Dublin particularly. Dublin has never grown the way it should
have grown as a city it should have grown up instead of out and now there seems to be a feeling that it should go up. But I can tell you now there is massive resistance with Dublin Councillors in regard to it going up. The fact of the matter is that wicklow, Kildare and Meath have been taking the brunt of the houses over the last 25 or 30 years. We have been taking the brunt of the housing but we haven't been getting any benefits whatsoever in regard to this. This plan should be all about balance, getting the balance right between the Metropolitan Area which I represent. And I also -- like Wicklow is a rural area as well and I mean that has to be taken into consideration. And that's the difficulty in this plan and because it comes up at the East Midlands Regional Assembly all the time is because the different needs of different communities and marrying them altogether in regard to that.

What is very important, as well, is that when and if we 16:08 go down this line in regard to future planning, and I know Jim and Malachy are fed up with me saying this time and time again, which hasn't happened in the last plan, when we adopt a plan for population growth in certain areas there has to be a buy-in of the statutory 18:08 bodies to provide the facilities and infrastructure so that plan can come about. And we have recently, we all know no about old Fassaroe in Bray that got refused planning permission despite the fact that it had been
through the hoops on all planning, on all strategic plaque and everyone agreed with it. we still had a situation where An Bord Pleanála still refused to grant permission.

The problem there was the NTA, the TII they put in observations in regard to this that actually blew it out of the water. So effectively if we're going to adopt the plan in regard to this there has to be statutory buy-in from the statutory bodies in regard to 16:09 supporting that plan with all the needs that are necessary to bring that to fruition. Thank you very much.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. O'Neill.
CLLR. O'NEILL: Cathaoirleach, thanks. I just find a 18:09 few contradictions in the whole lot here. we're talking about, as you mentioned yourself about Dunlavin and the restrictions on growth there and what are we really aiming for? In one way we're talking about building up rural areas and in another way, you know, 16:10 we're not. What have we decided to do in all of this? I'd be concerned about, as a rural Councillor, being left behind. I mean there's two Members of the midlands Regional Council on the 38 -person committee here. I'm hearing no mention whatsoever really of west 16:10 wicklow and the area is dying out on its feet as such, you know. I know I'm probably a little bit out of order here as regards the TII decision on the N81 a fortnight ago where they decided to discontinue the
programme whatsoever. We're again left in limbo land in west wicklow where the carriageway from tallaght to Hollywood Cross is suspended. Any Councillor in this room or anyone around, I mean to go on to the N81 in the morning and see the traffic backed up maybe a mile, 16:10 two miles, three miles and to be told now that this development is not going ahead. what I'm trying to say is we're really -- is there any hope for the west at all? we're not to develop our areas; we're not to increase the population of Dunlavin. The people have to go somewhere to work. They go on to a road that was built for a tram, you know. That's what we're facing in west Wicklow anyway. We're being completely left behind. The N 81 is seven times greater -- head-on collisions on the N 81 are seven times greater than any other part of the country. The death rates, the accidents -- again only yesterday another accident. But I, again, would ask the Cathaoirleach to consider from here writing a letter to ask the TII to explain their decision on suspending, indefinitely, the works on the N81 and also to the Minister. Go raibh maith agat.
CATHAOIRLEACH: My understanding is that nothing new emerged two weeks ago from the TII. It was already on the shelf, it hadn't come off the shelf. So that statement that suddenly it had been, how would you say, shelved, I don't think there's any truth in that statement because it wasn't off the shelf in the first place so nothing new happened two weeks ago. That's my
understanding. But I take your point that it's a project that should be considered and lobbied for like we've done. In particular, Jim, you let slipped that you're from Blessington.
MR. CONWAY: Slightly the other side, the Kildare side. 16:12 CATHAOIRLEACH: Anyway the N81 could affect you there, that would be your route to Dublin. Maybe you could consider that sometime.

The reason, Gerry, I mentioned Dunlavin was just one example. I could have picked any town from wicklow Town down to a small village. It wasn't particularly Dunlavin, I just picked that for illustrative purposes but every town is restricted by 15\% unti 12040.
C11r. Fortune.
CLLR. FORTUNE: Sorry for holding you up, Chair. Just a quick question to the two 1ands and thanks for the presentation. I was listening to Michael Ring and Eamon Ó Cuiv this morning or RTÉ having a discussion around this about the impact on rural Ireland and I must say they both were kind of saying the same thing, even though I suppose Michael Ring was in the trap where he had to kind of put out the party Government line, if you know what $I$ mean, but at the same time he was trying to use his rural hat. It was a very good making his comments earlier that $I$ think the comment C11r. Bourke made is very realistic thing. I think they need to put a hold on it and start from scratch
again because it does looking like rural Ireland is being really put on the back burner. Everything we've read and everything we've seen over the last couple of years about the damage that a lot of our national politicians are something is being done to rural Ireland, I think the way this plan seems to be progressing is going to copperfasten that. I must say I personally don't buy into -- I don't buy into a number of things but I don't buy into the notion of putting everybody into five or six big cities and let's 16:14 push everybody in and let's go 60 miles up in the area with living accommodation. And I'm exaggerating to make a point. I don't buy into that kind of world at a11 and I think it's nice, whoever plans these things or thinks this way. I personally don't think that's the right way to go about it. I think there are fantastic opportunities in rural Ireland for lots and lots of indigenous industries and businesses. We are living in a world technically changing that's and I'm not a techy by nature but technologically stuff is changing at equivalent to the four-minute mile from years ago. So I really think that this is being approached from the wrong perspective completely. I would say to the two of you that I think someone should ca11 a halt and you should reappraise it and I think you should build it, as c11r. Bourke said, from the bottom up because you seem to be looking at Dublin and then saying, yeah, Dublin is too big but Dublin going to grow by a quarter of a million over the next number
of years and that, in itself, will bring in certain things but we all know nobody can come and live in Dublin. Industries coming into this country, in fact there's a big problem out there at the moment that they should look at where industry coming into the country are looking to coming into Ireland as an option versus other countries are finding that they can't buy houses, it's too bloody dear. Their staff couldn't live. They'd have to pay their staff $20 \%$ or $30 \%$ more wages so as they can buy properties.

It seems to me a little bit of a foolhardy approach. I think you should look at the rural end of it. There's lots and lots of fantastic large villages and towns in rural Ireland that could be used better instead of closing everything up and trying to push everyone into a little circle. And this idea of driving people up into the clouds where they are going to be basically waving at the aeroplanes going by, I don't buy that crap at all. I think it's just crazy stuff. Someone said with a pen or CAD machine or something and done all this but to me it's a load of rubbish and I have to describe it that way. I think it's the wrong way to force people to live because I think what's not being taken into account in a lot of these plans is the social cost of what's being proposed. There's lots of social implications about how you do things and if you do it a certain way it might be from an engineering perspective or an economic perspective very, very
attractive but if you actually stand back add in the social factor to it, it gets destroyed. I really think that someone who can, obviously we can't, we're limited we can only do what I'm doing here and the rest of people here today, but somebody should say: 'Hold on a 16:17 minute, $I$ think we're going down the wrong road.' And I would strongly advocate that ye are going down the wrong road.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Dunne.
CLLR. DUNNE: Thank you, Cathaoirleach, and thank you Malachy and Jim for your presentation. I suppose I'm really interested in the $15 \%$ reduction in places like Wicklow Town. You know, at the moment in wicklow Town if you go on to Daft you can't rent a house in wicklow Town. If you went to buy a house in wicklow Town like an 80 square metre house would probably cost you around 300,000 and we're talking about make a $15 \%$ reduction. Also, back in the day water, sewerage and roads infrastructure around the town there was nearly $€ 100$ million spent and we're talking about reduction. In the Wicklow/Rathnew Environs Plan, we dezoned a lot of land on the outside of the town, tried to put it into a strategic land bank, waiting for people to be there to be able build and now what we're talking about is doing even more reduction. I don't know where people are going to live in our area. They're going to have to move out because they won't be able to afford it because there'11 be less houses being built. So this is very worrying for me as a local Councillor in this
area. And, you know, Arklow as well, places like that. It's not good. At the moment there's a major housing problem within the country, you know. We're 30 minutes from Dublin like, the south side of Dublin with the traffic not killing you, you're 30 minutes from Dublin, like. A lot of people in the town commute to Dublin for working. You know we don't have any big companies around Wicklow that will keep them in the County. I think that's what we've been trying to do for a long number of years, tried to look at how we could keep people not travelling to Dublin and it wasn't worked. The guy coming out of UCD, they'11 come done as far as Greystones but they want to live in Dublin, the hub. But some people do want to commute into Dublin from Wicklow, Newtown, them sort of areas. So this is a very worrying situation and, you know, what I mean I'11 be watching this with interest. Thank you, Chairman. CATHAOIRLEACH: Members, before I go further could I just ask for the possibility of a time extension, if it's required, to 5:30? Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. O'Brien.
CLLR. O'BRIEN: I heard C11r. Vance there saying that we're all very interested in this and indeed we are. I've heard a lot of people talking about balance and it's balance between social, economic and environmental factors. Unfortunately I don't think that's keeping that balance. If you look at the map that we saw there it looks like you're looking at what I heard people
often saying around that Ireland is eventually coming to become a wildlife park for tourists to come to Europe because when you look at the map you'11 see it's developing the cities all around and the inside of the country is not being developed.

When I grew up in the Sixties the rural/urban divide wasn't as wide and what made it wide was cold economic factors. The septic tank, not the septic tank but the bulk tank came in and the creameries disappeared so the link between the farmers and the villagers and the people in the communities it disappeared. A lot of the reason we do stuff like that, we seem to do it out of cold -- everything seems to be cold economic reason: Does it make profit or will it not make profit? why have all the sugar factors, why did they close down? Why did all the clothing factories close down? Again cold economic reasoning, you can get them cheaper elsewhere.

Now unfortunately there's a need for a balance here and if that balance is not kept and when we look at things like that and we're just adding the books and balancing the books, society suffers big time. Also look at what happened farming. It became a big job, it became a big 16:21 industry to the big farmers and there was just barns in it. There was only a few big farms.

I mean look at what happened the country as a result of
joining the EC and stuff like that. A lot of it is controlled from outside. We're all looking outside and saying, well I'11 say what C11r. Fortune says there that it's coming in at a wrong angle. You need to ask the people in the villages what they want. You need to 16:21 ask the people in the cities what they want. Not have some hierarchy deciding that we've 32 guys on the top like that and they' 11 decide the strategy and the don't understand a big farm like that. Unfortunately I think we need a totally different different balance. And I would hope, I did hear the two guys saying at the start that there would be a year where there would be input from the people in the community and stuff like that. I hope that is in fact what's going to happen and that some good will come out of it. But as it stands at the ${ }_{16: 21}$ moment to me it seems to be underpinned by a lot of economic factors and maybe sometimes cold economic factors.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Blake.
CLLR. BLAKE: Thank, Cathaoirleach, and thanks to the gentlemen for their attendance here today as well. We're talking about an extra million people living in Ireland in the next 20 years. I'd be one of those who would very much support the likes of Cork or Limerick developing into a city that can actually challenge
Dublin for investment, whether it be foreign investment or indigenous investment coming from Ireland. But certainly I do believe that we do meed additional cities as well of a pretty large population, probably

2- or 300,000 people, it can be Cork or Limerick or wherever else. I do actually support that.

I think Cllr. Bourke did mention the fact that we had plans in the past as well for discontinuing the building of social housing and certainly it was a policy that was a very, very backwards step in terms of looking after the people that need to be looked after, particularly in small towns and villages. Not just in the Dublin area but small towns and villages very, very 10:22 much depend on the future in terms of the Local Authority building a small number of houses in it. I know the Chairman has alluded to Dunlavin there. We have a problem in Dunlavin in terms of having spent millions putting in a new sewerage plant in it but we don't have water in it now. This is where the joined-up thinking is failing and probably a problem that we are here today to address to actually try and address in that regard as well.

We do need, in the rural areas, a certain level of infrastructure. Water and sewerage are the two main things that we want in the rural area. we don't want to expand small towns like Dunlavin by 10 - or 15,000 . That won't happen and there's no need for it to happen, ${ }^{6: 23}$ but there is a need for the likes of Dunlavin or anywhere else of that nature as well to be able to develop in a coherent fashion with a small number of houses built on a yearly basis that would sustain,
whether it be the post office, the schools or whatever else in the area, but $I$ think we should be in a rural areas allowed to grow in a dignified manner without actually pumping hundreds or thousands of people into some of these small areas.

Bearing in mind as well, Ireland was always very much a rural country. We had eight million living in Ireland and very few of them lived in Dublin or Cork or anywhere else. So we were always very much a rural based population as well.

So, as I said, we don't want to overcrowd the rural areas as well but we do need to sustain it. Thank you, Chairman.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Behan.
CLLR. BEHAN: Cathaoirleach, thank you again for your presentation. Just the two points first of all a question and then a suggestion. The question is: we're in the process of passing our Bray Municipal District Development Plan and the Arklow Municipal District Plan and we passed, about two years ago, the County wicklow County Development Plan; is it the case that all of those are effectively going to have be torn up when these new guidelines are eventually agreed? Secondly, 16:24 a suggestion, I made it when we first discussed this in the very beginning and I want to just make it here again today. I believe myself we should be looking just beyond wicklow for a minute, looking at the whole
nation and the whole country and how as the Government are saying, how it is going to develop up to 2040. we're just 100 years since our independence in 1916 and so on and I think it's time for us to look again at the administrative capital of this country. At the moment, as you say in your document there, we have the business capital and we have the seat of Government. We have everything in Dublin and it's part of our region and that's great. But there's another town, large town/small city in our region and that's Athlone. I firmly believe that there's potential to develop Athlone as a seat of Government as an administrative capital of this country. The same way that the Hague is in Holland for instance.

If you look at all the Government buildings, all of the set-up, if you like, the administration of this country, it's all based on old British Rule, British and aristocracy. Where they lived is kildare Street, Leinster House and so on and so forth. I think for a new millennium we should be thinking outside the box and we should be looking at developing a new seat of Government with a new Civil Service, everything moving to the centre because that will (a) make it more accessible for all parts of the country. It will take some of the requirement for housing and transport and all the rest it more into the centre of the country and I think it would be facilitate a more unified state when eventually the final part of the jigsaw and the
six counties in the North become part of the 32
counties of this Republic which I've no doubt will happen in the fullness of time.

Athlone is in our region as well and I'm just suggesting that that might be something that could be considered at some point by your organisation in the future. Thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Kennedy.
CLLR. KENNEDY: Thank you, Cathaoirleach, and thank you 16:26 to the two gentlemen for their presentation. But I don't think there's anything new here today.

This started about 20 years ago when the powers to be wanted open access in the countryside through farmyards 16:27 and fields; and when that didn't happen then it was open access above a certain meterage above sea level; and when that didn't happen then they were creative enough for the rural development access.

Rural people have a right to live in rural areas and their children have a right to live in rural areas.

Rural people are being penalised, in my opinion, all the time. If they're lucky enough to get planning permission it will cost them 10- or 12,000 . Then they're being hit with levies which is an unfair taxation on young couples.
The National Planning Framework, if adopted, will be
the end of rural life as we know it with the wording "demonstrable economic need". That will kill rural Ireland. They have taken out the word "social" or "economic" it's now demonstrable economic need.

The National Planning Framework, in my opinion, which this feeds into is not a good plan for either urban Ireland or urban Ireland because it will put pressure on both of them. I believe that rural Ireland has a right to develop within itself. Thank you, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. O'brien, did you want to come back?

CLLR. o'brien: I just wanted to come in on cllr. Behan there. I was most interested in his Athlone because, as I've said here before, that was suggested in a Sinn Féin in 1976 called Éire Nua so $I$ wonder has he been reading good literature or where did he get the idea for himself?
CLLR. BEHAN: From listening to you, oliver.
CATHAOIRLEACH: To follow Joe's logic I wonder should we look at redesignating the County Town of wicklow to somewhere in the middle of County, now?
CLLR. VANCE: Could I suggest Ballywaltrim! CATHAOIRLEACH: Jim, would you like to come back?
MR. CONWAY: Thanks, Cathaoirleach, and Members. I suppose it's going to be very difficult within the time to do justice to what's a very, very broad range of views. I suppose to be fair to the Assembly Members
and the Councillors on the Assembly, all of these views have been articulated very, very clearly over a long period of time. As Malachy would have said in his presentation as we11, as an assembly we have made representations on a number of occasions formally to the NPF process around, you know, what these different views and clearly very important views, particularly the rural piece comes but they are urban views as well that are articulated. Maybe what I'll try and do is just mention and touch on the issues that have been raised and maybe ask Malachy to come if there are more technical issues, or anything I haven't covered if that's okay. I'm conscious of time.

Just to be clear for Members, the NPF is the higher order document. We are not feeding up to the NPF and out through this process. The NPF process stands alone and today the Cabinet I understand is meeting on that and we understand that there will be imminent announcements in the next number of weeks on that.

Our process is the next tier and I would hope that our presence here and how we have approached this would demonstrate that, you know, we are consulting across the board, through members and obviously we have our own members that represents the 12 Local Authorities to bring those views to us. Our job is to when we have the hierarchy or the higher plan to see what we can do working through our process to make sure that what you
raise here are addressed. We won't be able to do things that aren't provided for if the NPF brings us in a very particular direction. It is very, very clear the NPF is described as a disruptive policy to change trends where the development is heading east. If you are in assemblies in the south and west, they will be giving out about how we are getting everything and they see us as one assembly. And that is an argument that's going on throughout the country and I've no doubt that the NPF will be informed by that by the time it is pub1ished.

That said, it's for us then to deliver a regional strategy and just to go into, I suppose, directly some of the pieces, you know, what we're looking at they're targets. We have to see how we can work those so that people can live in the areas where they come from insofar as that is possible and I think the whole sustainable living piece, people working close to where they live is something that we would all try to work towards. These are things that are definitely going to take time. Our assembly, the reason we have strategic planning areas is to try and hone that larger piece down to a more, you know, piece that we can work at at a regional scale for us and listen to yourselves who are going to represent your constituents' views and others. Our SPAs are designed to work with all of the agencies and this is coming through. It is fair to say that there has been levels that there hasn't been
engagement in other processes. Whether that is proven to be the case, certainly what we're doing is to make sure that the agencies, and we are aware where there are some policies that other organisations, when they deliver what they see as being on their agenda sometimes don't work together and we are working with those organisations and they are to be part of our process, our technical working groups will include all of them. That's where we are at with that.

I unfortunately don't have Liam Brady's football skills or his money if I had either I would be very happy! Just to deal with the whole area in terms of representation. We are as broadly represented. we have our government, our councillors. So as people are ${ }_{16: 33}$ represented through their Councils we don't have particularly disability or other organisations represented but we are taking obviously all sectors' views into contribution in terms of development of strategies.

I think it's our job to take strategy from being a bad word that people say: It just ends up on the shelf, to being something that a strategy is something that we work on all the time, moves and changes depending on what's changing around it. That goes to comments that are passed about in the number of years we don't know where education is going to be, in terms of where jobs are how does that need to change to deliver that kind
of stuff. So in a very quickly changing environment our strategy has to be flexible in that way and so for that reason I would is suggest that a strategy rather than a very particular defined plan that has to be completely rewritten at the end of it is maybe a way to $16: 34$ go but I'm open to other arguments on that.

I suppose in terms of, I am just trying to go -- there was a lot of said. The transport infrastructure hopefully I'm covering in terms of people's understanding of how we are trying to work with that.

Climate change. Climate change is something -- things have to come somewhere in the document but it's not buried anywhere and I can absolutely assure you that it 16:34 is very, very much part of what we are working with. we have clear, both from an executive and a political perspective, representations and we work, because I am involved in European networks around climate change. we do take that absolutely as critical to where we are going to go over the years.

In terms of the population targets and the whole area about some of the areas that are close to cities, how do we allow for people to work and live within those?
Transportation, C11r. Mitchell you were talking about there. These are parts of what we will have to look at. Education has to be part of what we have to do. I just mentioned this a minute ago. They will be at the
table. They are at the table in terms of the National Planning Framework and informing that. I do think the bottom-up approach is extremely important and it is what hopefully we are doing here, working with people who are representing local views. But I will, again, stress we are not here to sell the National Planning Framework. We are here, as part of our piece which is our Issues Paper to make sure we have no gaps and then we will come forward with our strategy in draft form to our members and that then will be presented for a much broader, I'm sure, level of engagement.

I'm conscious that I don't want to take up too much time.

There will be cross-cutting themes. I think that point cllr. McLoughlin paid around siloed pieces of the strategy. It is as they're set out but we certainly don't see all these things standing alone. They cross over in terms of developing the plan and making sure that they all work with each other.

C11r. Vance would be very well versed, as is
C11r. Mitche11 in terms of the debates that go on in the Assembly and the whole area about the balance and getting it right. It is very, very interesting though and a point that was made at the Assembly is, rural Councillors have the very same opportunity to influence what happens in Dublin as Dublin Councillors are having
to influence what happens in rural areas because the plan is for the full Assembly and for all the Members. so this debate is happening in the Assembly and where that goes in terms of people's views, we will have to have a strategy that reflects all views and not just one particular piece. And the statutory bodies do need to buy in.

There are some areas that I won't pretend that I have, and as an assembly we have an ability to influence around roads and the N81. We're well aware of that and I would be directly well aware of that.

The rural agenda is represented quite clearly and the debate that's going on at Cabinet level at the moment is certainly making sure that that is being represented. But the whole area about how we work around industry, what industries are appropriate and how they come and how we enable that, our strategy has to be an enabler and it has to be something that works
at the local leve1 and gives opportunities for where there are local advantages that they can be used to the local advantage.

The $15 \%$ is something that's exercising a lot of noise.
what tends to happen is, when the population figures, when you take a large chunk out of it and you work backwards it's very stark. Clearly we all went there very early to focus on what it means in terms of where
people can live. I think we need to, as an assembly and we're starting to do that now - is looking at, through our different SPAs and the Technical working Groups about what is it that we can actually do with what we have to develop our own strategy that sits

The area, I'11 get Malachy again -- I'm not giving you the hospital pass, Malachy, but on the County Develop Plans, whether they're torn up or not, there's very much a debate going on around that, what happens next, when the National Planning Framework comes out and then when our Regional Plans are developed and how that will be managed and what that means. Certain7y it is something that is happening nationally that's very much in people's minds and something that does have an impact across all of the Local Authorities in or around the country. Malachy, anything -- I'm sorry if I've 1eft anything out there.

MR. BRADLEY: Just to add, I think there was a couple of small questions there. I think the Director, Jim, covered most of the issues. Do we have somebody from the disability sector who is on our board? We do not but we'd welcome any inputs. We have obviously referred out, at this stage, to all statutory agencies
but certainly from all sectors we'd welcome any input and particularly from sectors like that. We don't have anyone specifically sitting on our Senior officials Advisory Group, which would probably be the place for
that.

Jim had touched on climate change. It is and it has been identified as an issue relied on but it is actually, the cross-cutting thing would take across the whole strategy so it's something that we're going to have to address.

The other question C11r. Matthews asked. We would hope to have a draft issued later this year, before the summer we'd hope to go on display with a draft before summer. That has moved somewhat due to the delay at the national level of policy which we've talked about quite a lot here in the National Planning Framework. And yes, the Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy is a statutory document. It's legislation from the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) so that's why we've explicit statutory periods within it, the Members have a statutory function, et cetera. So it is, in that extent, exactly the same as a county development plan.

Two other items I want to cover. The LAPs and Development Plans. There will be a need, post adoption, to have a look at all the Local Authority
core strategies in the region. However, I think the most recent development plans and LAPs, because they are more recent, have certainly an opportunity to influence the strategy as well. I think that's part of the bottom-up approach that will be taken as part of it. Obviously there will have to be an input from all the plans throughout the region that are there, everything from Development Plans to LAPs and to local Economic Community Plans.

Finally, the comment there by c11r. Mitchell about the doughnut city and the population. To go through some of the comments from other Councillors. Our evidence certainly has shown the divergence between where people live and work and it's very prevalent within this
County. It is also showing that there is a trend, not just in Dublin City but in some other cities and we're hollowing out the cores of people living further away from where they work and obviously a sprawl development of greenfield areas. There's whole host of issues that 16:42 then permeates up and that we have to try and address in strategy and try to come together with other stakeholders to improve that. I think in general it comes under a quality of life issue, which is very wide but it's something we're going to have to cover in the 16:42 strategy.

I think the Director has covered everything there, I hope. We would welcome submissions from yourselves.

We are open for consultation and we are happy to engage outside the consultation process which finishes on 16th February as well. So thank you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Can I just ask one final question? Do you accept how unrealistic the $15 \%$ population increase is in all the towns in wicklow up until 2040?
MR. CONWAY: Well I suppose, Cathaoirleach, the position we're in, we have to deliver on whatever the Oireachtas decides and this is a decision of the Oireachtas. We can certainly see the difficulties that 16:43 it would pose for counties. What we are doing through our process is looking at the evidence that's there and giving the opportunity for input directly into our RSES around that. Certainly we will take that as people come. Once the NPF is delivered that would give us the 16:43 hierarchy of where we go in terms of that.

Now, that's why we can't say where it's at yet because it hasn't been delivered yet. So it's a difficult one for us.

MR. CURRAN: Cathaoirleach, we have made a submission both as an Executive and on behalf of the Councillors in relation to that very issue. And it's a real issue. If look at by 2028, as you mentioned, even the larger towns wicklow 8,000; Arklow 8,000 of a difference; Bray 16:43 3,000. That's very significant in terms of our Development Plan, in terms of planning for schools, et cetera. But we have written to them and we have made it to the local Oireachtas Members well. It's a big
issue for wicklow.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Okay. Thanks very much, gentlemen. Member, I'd ask that before I get to Item 5, could I bring forward Item 6 and Item 9, they are planning and housing issues and I'd like to get, especially to bring 16:44 forward the estates to be taken in charge. Is it okay if I move to Item 6 next and then following that Item 9. Is that agreed? Because they will be quick items. CLLR. WHITMORE: Are we not taking suspensions? That was to be at 4:30.

CATHAOIRLEACH: We will take that, we'11 aim for it at 5:00 o'clock. The meeting is extending to 5:30. Is that okay?

CLLR. WHITMORE: Yeah.
CLLR. LAWLESS: As long as it's heard.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I'11 make sure it's heard. I'd just like to take Item 6 please, if that's agreed. MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. This is the last stage of the plan. It is the result of the consultation period on the amendments that you adopted previously. We got very, very few submissions back, mainly from the State bodies. You've had the Manager's report on the various amendments made and Bernadette here has done a synopsis document there which puts the original, what was on display of the amendments and Manager's report together and it's all in order.

If we want to go through that document. The first one is in response to the Department initially. It was
population housing figures updated for the census results which were out previous to the Draft Plan. CLLR. MCDONALD: I propose it. CATHAOIRLEACH: It looks like I need proposers and seconders for each of these.

MS. GALLAGHER: Proposed by C11r. McDonald. Seconded? CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed?
MS. GALLAGHER: Seconded?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Pat Fitzgerald.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: Yeah.
MR. O'BRIEN: The other one was Coolboy residential down zoning. There were submissions made but they were really quite neutral on it and the recommendation of the Chief Executive is to proceed.
CLLR. ANNESLEY: Proposed.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: Seconded.
MS. GALLAGHER: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O'bRIEN: The third one is Moneylilttle going from residential to tourism. There was some submissions
from the Department and the NTA. The Chief Executive is recommending this not proceed in line with his original advice.
CLLR. ANNESLEY: Propose.
CLLR. MCDONALD: Seconded.
MS. GALLAGHER: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O'BRIEN: Yellow Lane is one where it went from
Town Centre down to a highish density residential. The

Chief Executive is recommending to proceed, as he did previously.
CLLR. ANNESLEY: Proposed.
CLLR. KENNEDY: Seconded.
MS. GALLAGHER: Is that agreed? 16:47
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MS. GALLAGHER: Thank you.
MR. O'BRIEN: Seabank residential and employment zone.
The Department of the Environment have made a submission against this and the Chief Executive is
recommending not to proceed in line with his original advice.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: I propose that we do.
CLLR. BOURKE: Second.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: I propose to follow the Chief
Executive's recommendation on it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We'11 take a vote then.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Chairman, like the SEA suggests significant environmental hazard. This is number 5 and it fails the justification test. we had a long debate about flooding issues here last week.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Do you have a seconder? Do I have a seconder for C11r. Matthews' proposal to proceed? No seconder.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Okay, it goes for a vote.
CATHAOIRLEACH: We'11 go for a vote then, number 5. [VOTE TAKEN]
MS. GALLAGHER: That's 19 for; one against; 11 not present; and one abstaining.

MR. O'BRIEN: Item 6 is (inaudible) it's from existing residential to employment. There was some submissions from the NTA and the TII but they were actually erroneous in that they thought they were directly onto the motorway. The Chief Executive, as he did previously, is recommending not to proceed. CLLR. FITZGERALD: I'm proposing that we do proceed. CLLR. MURPHY: I'11 second that. CATHAOIRLEACH: Proposed by C11r. Fitzgerald and seconded by Cllr. Murphy to proceed. Is that agreed? FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.

MR. O'BRIEN: Number 7 is in Shelton. This is a piece of land on the other side of the railway line from the rest of the old Fert site. The Chief Executive, as he did previously, is recommending not to proceed.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: I'm proposing that we do proceed in the light of developments.

CLLR. KENNEDY: I'11 second that.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Chairman, I propose to accept the Chief Executive's recommendation on that. It fails the justification test.

CLLR. FITZGERALD: Can I say I worked in it for 34 years and the only rain that came out of it was from the sky. There was no flooding. I don't know who done the --

CATHAOIRLEACH: Proposed by C11r. Fitzgerald, seconded by C11r. Kennedy. Do you want to speak, C11r. Kennedy? CLLR. KENNEDY: Yeah. I just want to backup what Cllr. Fitzgerald said because I always worked there and

I never saw that piece of land flooding either. CLLR. MATTHEWS: For the record I also worked there. CLLR. FITZGERALD: You only passed through. CLLR. MATTHEWS: I worked on the site. CATHAOIRLEACH: Did anyone else work there!

CLLR. FITZGERALD: That's in inverted commas they "worked".
MR. O'BRIEN: Anyone else take wages from there!
CATHAOIRLEACH: we need to go for a vote. This is to proceed?

CLLR. FITZGERALD: To proceed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Fitzgerald proposed; seconded by C11r. Kennedy. [VOTE TAKEN]
MS. GALLAGHER: That's 18 for; one against; 11 not present; and two abstaining.
MR. O'BRIEN: Amendment number 8 was a number of roads objectives, some were from the NTA and some were from Roadstone. The Chief Executive recommends to proceed, as he did previous7y.
CLLR. MURPHY: I propose.
CLLR. ANNESLEY: I second it. CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed? FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed, yeah. MR. O'BRIEN: Amendment number 9 is a further roads objective. Sorry, this was the Roadstone one I proceed previously and recommends it again.

CLLR. MURPHY: I propose.
CLLR. McDONALD: I'11 second.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O'BRIEN: Amendment number 10 is on the basis that the landowner wanted the marsh included in his housing action area. The chief Executive recommends to.

CLLR. MURPHY: I propose that.
CLLR. McDONALD: I second that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O'BRIEN: Amendment number 11 is an action area plan where the Members wanted the colouring on the suggested sort of zoning inside the action area plan put down into a sort of a blank. This is a mixed use area. The Chief Executive didn't recommend to proceed previously and doesn't recommend to proceed on this
now.
CLLR. BOURKE: I propose we proceed.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: I second that.
CLLR. MURPHY: I propose or second it.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: I seconded it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O'BRIEN: Amendment number 12 is just a description of extractive industry was put in, which the Chief Executive recommended previously.
CLLR. MCDONALD: I propose it.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: I second it. CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that agreed? FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.

MR. O'BRIEN: Amendment number 13. This was a phasing in an implemented schedule that the Department asked us to put it in, which we did, and they actually made a further submission on this so we actually further modified it, just to emphasise the phasing a bit more. The Chief Executive recommends this.

CLLR. BOURKE: Proposed.
CLLR. MCDONALD: Seconded.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O'BRIEN: Kilbride Action Area Plan alterations.
This came from the receiver of the property there. The Chief Executive recommends proceeding.
CLLR. BOURKE: I propose that.
CLLR. McDONALD: I second it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O'BRIEN: Amendment number 15 is changing OS2 to RE on Beech Road. This was just a mistake on the map in fact and the Chief Executive recommends proceeding with it.

CLLR. MCDONALD: Proposed.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: Seconded.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O'BRIEN: Finally, there's a tourism at Ballynattin as a final amendment. It's proposed by the Chief Executive, as it was by the Department of Planning not to proceed.

CLLR. BOURKE: I propose we proceed with that. CLLR. MCDONALD: I second that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Bourke proceeds that we do proceed and C11r. McDonald seconds it. Is that agreed? CLLR. MATTHEWS: Did that pass the flood justification 10:57 test? Okay.
CATHAOIRLEACH: That's agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O'bRIEN: Finally then, Bernadette has put down the wording of a proposal to adopt the plan. That's the chief executive as per the alterations agreed and the alterations agreed to be further modified by the Members, and any changes consequent be adopted and to adopt the Arklow Environs Local Area Plan 2018-2024.
CLLR. ANNESLEY: I propose it.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: I second it.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Vote. [VOTE TAKEN]
MS. GALLAGHER: That's 18 for; 13 not present; and one abstaining.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Annesley, did you want to say
something?
CLLR. ANNESLEY: Cathaoirleach, I just want to thank the Planning Team and Des and Sorcha and Bernadette for their intensive work on this Development Plan and just to say thank you very much and the other Councillors

CATHAOIRLEACH: I'm taking Item 9 to take the following estates in charge.
CLLR. BOURKE: I propose we go ahead with those,

Cathaoirleach.
MS. GALLAGHER: Proposed by C11r. Bourke.
CLLR. KENNEDY: I second that.
MS. GALLAGHER: Seconded by C11r. Kennedy. Is that agreed?

FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOIRLEACH: That's Item 9. We have Suspension of Standing Orders. I still have three items on the agenda I'd like to get to. I am going to take the Suspension of Standing Orders which also coincides with 17:00 Notice of Motion Number 5. I'd just ask Members, I'm trying to get everything finished by 5:30. I have got three other items on the agenda as well and the local electoral area boundary has a closing date in a couple of weeks' time so I'd like to do that. And Item 10 on the lighting was also mentioned at the last meeting as we11 so I'd like to get to that. C11r. Behan.

CLLR. BEHAN: Cathaoirleach, $I$ just want to make a proposal. We all know the situation there so $I$ want to propose that we write, as a Council, to the Minister for Education and that we ask that emergency legislation be put through the Dáil to allow the Department of Education to take control of all of the buildings under Carillion's remit and ensure that the pupils who are waiting to access get the schools have that access as soon as possible. That's my proposal. CLLR. MATTHEWS: I'11 second that, Chair. CATHAOIRLEACH: Do I have a seconder for that? CLLR. LAWLESS: Yeah.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Lawless would like to second that. Cllr. Fortune.

CLLR. FORTUNE: I was going to support what C11r. Behan said.

CATHAOIRLEACH: I think we're al1 agreed on that, are we?

FROM THE FLOOR: Yeah.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I'11 leave that then. Just back to Item 5: To consider the Chief Executive's Monthly Management Report (copy attached).

MR. CURRAN: Cathaoirleach, I can take it as read until unless there's any questions.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Fitzgerald.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: C11r. Murphy has had to leave now so I'm only reading from a script here. "Dear Frank", they're not my words, by the way.


#### Abstract

"I wish to highlight the issues around the disabled person's adoption scheme for wicklow County Council tenants. I understand there are up to 100 alone whose applications are classed as Priority 1 for work to be done. Even at that there is no guarantee that the work can be assessed and carried out. From what I understand people whose applications are listed under Priority 2 and 3 are likely never to have their applications considered at a11."


That's not my views. I want to be clear. I'd have an opposite view. But I'm asked --

CATHAOIRLEACH: You're just passing that on. CLLR. FITZGERALD: I'm passing it on up there. MR. CURRAN: It is purely a function of the funding we receive that we get around to Priority 1.
CLLR. FITZGERALD: I know how it works myself. It's not me, it's Miriam has just had to heave there. CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Thornhil1, do you want to come in?

CLLR. THORNHILL: Cathaoirleach, go raibh maith agat. Cathaoirleach, this was just an item that I was going to bring up earlier on and I was going to ask for a Suspension of Standing Orders but someone reminded me just to maybe bring up the matter at this time.

This is about the Chinese New Year and as we know this Chinese New Year is the year of the dog. It will be celebrated all over in Cork, Limerick, Galway and Dublin and also hopefully it will be celebrated in Bray where there are more Chinese living than anywhere else in Ireland. The point about what I'm trying to get across is, we are twinned with Hainan and as we all know the amount of effort that has gone into improving relations between China and Ireland. The thing about is, the point I'm trying to get across and as everyone knows I have a strong interest in this, that to improve 17:04 our relations we should be showing our interest and put our money where our mouth is. Now these Chinese Residents Association in Bray have never ever got financial help in Bray for putting forward an event
like this. So what I'm just saying to management here that we should go and support them. I've been talking to Mr. Nicholson about it, you know, but he was telling me that maybe we could do something to support them.

I would just like to hear from the Chief Executive in relation to it.

MR. CURRAN: Can I come back on that. We have a budget for that but it's going towards the Powerscourt House on Friday 2nd March. It is just the lighting up of Powerscourt House. The Chinese Ambassador will be down. Obviously the local Chinese will be advertised. we don't have a budget for the other one. We have a discretionary fund, as you know, so we could have a look at that I'11 come back to you. We don't have a specific budget for it but I'11 have a look at it and I'11 come back to you.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Brendan, did you have a specific proposal as regards what funding would be spent on it? Is there a specific item in question?
CLLR. THORNHILL: Mr. Nicholson has been made aware of the proposal. Now he did suggest to me that there is a discretionary fund in Bray and he did suggest to me as well that maybe if we, in Bray, put up a certain amount that it could be matched by Council funds. Because at the end of the day, and I stress this and I really do mean this, we can't have it both ways. We have to, you know, invest in these chinese because at the end of the day, you know, it's the future and it's something like,

I'm sure it's something later on that's going to be discussed at Protocol about inviting Prof. Li Ming along to discuss our relations. I would like to see this matter.
MR. CURRAN: Can we have a look at that off-line. Some 17:06 money from the discretionary fund and we'11 find some money some place else.
CATHAOIRLEACH: I would agree with you, Brendan, we are either serious about our relationship with China or we're not. So let's move it on.
CLLR. THORNHILL: Go raibh maith agat.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Item 7: Review of Local Electoral Areas: To note letter dated 17th January from the Local Electoral Area Boundary Committees (copy previously circulated and attached). I think we also got e-mails on this about different populations around the County. It's obviously something that concerns all Councillors. There's also guidelines that were issued a couple of months back from the Department and I think submissions have to be in by some day later this month. 17:07 19th February.
MR. MURPHY: Cathaoirleach, as you mentioned there the Members have been circulated with a copy of correspondence dated 17th January from the Secretary of the Local Electoral Area Boundary Committee in relation 17:07 to the review of Local Electoral Areas 2018.

The correspondence states that the Minister for Local Government and Electoral Reform, Deputy John Paul

Phelan has established two Committees to review Local Electoral Areas and to report to him within six months.

One of the committees will concentrate on the four Dublin Local Authorities and the other Committee will concentrate on the remainder of the country.

This review is being undertaken in preparation for the local elections which are to be held in 2019 and having regards to the results of Census 2016.

The policy objective is to reduce the size of territorially large Local Electoral Areas and to designate urban-focused Local Electoral Areas around the larger towns. The Committee whose work will
include wicklow is tasked with making recommendations on the division of Council areas into Local Electoral Areas and the number of members of the Council to be assigned to each such Local Electoral Area.

The Committee's report will be considered by the Minister as the basis for the revision of the Local Electoral Areas and Municipal Districts under his statutory powers. The Committee is inviting submissions from Local Authorities with a closing date 17:09 of Monday the 19th, which is this day two weeks.

The terms of reference of the Committee which run to just two pages provide that, and I'11 start with

Article 2:
"For the purpose of the review, the Committee should have regard to the population as ascertained by Census 2016.

It should assume no change in the total membership of each Local Authority."

Wicklow County Council has 32 Members so there will be no change in total number of members for wicklow County Council.
"The Committee should also endeavour to achieve a variance from individual average Local Authority representation within the range of plus or minus 10\%."

As it stands all five Wicklow Municipal Districts are within this range of plus or minus $10 \%$ so we're okay on that.

Article 3 provides that:
"The number of Councillors assigned to a Local Electoral Area shall be not less than five and not more 17:10 than seven provided that in particular compelling circumstances three our four-seat Local Electoral Areas may be recommended where otherwise the geographical size of the area would be disproportionately large."

I'11 come back to this point in a moment.

Article 4 of the terms of reference provide that:
"A distinct urban-focused Local Electoral Area shall be designated in respect of each town, the population of which within the County as ascertained at Census 2016, when rounded to the nearest thousand is equal to or greater than 15,000."

Bray and Greystones are the only towns in wicklow with a census population exceeding 15,000.

Article 7 provides that:
"Towns, the population as ascertained by Census 2016, when rounded to the nearest thousand is equal to or greater than 30,000 shal1 be designated a Municipal District Borough District."

Bray is just short of this figure with a census town population within the County of approximately 28,500 . However Article 4 provides that:
"A distinct urban-focused Local Electoral Area shall be designated in respect of each town with a population equal to or greater than $15,000 . "$
So it would seem that the Bray Local Electoral Area

Boundary will reduce to include just the town and the immediate hinterland.

The number of Council Members for the revised Bray LEA wil1 reduce to seven because the maximum allowable under Article 3 of the terms of reference is seven. So the remain DEDs in the existing Bray Municipal District comprising Enniskerry, Powerscourt and Kilmacanogue will either become a separate new Local Electoral Area or will transfer to Greystones Municipal District or possibly wicklow Municipal District.

In the case of Greystones, Article 4 provides that:
"A distinct urban-focused Local Electoral Area shall be 17:13 designated as the Greystones/Delgany census town population exceeds 15,000 and in accordance with Article 3 a minimum of five Members."

The issue there is that the census town population of Delgany/Greystones is 18,140 and that will really only sustain four Members and not five Members.

In the case then of wicklow, which is the County town Article 5 provides that:
"A distinct Local Electoral Area shal1 be designated based, as far as practicable, on the areas of the census town."

But the census town population for wicklow is 10,584 , which is far too small to sustain a minimum of five members as per Article 3 I think it is. So it would really only sustain a little more than two Members and not five.

Returning then to Article 3, which I mentioned earlier which provides that:
"In particular compelling circumstances three or four-seat Local Electoral Areas may be recommended where otherwise the geographical size of the area would be disproportionately large."

This article is particularly relevant to Baltinglass or the Baltinglass Local Electoral Area. However, I understand that the Baltinglass Municipal District Members have already indicated that they do not wish to split the Municipal District from one six-seater to two three-seaters so they're seeking to have the status quo remain.

The Bray Municipal District Members I understand have their meeting tomorrow night and they are going to consider this matter further. So it's open to the Members here to make a submission, whether that submission is that the existing five Municipal District structure remains unchanged other otherwise. We can,
of course arrange to have a whole workshop for all the Members if you so wish. If the Members wish to make a submission to the Boundary Committee we would be more than happy to facilitate this. As I have already mentioned, the closing date is this day fortnight so it's a very tight timeframe.

Again, apologies if all of this sounds very confusing but to put it mildly we have found the interpretation of the terms of reference to be somewhat challenging. Thank you, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Thank you very much, Tom. I'd ask Members to be very brief because we have a short time left in the meeting so keep it to about a minute, will you? Thanks, John.
CLLR. SNELL: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. Thanks to Tom for outlining what details he has. Unfortunately I believe a lot of Members are not aware of what's coming down the line. I raised this at our last Municipal District in East wicklow and asked for the six public representatives to meet and discuss our own area in particular. But I do think it has wider implications for the whole county and I see some Councillors looking at you when they hear bray is going to be reduced from eight-seater to a seven and in regards to other areas as well. Like there is issues out there in regards to the changing of the boundary. Newtownmountkennedy, as I have raised before, part of it is in the Greystones Electoral Area, a very small percentage of it. I would
like to see it coming into the east. But in regards to the whole set up of the terms of reference from five to seven seats and there, is in exceptional circumstances, as has been outlined, a Municipal District can be split in two such as West wicklow, still be retained a Municipal District with two local areas of three-seaters but still one Municipal District. There is a lack of clarity around the rest of the Municipal Districts and I believe that a workshop should be participated and held here to allow the Members to participate in dialogue on this. The reality is that we only have two weeks from today to make submissions. It closes on 19th February at 5:00 o'clock. Unfortunately, and this is nobody's fault here, but I think we should have had more time around this. It seems to be very congested in trying to get our ideas together. And certainly we as a Municipal District won't be meeting before 19th February but I will be talking to the Cathaoirleach of our Municipal District to see why he didn't participate in arranging a meeting for us to make a joint submission, but I'11 certainly be making my own submission. Thanks, Cathaoirleach. CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, part of this, there was an unholy mess made of Local Government reform at the last 17:18 election and this has compounded it because there's nothing about -- this is all about Members switching maybe one area to the other. It's nothing about what it should be about: the functions of municipal

Districts. There's nothing about the functions, the planning functions, the finance raising functions to give it proper power in my Municipal District. Nothing about that. This is all about Councillors moving from one area to another. It is getting worse by the minute. What they have done, this is something that came out of the Department of the Environment and they made a total mess out of it. In actual fact they're making it worse now in this sense. To say, for instance, that Kilmac should go into the wicklow area. I mean it's ridiculous. Twenty miles away, or 18 miles away. Crazy. When Bray is beside it. It's absolutely nut case type of thinking of people that are in there. They have no conception of really what public reps and what we do is all about. Really and truly they're asking for -- it's bad enough as it is, but it's an awful lot better than what is proposed here in regard to Bray. In actual fact in regard to Bray you would be better off saying you'11 have two four-seaters in it and retain the eight seats there for the simple reason to give proper representation across the board, rather than putting the likes of Kilmac and Enniskerry into Greystones or Wicklow area. It's absolutely ludicrous type of stuff that's going on here.

Really I mean if it was possible at all I mean I'd reject this whole thing because the whole should be, there should be a total reform in regard to Local Government and the major, major towns in the country
should get their own Municipal Authorities in regard to what we have in Bray. I know exactly what happened in Bray in regard to the functions that have been taken out of that town. We have less than half the staff in a town the size of Bray of what we had. It's absolutely scandalous the type of service that we're giving to the public compared to what we had been given to the public before. Thank you, Chairman.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Seven more speaker so be brief please.
Cl1r. o'Neill.
CLLR. O'NEILL: Sorry. Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Thanks, Tom. Just I'11 be very brief. We have already discussed they at a Municipal meeting. I wonder what would your thoughts be on, there's about 4- or 500 houses actually in Blessington but they're in kildare, they're in the County of kildare. I mean if you go into the Orchard, the housing estate, you know, 20 feet across the road you're in kildare and those two hundred odd houses there are in kildare. Now they pay their levies to Kildare but they use the services of Blessington so a lot of houses in the area right out along the main N 81 who would be part of kildare, their children go to school in Blessington, all their services are in Blessington. Maybe that could be something we could consider.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Are you proposing to have wicklow annexed as a little bit of kildare, Gerry?

Cl1r. Fortune.
CLLR. FORTUNE: Thanks, Cathaoirleach? I'm encouraged
to hear what I've heard so far from the Members. This is the greatest load of horse manure I've ever seen in a long time. It makes absolutely no sense whatsoever. I would be disgusted if this was to happen in my area because in the district I'm in, which I think works very well, Kilcoole and Newcastle, until the time the Districts were brought in, was part of the County scene outside of that and got the hind you know what on everything. At least in the District we're part of -I think we should be recommending strongly, as Members, that everything stays as is. I hear what C11r. Sne11 is saying but if you look at some of the crazy rationale behind this, if you turn Greystones and Delgany into a 15,000 -seater - just to describe it that way - and you take Kilcoole and Newcastle out of it, well the logic would be to put Newtown into that new regime, which would be talking all of Newtown, not just Killadreenan.

So this whole thing, I don't know who thinks this stuff up. But I think, and I would say to the Members, for what it's worth I think we should be saying no, we want to stay as it is because it makes no sense. This is crazy stuff. You know, myself and C11r. Vance would argue on lots of issues but his comment about the Municipal District functions and that kind of thing, I agree totally with him. I mean they set up the Municipal District and gave us no bloody authority and gave us no budgets and gave us no whatever. I mean
it's bloody madness. No wonder the country is in the goddamn state it's in. Again, when we were talking earlier about the spatial strategy and $I$ was listening to, as I said, Michael Ring and Eamon ó Cuiv, for crying out loud, you know, this is the greatest load of 17:23 horse manure I read in a long time.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cl1r. Lawless. Be brief, if you can, please.
CLLR. LAWLESS: Yeah, I will be brief. I mean I'm actually in agreement with previous speakers as well. 17:24 I think this is just absolutely crazy. I mean the thoughts of even having Greystones at Enniskerry and Kilmac and that can be part of Greystones. Again, you're kind of wondering who are these people sitting up in an office? They have absolutely no notion whatsoever as what local representatives have to actually do on the ground and even just to travel to get around to these places. I will definitely be putting a submission in on this. But, Tom, just some of the information you've actually just read out, I know it's not, I don't think it's contained in the letter there just in regards to what they're suggesting Greystones/Delgany together. Would you mind actually e-mailing that information to so we actually have it. It's not in this letter, is it, what you just read out? ${ }^{17: 24}$ MR. MURPHY: No, we're just interpreting.

CLLR. LAWLESS: Would you mind putting that in paper for us because you've read it in here and it's obviously being recorded by the stenographer but if you
could just e-mail it so that when we are putting our submissions in, we can recall what you've actually told us of suggested areas, where they're talking it out and where they're putting it in so that when we're actually making our submissions we can make an intelligent submission in on that as well.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Is that okay, Tom?
MR. MURPHY: Yeah.
CLLR. LAWLESS: Just the basics like Greystones, like those basic stats that you've just given out to us.
That would be really good. Thank you, Tom.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Blake.
CLLR. BLAKE: As you said there, we did have a discussion in the Baltinglass District and we did make a recommendation. We were conscious of the fact that it is a very large area, it covers from the Dublin Border to the Wexford Border but we felt that in view of the fact that it is only three and a half years into the present system that it was premature in terms of breaking up the areas again and that we'11 at least give it a bedding in period any time and see how it would work. Maybe one more election anyway at least. I think there is an awful lot of difficulties out there with it, we knew that from three years ago. But we felt in the Baltinglass area anyway that we'11 give it another period of time anyway to see how it works in that regard. We have made a submission on it and that's the submission we have made. Thanks, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Mitche11.
CLLR. MITCHELL: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. As far as I'm concerned, representing the Greystones area, it seems to be working fine as it is. I think Kilcoole and Newcastle have a relationship and public transport routes, et cetera, to Greystones; Kilmacanogue and Enniskerry don't. The on7y exception is, as has been mentioned, is killadreenan always seems to me to be part of Newtownmountkennedy that would be the only thing that seems a little unusual.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Walsh.
CLLR. WALSH: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Basically the same point made by Councillors Fortune and Mitchell. You said, Tom, there in your presentation, looking at Greystones you said it will be viewed as a distinct urban-focused Electoral Area with a minimum of five members based on the Greystones and Delgany population. The point I was going to make, and it has been made by Cllr. Fortune in relation to the populations of Kilcoole and Newcastle. I think really the status quo, 17:27 I think as every other member has stated, the status quo should be retained. That's the general view. Thank you.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Thanks, Members. I mean I agree with most of the speakers. In the Baltinglass area the idea 17:27 of splitting the Electoral District into two areas of three-seaters could mean that part of West wicklow would actually be put into South wicklow, which also would make absolutely no sense. Clearly the guidelines
here haven't been through in terms of their implications for particular areas. I think we're all fairly unanimous on our view.

C11r. Fortune has a proposal that we leave things as is $17: 27$ and that seems to have come back from a lot of Members.
Could I suggest --
CLLR. FORTUNE: I propose that.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do I have a seconder for that?
CLLR. KAVANAGH: I second that.
CLLR. BLAKE: Could we have the workshops anyway?
CATHAOIRLEACH: Do Members wants to make a submission along the lines of what Cllr. Fortune has proposed?
CLLR. KAVANAGH: I think we should make a submission on behalf of the Council.
CATHAOIRLEACH: To leave the status quo as is. It's only in place for three years and it's working okay so far.
CLLR. KAVANAGH: There isn't anybody who said there is any advantage to be gained. It is too early into the
new system and nobody really seems to know what the idea behind all this is. It's like somebody has just plucked figures out of the air without looking to see where these areas are in relation to each other. I mean the idea that kilmacanogue will move from where it $17: 28$ is to somewhere else is just beyond a joke or coming into the wicklow area. we have to go outwards as well as downwards. If you start going up nearly as far as Bray it is just really a joke. I don't think anybody
is happy with any of those changes. why don't we just propose that if we leave things as they are and we send in a submission, as Tom suggested, to say we're happy to leave things as they are.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: Sorry, Cathaoirleach, just a quick one. We have to be within 1,000 for the 15,000 boundary. Do you have to be within 1,000 for the Municipal Borough designation.
MR. MURPHY: You have to hit 30 .
CLLR. MATTHEWS: We couldn't look for a doubling on that, could we?
MR. MURPHY: There's two things. One point in relation to what C11r. O'Neill said and that is we have to confine our review to the administrative area of wicklow. We can't go into kildare or we can't go into Dun Laoghaire-Rathdown. It specifies that clearly right across the terms of reference.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Cllr. Behan.
CLLR. BEHAN: If kilmacanogue and Enniskerry are
included with bray does it then become a borough? MR. MURPHY: No, the intention is to create an urban-focused centre based around the concentration on the population and the Bray census town population is really from the boundary with Dun Laoghaire-Rathdown across to the Southern Cross. That's broadly speaking the census town boundary and that's where the boundary is contained to and will not extend beyond that into

Fassaroe or into Kilmacanogue.
MR. CURRAN: There is nothing to stop you making a submission in that regard to say that you bring in those three areas, which are small enough, Enniskerry, Powerscourt, Kilmacanogue and bring it over to 30 and cal1 it a Municipal Borough District.

CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, does it not take in future development? Like in regard to the golf club lands there's 1,000 units going in there, which effectively will up the population.

MR. MURPHY: It's al1 based on the 2016 Census population.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Members, I'm just conscious of time. Do we want to make a submission on the lines of C11r. Fortune's proposal? I've certainly no issue with 17:31 it.

CLLR. VANCE: I'd go along with that.
CLLR. MCDONALD: Make it provisional.
CATHAOIRLEACH: As a group, as a council.
CLLR. VANCE: I think we should leave it alone.
CATHAOIRLEACH: A submission would be to retain the status quo.
CLLR. VANCE: Yeah.
CLLR. MATTHEWS: will it make the count any quicker!
CATHAOIRLEACH: That's agreed then. There's just one 17:32 item left. I'm going to squeeze it in even though I'm looking at 17:30 here. Item 10 that C11r. Behan asked to put it on the agenda. If you'd like to say a brief word on it, Joe.

CLLR. BEHAN: I'd like to just thank Mr. Quirke. I don't know whether he wants to say anything but we got a very comprehensive report from him which outlines the problem and the solution. I'm just happy that there is a solution in place so I just want to thank you him for 17:32 that.

CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Dunne.
CLLR. DUNNE: Also, along with C11r. Behan I'd like to thank Mr. Quirke for coming back to us, but it doesn't do away with the fact that in Wicklow Town we've so many areas out, dangerous areas of the town. I'm talking about the South Quay especially where we've two gyms, where we have a fish shop, you know, a boxing club, a hardware store. The amount of people coming to me on it and asking can these lights be done as quickly 17:32 as possible and in my opinion this has to be fast tracked as quickly as possible before the clock goes back, thank you. Or forward.
CATHAOIRLEACH: C11r. Sne11.
CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I just want to thank Sean and his staff, but in particular Declan O'Brien who is based upstairs and certainly his office is always open to anyone if they want to come in and raise any issues in regards the lights and that. I found him very helpful and I just want to put it on record in regards that he is keeping a lot of pressure on Airtricity and these groups that supply the lights for the constituents in the public and I just want to acknowledge the report that we got here today.

CATHAOIRLEACH: Just briefly before we finish, Lorraine just has a quick thing.
MS. GALLAGHER: Just before we finish, just to advise the Elected Members that Irish Water will be back with us on 5th March doing the water clinics. They were here in September so I'11 be sending you out an e-mail.

For those of you who haven't submitted your ethics declarations and annual donations, if you would submit them to the Ethics officer.

In relation to the Protocol minutes that were circulated for the last six months, if I could have a proposer and seconder please?
CLLR. SNELL: Propose.
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second.
MS. GALLAGHER: Thank you. C11r. Sne11 and
C11r. Vance. The Corporate Policy Group meetings that have been circulated; proposer and seconder.
CLLR. VANCE: I propose.
CLLR. WALSH: I second.
CATHAOIRLEACH: Thank you, C11r. Vance and C11r. Walsh.
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| 39:22, 41:9, 48:3, | 88:28, 89:4, 91:7, | Bord [1] - 85:3 | 126:24, 127:24, | build [14] | 90:15, 104:7 |
| 95:16, 98:14, | 92:24, 92:25, | order [2] | 129:12, 129:18 | 28:25, 38:22 | buy-in [2] - |
| 117:17, 118:3 | 92:26, 92:27 | 133:17 | 130:2, 130:3, | 42:7, 42:8, 43:15, | $84: 25,85: 10$ |
| 136:19, 137:27, | 93:9, 108:29 | boring [1] - | 130:5, 135:29, | $46: 1,47: 15$ | buzzer [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 138: 8 \\ & \text { BEHAN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bigger [1] - } \\ & 70: 29 \end{aligned}$ | 71:26 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 136:21, 136:24 } \\ & \text { break [1] - } 51: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $48: 11,57: 23$ | 56:21 |
| 3:14, 18:21, 19:1, | biggest[1] - | 124:20, 136:؛ | breaking [1] | 82:6, 88:26, | C |
| 19:21, 39:23, | 38:5 | 137:6 | 133:2 | 90:24 |  |
| 41:10, 95:17, $98 \cdot 20.117: 18$ | $\text { bit }[14]-20: 25$ |  | Brendan [2] | building [6] - |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 98: 20,117: 18, \\ & 136: 20,138: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:17, 25:18, } \\ & 44: 19,48: 28, \end{aligned}$ | $136: 21$ | $120: 18,121: 8$ | $32: 12,37: 14$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cabinet [3] - } \\ 63: 16,99: 18, \end{gathered}$ |
| behind [8] - | $48: 29,56: 5$ | $53: 3,54: 22$ | $15: 25,16: 23$ | $94: 6,94: 12$ | 104:15 |
| 51:24, 57:3, | 75:11, 81:23, | $\text { 78:16, } 88: 27$ | 17:12 | BUILDINGS [1] - | CAD [1] - 89:21 |
| 57:13, 57:16, | 85:27, 89:12, | 103:3, 107:5 | Brid [2]-29:2 | 1:7 | cannot [3] - |
| 85:23, 86:14 | $115: 5,130: 27$ | bottom-up [3] | $34: 21$ | buildings [6] - | 12:4, 20:28, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:13, 135:22 } \\ & \text { below }[2]-67: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blake [7]-2:22, } \\ & \text { 20:12, 55:18, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 16,103: 3 \\ & 107: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { brief }[6] \text { - } \\ 127: 13,130: \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 1,45: 4,45: 8 \\ & 81: 10,96: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 77:27 } \\ & \text { cap [1] - } 78: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 67:11 | 56:26, 58:1, | Boundary [4] - | 130:12, 132:7, | 117:24 | Capita [3]-7:2, |
| benchmarking <br> [1]-18:8 | $\begin{array}{r} 93: 19,133: 12 \\ \text { BLAKE }_{[13]} \text { - } \end{array}$ | 121:14, 121:25, | $132: 9,137: 28$ | built [15] - 23:7, | $7: 5,17: 5$ <br> capital [3] - |
| beneficial [1] - | 2:23, 20:13, | oundary [8] | $17: 19,45: 23$ | $27: 28,28: 23$ | 96:5, 96:7, 96:13 |
| $68: 27$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:14, 21:21, } \\ & \text { 21:29. 22:7. } \end{aligned}$ | 65:28, 81:13, | $70: 9,139: 1$ | 31:29, 33:27, | Capital [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { benefit [3]-7:5, } \\ & 12: 15,60: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:29, 22:7, } \\ & \text { 22:11, 55:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $117: 14,127: 27$ <br> 136.8, 136.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { brilliant [1] - } \\ & 57: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 21,43: 16, \\ & 45: 8,56: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6:28, 26:21 } \\ \text { capture [1] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| benefits [2] - | $56: 22,56: 27$ | 136:28 | $\text { bring }[16]-4 \text { : }$ | $75: 24,86: 12$ | 63:11 |
| $12: 16,84: 9$ <br> benefitting [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 20,133: 13, \\ & 135: 11 \end{aligned}$ | Bourke [8] - | 4:9, 21:18, 48:21, 53:19. 54:11. | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 28,94: 29 \\ & \text { bulk [1] }-92: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { car [1] - 33:13 } \\ & \text { Cardiff [1] - 2:10 } \end{aligned}$ |



| 29:8, 30:2, 31:27 | 20:13, 20:20 | 132:27, 133:9, | colleague [4] - | 101:26, 107:13 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34:19, 34:26, | 21:9, 21:14 | 133:13, 134 | 2:9, 2:11, 2:12 | Commission [2] | 75 |
| 35:16, 36:28, | 21:21, 21:29, | 134:12, 135:8, | 2:14 | - 6:23, 11:10 | 81:7 |
| 37:9, 37:24, 38:2, | 22:7, 22:11, 29:9, | 135:10, 135:11, | colleagues [2] - | committee [2] - | commuters [1] - |
| 38:17, 39:4, | 31:28, 34:18, | 135:14, 135:19, | 16:21, 77:10 | 67:4, 85:24 | 76:20 |
| 39:11, 39:22, | 34:20, 36:29 | 136:6, 136:11, | collect [2] | ommittee [43] - | commuting [1] - |
| 40:24, 41:9, | 38:3, 39:12, | 136:20, 137:7 | 21:3, 21:4 | 4:14, 4:29, 5:10, | 76:18 |
| 44:11, 44:16, | 39:23, 39:29, | 137:17, 137:18, | collecting [1] - | 5:16, 5:22, 5:24, | companies [1] - |
| 45:22, 45:24, | 41:10, 44:17, | 137:20, 137:23, | 21:8 | 5:28, 7:3, 7:6, | 91:7 |
| 46:4, 46:8, 48:2, | 45:23, 46:9, 48:3, | 137:24, 138:1, | collection [6] | 14:7, 14:10, | compared [1] |
| 48:3, 48:24, | 49:18, 50:9, | 138:8, 138:20 | 20:16, 21:16 | 14:21, 14:26 | 130:7 |
| 49:11, 49:17, | 54:13, 54:18 | 139:15, 139:16, | 21:19, 21:2 | 14:29, 15:7, 15:9, | comparing [2] - |
| 50:8, 53:1, 55:8, | 54:23, 54:28, | 139:20, 139:21 | 21:29, 22:2 | 15:17, 16:6 | 18:8, 64:6 |
| 55:11, 55:12, | 55:3, 55:6, 55:19, | clock [1] | collections [1] - | 16:15, 16:21 | compelling [2] - |
| 55:18, 56:1, | 55:29, 56:2, 56:6, | 138:17 | 20:16 | $16: 29,17: 1,17: 9$ | $123: 26,126: 11$ |
| 56:14, 56:26, | 56:21, 56:22, | ose [5] - 61:8, | collisions [1] | 17:20, 17:22, | competitivenes |
| 56:29, 57:4, | 56:26, 56:27 | 92:16, 92:17 | 86:15 | 17:24, 18:22 | s [1] - 65:1 |
| 57:29, 58:1, | 57:1, 71:23, | 100:19, 102:24 | colou | 20:15, 30:15, | completed [2] - |
| 67:23, 73:19, | 73:20, 75:14, | closed [1] - 53:5 | 114:11 | 35:18, 60:8, 60:9, | 3:18, 11:13 |
| 77:7, 78:3, 79:6, | 77:8, 78:4, 79:5, | closely [4] | Coláiste [1] - | $60: 16,67: 24$ | completely |
| 79:18, 80:10, | 79:7, 80:11, | 60:6, 60:21 | $3: 16$ | $121: 25,122: 5$ | - 42:28, 43:7, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 82: 9,85: 14, \\ & 87: 15,87: 26, \end{aligned}$ | $82: 10,85: 15$, $87: 16,90: 10$ | 61:13, 61:15 | combined [1] | 122:15, 122:24 | 43:11, 71:10, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 87: 15,87: 26, \\ & 87: 28,88: 26, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 16,90: 10, \\ & 91: 23,93: 20 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{128.13}$ closes [1] | 62:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 122: 28,123: 3, \\ & 123 \cdot 14 \quad 127 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | 71:11, 71:21, |
| 87:28, $88: 26$, $90: 9,91: 22$, | 95:17, 97:10, | 128:13 | combining [1] - | 123:14, 127 | 74:10, 77:29, |
| 91:23, 93:3, | 98:14, 98:20, | 17:15, 59:3 | mfor | - 14:14, 122:21 | 102:5 |
| 93:19, 94:4, | 98:24, 109:9, | 77:16, 89:16 | $-53: 29$ | Committees [5] | completeness |
| 95:16, 97:9, | 109:14, 109:15, | 117:14, 122:25, | coming [27] | $-15: 15,67: 2$ | [2] - 8:12, 10:25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 98: 12,98: 14, \\ & 102 \cdot 26103 . \end{aligned}$ | 110:3, 110:10, | 127:5 | $13: 15,33: 4,33: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67: 21,121: 14, \\ & 120 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ | complexity [1] - |
| 103:23, 103:24, | $11$ | othing [1] | 33:7, 33:25, | 122: |  |
| 106:13, 107:11, | 111:3, 111:4 | clouds [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 27,35: \\ & 44: 20,48: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 122:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { compliance }[3] \text { - } \\ & \text { 11:14, 13:11, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 110:6, 111:23, | 111:13, 111:14, | 89:18 | $52: 7,54: 1,59:$ | communica | $13: 20$ |
| 112:9, 112:1 | 111:15, 111:18, | club [2]-137:8 | 61:1, 81:12, 89:3 | [2] - 29:23, 47:25 | compounded |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:26, } 112 \\ & \text { 112:29, } 113 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111: 25,112: \\ & 112: 8,112: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 138:14 | 89:5, 89:6, 91:12, | communicatin | [1] - 128:26 |
| 113:13, 116:3, | $112: 18,1$ | ubs [1] - 32:28 | 92:1, 93:4, 93:27, | g [1] - 47:2 | comprehensiv |
| 116:4, 116:20, | 112:22, 112:28, |  | $12$ | $\mathbf{n}[10]-29: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{e}[2]-12: 21 \\ & 138: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 117:2, 117:4, | 113:2, 113:3, | 27:28 | $138: 9,138: 1$ | $38: 11,38: 13$ | comprising [1] - |
| 117:17, 118: $118 \cdot 2,118: 3$ | 113:4, 113:6, 113:11. 113:20 | clusters | commas [1] | 39:9, 40:14 | 125:8 |
| $\text { 118:13, } 118$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:11, 113:20, } \\ & \text { 113:21, 113:28, } \end{aligned}$ | 23:24, 25:13 | 113:6 | $46: 28,47: 2,$ | concentrate [2] |
| 119:7, 128:23, | 113:29, 114:6, | co [1]-63:26 | ed [2] | 47:17, 49:20, | - 122:4, 122:6 |
| 130:10, 130:28, | 114:7, 114:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { co-joined } \\ & \text { 63:26 } \end{aligned}$ | - 42:5, 67:28 <br> COMMENCE | Communicatio | concentratio |
| 131:11, 131:24, | 114:18, 114:19, | Coast [1] - 72:21 | $[1]-2: 1$ | ns [1] - 8:20 | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-136: 23} \\ & \text { conception [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | Code [7]-11:10, | commencem | communitie | 129:14 |
| 134:19, 135:5, | 115:8, 115:14, | 11:15, 11:17 | $\text { nt }[1]-32: 12$ | [10] - 38:8, 38:26, | concern [6] |
| 135:13, 136:5, | 115:15, 115:22, | 11:26, 12:11 | commend [3] | 64:12, 73:25 | 37:8, 37:14, |
| 136:14, 136:19, | 115:23, 116:1, | 13:3, | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 15,57: 3 \\ & 57: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $82: 25,83: 13$ | 37:22, 39:2, 76:9, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:15, 137:27, } \\ & \text { 138:7, 138:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116: 2,116: 5 \\ & 116: 15,116: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 83:22, 94:28 | comment | 84:17, 92:12 | concerned [5] - |
| 138:19, 139:17, | 116:22, 116:29, | cohesively [1] - | 18:26, 20:15 | Community [6] - | 29:24, 70:14, |
| $139: 18,139: 22$ | 117:3, 117:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80:28 } \\ & \text { coincides }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 18,29: 9 \\ & 33: 22,57: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 7,18: 24,19: 7, \\ & 19: 25,65: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $83: 23,85: 22,$ |
| CLLR [168] - | 117:27, 117:29, | 117:10 | $87: 27,107: 1$ | 107:9 | 134:3 concerns [9] |
| 2:17, 2:23, 3:2, | 118:3, 118:14, | cold [5] | $131: 25$ | community [1] - | $3: 3,36: 19$ |
| 3:14, 3:22, 3:24, | 119:2, 119:5, | $92: 14,9$ | commentar | 93:13 |  |
| 3:27, 4:1, 4:9, | 119:9, 120:21, | 93:17 | - 73:27 | commute [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 20,39: 1,3 \\ & 70: 11,77: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| 16:28, 17:18, | 121:11, 127:16, | collaboration | comments [5] - | 81:6, 82:2, 91:6, | $79: 13,121: 17$ |
| 18:21, 19:1, | 130:29, 132:9, | $[2]-11: 12,15: 12$ | 63:7, 70:9, 87:27, | 91:14 | CONCLUDED |



| 108:21, 118:11, | debates [2] - | Delgany/ | deserves [1] $36 \cdot 2$ | 47:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 4,55: 4,72: 11 \\ & 78: 9,98: 27 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119:3, 120:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 79: 11,103: 24 \\ & \text { Debbie [1] - } \\ & \text { 15:29 } \\ & \text { debt [2]-21:3, } \\ & 21: 4 \\ & \text { debts }[1]-21: 8 \\ & \text { decades }[1]- \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 36:2 } \\ & \text { Design [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { developing [6] - } \\ & \text { 15:16, } 57: 26, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 121:5, 137:2 |  | Greystones [1] - |  |  | $108: 19$ |
| current [6] - |  | 125:2 | 34:22, 40:17 design [13] - | 92:4, 93:25, | fficulties [4] |
| 54:6, 54:7, 65:29 | 21:4 debts [1]-21:8 decades [1] - | $29: 10,50: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:24, 22:27, } \\ & 24: 24.25: 1 \end{aligned}$ | Development[17]-22:17, | 108:10, 133:23 difficulty [9] - |
| 66:2 |  | $55: 9,56: 10$ <br> deliver [6] - |  |  |  |
| urta |  |  | 29:10, 34:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 16,64: 6,68: 1, \\ & 68: 6,68: 13, \end{aligned}$ | 42:29, 43:1, |
| 81:28 | 35:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:2, 61:4 } \\ & \text { 100:13, 101:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $36: 4,40: 19$ |  | 51:15, 52:22, |
| ting |  |  | 44:29, 45:7,$47: 14,50: 19$ | 70:15, 71:11, | 52:28, 53:2, |
| 103:16, 106:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 29,14: 1,14: 25 \\ & 30: 11,70: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100: 13,101: 5 \\ & 101: 29,108: 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 22,73: 24, \\ & 95: 21,95: 23, \end{aligned}$ | 84:14 |
| cycling |  | delivered [3] - | 53:20 |  |  |
| 66:12 | decide [1] - 93:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:6, 108:15, } \\ & \text { 108:19 } \end{aligned}$ | designate [1] -122:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:21, 106:28, } \\ & \text { 107:8, 108:27, } \\ & \text { 116:24 } \\ & \text { development } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dignified }[1] \text { - } \\ & 95: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| D | 27:21, 85:21, 85:29 | deliveries [1] - $48: 16$ |  |  | 95:3 direct [1]-77:5 directed [1] - |
|  | decides [1] - | delivery [2] | 124:19, 124:27, | $[36]-6: 1,22: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 76:16 } \\ & \text { direction [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| Daft [1] - 90:14 <br> daily [1] - 10:11 <br> damage [1] - | 108:9 <br> deciding [1] - | 13:25, 66:7 <br> demand [8] - <br> 22:25, 22:29 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { designation [1] - } \\ & \text { 136:9 } \end{aligned}$ | 37:9, 37:16, | 100:3 <br> directions [1] - |
| dangerous [1] - | $\begin{array}{r} \text { decision [8] } \\ \text { 12:15, 16:18, } \end{array}$ | 53:21, 53:22, | designed [8] 25:19, $26: 19$ | 41:4, 42:1, 46:12, | 72:26 |
|  | $44: 8,60: 14$ | $72: 16,75: 27$ |  | 48:10, 48:11, | directly [4] - |
| Dargle [2] - 12:7 | $60: 15,85: 28$ |  | 73:24, 73:26 | 49:6, 49:14, | $108: 13,112: 4$ |
| DART [1] - 74:18 data [4]-9:4, | 86:20, 108:9 <br> decision- | 36:24 <br> demonstrable | designers [1] 37:28 | $\begin{aligned} & 59: 26,61: 14, \\ & 63: 29,64: 4 \end{aligned}$ | Director [8] - $34: 11,40: 28$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 34:11, 40:28, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ):6, 12:24, 13:1 } \\ & \text { date }[13]-17: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { makers [1] - } \\ & 60: 15 \end{aligned}$ | [2] - 98:2, 98:4 demonstrate [1] | 37:28 <br> designing [2] - | 64:29, 65:13, | $54: 14,58: 18$ $67: 3,70: 6$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:15 } \\ & \text { decision- } \end{aligned}$ |  | $53: 9,54: 2$ | $67: 13,72: 9$ | 105:25, 107:28 |
| 17:29, 21:21, | making [2] - | density [3] | designs [3] | 79:26, 86:7, $97: 19,100: 5$ |  |
| 22:8, 22:9, 30:20, | 16:18, 60:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:19, 45:27, } \\ & \text { 110:29 } \end{aligned}$department [1] - | $46: 14$ | 101:19, 106:25, | $36: 1,49: 16,68: 8$ |
| $30: 22,42: 3$, $57 \cdot 25,593$ | decisions [2] |  |  | 101:19, 106:25, | Directors [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 57: 25,59: 3 \\ & 117: 14,122 \end{aligned}$ | 38:26, 78:27 |  | desirable [1] - | 107:2, 107:19, 137:8 | 13:17, 18:6 |
| 127:5 | Declan [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { department }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 49:8 } \end{aligned}$ | 9:25 | $13$ | disabilities [5] - |
| dated [2] | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 21,52: 13 \\ & \text { 138:22 } \\ & \text { declarations [1] } \end{aligned}$ | Department [26] - 9:16, 22:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 84:29 } \\ & \text { destroyed [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | developments [1] - 112:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 26,36: 7, \\ & 40: 21,49: 29, \end{aligned}$ |
| 121:13, 121:24 | 138:22 <br> declarations [1] | 23:23, 24:13 |  | [1]-112:17 | $57: 10$ |
| DAY [1] - 2:1 <br> days [2]-35:28, | - 139:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 22,27: 22 \\ & 37: 28,40: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $90:$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 21 \\ & \text { dialogue }{ }_{[1]} \end{aligned}$ | $22: 28,73: 15$ |
| 72:22 | DEDs [1] - 125:7 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { detail }[3] \text { - } \\ 22: 22,23: 17 \end{gathered}$ | $128: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:28, 73:15, } \\ & \text { 73:16, 101:17, } \end{aligned}$ |
| de [2]-23:12, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48:27, 50:16, } \\ & 57: 7,63: 1,63: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 76:26 | dictate [1] | 105:27 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42:12 } \\ & \text { deal }[7]-40: 15, \end{aligned}$ | deeply [1] - |  | detailed [1] - | 62:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Disability [1] - } \\ & 35: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| 41:13, 47:24, | $83: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:19, 75:23, } \\ & \text { 109:29, 110:21, } \end{aligned}$ | 27:6 | 10:10 | disability- |
| 50:24, 51:11, | 12:7, 12:8 | 111:9, 115:2, | 19:18, 69:25 | died [1] - 2:24 | friendly [1] - 22:28 |
| $\begin{gathered} 53: 4,101: 13 \\ \text { dealing }[3]- \end{gathered}$ | defined [4] | $\begin{aligned} & 115: 28,117: 23 \\ & 121: 19,129: 7 \end{aligned}$ | determined [2] - | difference [2] - 24:4, 108:25 | disabled [8] - |
| $10: 18,42: 13$ | $65: 29,102: 4$ |  | $11: 23,12: 27$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 24:4, 108:25 } \\ \text { different [15] } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 51:6 } \\ & \text { dealt }[1]-54: 8 \end{aligned}$ | definitely [4] | Deputy [1] - 121:29 | Develop [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:27, 31:17, } \\ & \text { 42:19, 42:21, } \end{aligned}$ | 25:24, 27:15, <br> 27:23, 28:15 |
| dear [1] - 89:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 13,43: 24 \\ & 100: 21,132: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 121:29 <br> Derek [2]-5:17, | 105:13 <br> develop [9] - | $50: 3,63: 2,63: 10$ | 27:23, $28.15,18,18$ |
| Dear [1]-118:15 death [5]-2:7, | Delany [11] - | 81: | 38:24, 66:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 26,84: 16, \\ & 84: 17,93: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Disabled [1] - } \\ & \text { 23:23 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2:27, 3:2, 83:13, | 22:18, $24: 7,24: 8$, $32: 12,33: 7$ | 116:23 | 94:28, 96:2, | 99:6, 105:3, | 23:23 <br> disappeared [2] |
| 86:16 | $33: 26,34: 1$ | describe [2]$89.23,131: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 11,98: 10, \\ & 105: 5 \end{aligned}$ | differently [1] - | - 92:10, 92:12 <br> disappointed $[1]$ |
| debate [8] - | $36: 17,40: 24$ |  |  |  |  |
| 68:21, 69:17 | 40:26 | described [1] - <br> 100:4 <br> description [1] - <br> 114:23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { developed [5] - } \\ \text { 23:7, 37:10, 92:5, } \\ \text { 105:10, 105:17 } \\ \text { developer [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42:20 } \\ & \text { difficult [10] - } \\ & \text { 12:3, 20:27, } \\ & 35: 11,41: 12 \end{aligned}$ | ```- 75:10 disappointing [1] - 74:27 disaster [1] -``` |
| 77:1, 79:20, | delay |  |  |  |  |
| 104:3, 104:15, | 106:16 |  |  |  |  |
| 105:15, 111:20 | Delgany [2] - |  |  |  |  |



| [1] - 30:29 | energy [1] - | 129:7 | 59:22, 60:11, | 112:14, 113:18, | $46: 10,80: 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mbeddednes | 24:14 | vironmental | 102: | 113:26, 114:5, | explaining [2] - |
| $\mathbf{s}[1]-10: 27$ | engage [1] - | $[1]-12: 1$ | evaluate [3] - <br> $8.9,9.22,12.29$ | 114:14, 114:25, | $51: 23$ |
| 86:2 |  | [2] - 91:26, 111:19 | valuation [3] - | 115:20, 115:28 |  |
| emergency [2] - | 6:29 | environments | 12:19, 12:25 | 116:11, 120:6 | 106:22 |
| 33:10, 1 | engagement [6] | [1] - 36:1 | 15:17 | executive [2] - | explored [1] - |
| Emoclew [17] - | - 7:7, 41:24, 60:7, | Environs [2] - | event [2]-26:1, | 67:6, 102:17 | 43:13 |
| 23:3, 24:10, | 63:1, 101:1, | 90:21, 116:14 | 119:29 | Executive's [6] - | exploring [1] - |
| 31:15, 32:25, | 103:11 | envisage [1] - | eventually [3] - | $4: 5,20: 13,21: 12$ | $44: 7$ |
| $32: 26,33: 12$, $33 \cdot 15,37: 7$ | engages [1] - | 52:21 | 92:1, 95:25 | 111:16, 112:20, | expressed [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33: 15,37: 7, \\ & 37: 10,37: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 60:11 } \\ \text { eng } 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { envisaged }[1] \text { - } \\ & 83: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 96:29 } \\ \text { even } \end{gathered}$ | 118:9 <br> Executives | $\begin{gathered} 70: 22 \\ \text { exte } \end{gathered}$ |
| 37:20, 43:4, | $13: 24$ | equal [3] | 29:12 | 67:9 | 136:29 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53:17, 53:28 } \\ & \text { emotional }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 20,33: 16 \\ & 36: 3,36: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 124:28 } \\ & \text { equivalent }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 107:13, 108:12 } \\ \text { evidenced }[1] \text { - } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:25 } \\ & \text { existent }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:18 } \\ & \text { extending }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48:28 } \\ & \text { emphasise }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:11 } \\ & \text { engineer's } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 88:21 } \\ & \text { erroneous [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:14 } \\ & \text { exact }[1]-45: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $73: 4$ <br> existing [10] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:12 } \\ & \text { extension [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 115:5 <br> empirical [1] - | $\begin{gathered} 35: 19,40: 6,40: 8 \\ \text { engineering [1] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 112: 4 \\ & \text { error [1] - 32: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { exactly }[4]- \\ 72: 17,80: 20, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 19,25: 2, \\ & 25: 27,26: 8,38: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91: 19 \\ & \text { exten } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12:28 <br> emplo | $\begin{aligned} & -89: 28 \\ & \text { engineers }[2] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { escape [1] - } \\ & \text { - } 5: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $106: 24,130: 2$ <br> exaggerating [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 10,53: 7 \\ & \text { 112:1, 125:7 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:24 } \\ & \text { extra }[5]-19: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:9 } \\ & \text { employed }[7] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48: 8,52: 9 \\ \text { English } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { especially [4] - } \\ & 71: 12,72: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $-88: 12$ <br> examination [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 126: 28 \\ & \text { exit }[4]-24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 27,83: 20, \\ & 83: 21,93: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3:3, 12:29, 13:6, | 35:24 | 109:5 | - 19:28 | 32:24, 33:15, | extractive [1] |
| 35:28, 44:26, | enha | ESRI [2] - 62: | examine [2] | 49:7 | 114:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 44:29, 45:2 } \\ & \text { employee }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 64:26 <br> Enniske | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:23 } \\ & \text { essential [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $8: 8,9: 21$ <br> example [6] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exit/entrance } \\ & -34: 6,37: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { extrapolated }{ }^{[1]} \\ & -71: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $2: 14$ employing [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 8,129: 22, \\ & 132: 12,134: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $19: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:21, 29:22, } \\ & \text { 64:14, 71:4, 71:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 5 \\ & \text { exit/ent } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { extremely [2] - } \\ & 41: 12,103: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:2 } \\ & \text { employment }[8] \end{aligned}$ | 136:20, 137:4 enormous | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 3,25: 15, \\ & 26: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 87:11 examples [1] - | $\begin{array}{r} 33: 6,33: 7,33: 8 \\ \text { exits [1] - 32:29 } \end{array}$ | eye [1] - 20:23 |
| - 64:2, 64:13, | 76:14 | established [4] - | $30: 7$ | exits/ | $F$ |
| 65:2, 66:11, | ensure [11] - | $5: 11,9: 22,59: 8$ | exceeding [1] - | entrances [1] - $33: 4$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:15, 83:11, } \\ & \text { 111:8, 112:2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 16,8: 25,8: 29, \\ & 9: 3,9: 6,9: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $122: 1$ | $124: 13$ | $\begin{gathered} 33: 4 \\ \text { exp } \end{gathered}$ | face [1] - 75:18 |
| enable | 10:2, 17:29, 20:7, | 32:20, 40:7, 43:8, | 21:19, 21:27 | 94:24 | facilitate [6] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:19 } \\ & \text { enabler [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:8, } 117: 24 \\ & \text { ensuring [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $48: 4,130: 17$ <br> Estate [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 17 \\ & \text { excep } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { expanded [2] - } \\ & 75: 21,76: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 3,77: 21, \\ & 81: 11,81: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 104:20 encourage [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:17 } \\ & \text { enter [1] }-33: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 32:27 } \\ & \text { estate } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:7 } \\ & \text { exceptional }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { expansion [2] - } \\ & 76: 4,76: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 28,127: 4 \\ & \text { facilitated }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |
| $57: 7$ | enterprise [1] - | $45: 5,109: 6$ | 128:3 | expenditure [5] | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 2 \\ & \text { facilitates }[1]- \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { encouraged [1] } \\ -130: 29 \end{array}$ | $10: 27$ | 116:28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exciting }[1] \text { - } \\ & 32: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $-8: 13,13: 8$ | $65: 13$ |
| end [21] - 20:8, | $37: 6,44: 7,48: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimates [1] } \\ & \text { 19:27 } \end{aligned}$ | excludes [1] - | $40: 22$ | facilitating [1] - |
| 20:9, 21:23, | entrance/exit [1] | $\text { et }[3]-106: 23 \text {, }$ | 21:16 | expensively ${ }^{[1]}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 48:19 } \\ \text { facilities [1] - } \end{array}$ |
| $21: 24,23: 14$ | $-37: 21$ | 108:27, 134:6 | excluding [2] - | $-75: 28$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { facilities [1] - } \\ & 84: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| 24:1, 24:28, | entrances [3] | ethics [1] - | 70:13, 70:21 | experience [2] - | 84:26 <br> facing [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:17, 25:19, } \\ & \text { 26:27, 28:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $27: 18,50: 13$ | 139:8 | excuse [1] - | $44: 21,47: 1$ | facing [1] - 86:12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:27, 28:5, } \\ & \text { 28:20, 28:22, } \end{aligned}$ | $50: 14$ | Ethics [1] - | $58: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { experienced [2] } \\ & -10: 18,49: 23 \end{aligned}$ | fact [13] - 3:17, |
| 36:22, 36:22, | environment [8] |  | $4: 25,6: 11,6: 24$ | expertise [1] - | 83:3, 84:5, 84:29, |
| 89:13, 98:1, | $-7: 10,9: 1,10: 8,$ | $47: 27,51: 2$ | 13:16, 15:25, | 7:4 | 89:3, 93:14, 94:4, |
| 102:5, 120:26, | 64:3, 67:16, 69:8, | EU [4]-59:17, | 15:26, 16:22 | expired [1] | 115:20, 129:8, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:28 } \\ & \text { endeavour [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | 80:27, 102:1 <br> Environment [7] | $\begin{aligned} & 59: 18,59: 19, \\ & 60: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:27, 61:10, } \\ & \text { 63:9, 67:10, } \end{aligned}$ | 17:6 <br> explain | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 129:18, 133:15, } \\ & 133: 18,138: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $123: 14$ | - 23:23, 24:13, | 60:7 | 108:22, 110:14, | 22:25, 22:28 | factor [1] - 90:2 |
| ends [1] - | 26:22, 27:22, | $60: 10,92: 3$ | 110:21, 111:1, | 86:19 | factories [1] - |
| 101:23 |  | European [3] - |  | explained [2] - | 92:17 |



| 115:17, 115:25, | 111:5, 111 | 17:13, 21:10 | 96: | 62:23, 62:26 | 50:20, 72:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116:8, 117:6, | 111:28, 113:14 | 21:15, 21:22 | Great [1] - 82:4 | 64:11, 64:13 | 116:26 |
| 118:7 | 116:18, 117:2 | 22:2, 22 | greater | 71:1, 71:9, 71:18, | hardly ${ }^{2}$ |
| front [3]-19:5, | 117:4, 139:3 | Gleeson [2] - | 38:11, 86:1 | 76:10, 77:11 | 75:28, 76:5 |
| 19:18, 56:24 | 139:17 | 5:17, 16 | 86:15, 124:10 | 77:12, 79:2 | hardware [2] |
| fruition [1] | Galway [2] | Glendalough [1] | 124:19, 124:2 | 84:2 | 32:28, 138:1 |
| 85:12 | 65:24, 119:17 | - 73:7 | Greater [4] | 85:18 | harm [1] - 44:13 |
| 54:26, 56: | 46:27, 47:18 | 50:22, 55:2 | 76:11, 79:14 | 118:2 | 48:29 |
| 58:16, 68:14 | aps [1] - 103:8 | 74:21, 74:2 | eatest [2] | gues | hat [1] - 87:2 |
| 104:2 | Garden [2] | ddamn [1] | 131:2, 132: | 32:2 | Hayes [2]-2:17, |
| ulln | 73:3, 73:6 | 132: | eenfield | guessed [1] - | 2:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97:3 } \\ & \text { fully }[8]-7: 17, \end{aligned}$ | gardens [1] | goods [2]-34:1, 48:15 | GREGAN [2] - | Guidelines [4] - |  |
|  | 47:11 |  |  |  | $32: 21,111: 19$ |
| 27:15, 27:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gas [1] }-34: 2 \\ & \text { gates [1] }-49: 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | 4:24, 17:12 <br> Gregan [1] - | 23:23, 25:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { head }[1]-86: 14 \\ & \text { Head [3] }-5: 17 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 27:18, 28:12 |  | $48: 15$ <br> governance [5] - |  | 50:16, 66:1 guidelines [8] - |  |
| 28:13, 45:24 | gateway [4] | 7:16, 7:20, 7:25, | 5:14 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 15:29, 16:23 } \\ \text { head-on [1] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:5 } \\ & \text { function [12] - } \end{aligned}$ | $82: 28,83: 2,83: 3$ | $7: 28,60: 14$ | Greystones [25] | 64:23, 70:17, |  |
|  | 83:4 | overned |  | 64:23, 70:17, | 86:14 |
| 61:2, 61:3, 64:22, | 12:29, 6 | governing [1] - | 38:18, 44:22 | 121:18, 134:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 39:13, 100:5 } \\ & \text { headings [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 64:26, 65:6, 67:1, | gathering | 11:27 | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 2,75: 25 \\ & 76: 20,77: 15 \end{aligned}$ | guys [2]-93:7, |  |
| $68: 12,106: 2$ | 82:19 | government [1] |  |  | $7: 13$ <br> headline [1] - |
| 119:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GDA [1] - 66:21 } \\ & \text { general [6] - } \end{aligned}$ | - 101:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 18,81: 3, \\ & 81: 13,91: 13, \end{aligned}$ | GWEN [1] - 1:26 |  |
| Function |  | Government |  |  | 62:10 |
| 13:17 | 14:9, 45:20, | [26] - 5:4, 13:28, | 124:12, 125:10, | $\begin{gathered} \text { gyms [1] - } \\ 138: 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { headlines [1] - } \\ & 62: 27 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 54:24, 55:5, | 13:29, 14:4, 14:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 125:13, 127:28, } \\ & \text { 129:23. 131:13. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 5:28, 6:20, 59:15, | 107:23, 134 | 15:7, 16:9, 18:17, | 129:23, 131:13, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:27 } \\ & \text { healthy }[1]- \\ & \text { 69:8 } \\ & \text { Healy }[3]-2: 26, \end{aligned}$ |
| 67:22, | generally $[2]$ | 47:23, 60:5, 60:6, | 132:1 |  |  |
| $130: 3,131: 26$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:4, 41:16 } \\ & \text { generated }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 6,61: 7,62: 2, \\ & \text { 82:22, 87:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 133: 9,134: 3 \\ & 134: 6,134: 15 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| fund [3] - | 62:8 <br> generations [1] |  | 134:17 | Hague [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:27, 30:14 } \\ & \text { hear [9]-43:28, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 120:14, 120:23, |  |  | Greystones/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 96:13 } \\ & \text { Hainan [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | 49:16, 50:21, |
| 121:6 funding | $-72: 14$ <br> gentlemen [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 96:16, 96:23, } \\ & \text { 121:29, 128:25, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delgany [2] - } \\ & \text { 125:16, 132:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:21 } \\ & \text { half }[9]-35: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 8,93: 11 \\ & \text { 120:6, 127:24 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 57:7, 5 | $4: 26,58: 11$ | Governments | ground [3] - |  | $131: 1,131: 11$ |
| 59:19, 59:22 | 93:21, 97:11, | [1] - 60:11 | 27:14, 36:9, | $47: 4,62: 15$, $62 \cdot 23,66.5$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { heard [9] - } \\ \text { 29:25, 43:5, } \end{gathered}$ |
| 76:16, 119:3 |  | Grant [4]- |  | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 23,66: 5, \\ & \text { 81:24, 83:20, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 120:19 | geographic | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 24,19: 7, \\ & 19: 26,19: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group [3]-67:7, } \\ & 106: 4,139: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $130: 4,133: 18$ | 49:27, 91:23, |
| funds [4]-20:6, | -72:8, 123:28 |  |  |  | 91:25, 91:29, |
| 20:8, 76:3 |  | granted [5] - | group [2] - | halt [1] - 88:25 <br> hand [2]-69:12, | 109:15, 109:16, |
| 120:25 |  |  | $51: 10,137: 1$ | $70: 2$ | 131:1 <br> hearing [1] - |
| future [12] - | $2: 10$ <br> Geraghty [1] - | $42: 2,42: 4,42: 14$ | Groups [2] |  |  |
| 12:25, 12:27, |  | 42:19, 43:2 | 67:11, 105 | handed [1] - | 85:25 |
| $16: 11,16: 24$ $37: 11,37: 27$ | $5: 12$ | Grants [1] - 8:7 grants [1] - | groups [2] - 101:8, $138 \cdot 27$ | 75:22 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 37: 11,37: 27, \\ & 43: 25,84: 21, \end{aligned}$ | Gerry [9]-5:13, |  | 101:8, 138:27 grow [7]-71:7, | 40:13, 40:15, | 2:1, 139:24 |
| 43:25, 84:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 21,56: 4, \\ & 56: 13,56: 22, \\ & 56: 25,56: 29, \\ & 87: 10,130: 27 \\ & \text { girl }[1]-56: 9 \\ & \text { given }[9]-31: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:24 } \\ & \text { graphic [1] - } \\ & 25: 12 \\ & \text { grateful [1] - } \\ & \text { 41:19 } \\ & \text { graveyard [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:13, 40:15, } \\ & 74: 14 \end{aligned}$ | heated [1] - 34:2 <br> heave [1] - 119:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 94:11, 97:8, } \\ & \text { 120:29, 137:7 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 12,71: 19 \\ & 83: 3,83: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 74:14 handy [1] - |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:29, 137:7 } \\ & \text { Féin [1] - 98:17 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 88: 29,95: 3 \\ \text { growing }[3] \\ 71: 13,71: 16, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 24 \\ & \text { HAP }[1]-32: 8 \\ & \text { happiest }[1]- \\ & 52: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { heavy }[2] \text { - } \\ 33: 29,48: 15 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 33: 29,48: 15 \\ \text { hectare }[1] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ |
| G |  |  |  |  | $24: 19$ <br> hectares [1] - |
|  | 36:3, 44:7, 50:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:12 } \\ & \text { grateful [1] - } \\ & \text { 41:19 } \\ & \text { graveyard [1] - } \\ & 32: 26 \end{aligned}$ | grown [3] - | 52:25 <br> happy [11] - |  |
| gained [1] - | 55:26, 71:12, | great [14]-15:3, |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { happy [11] } \\ 41: 21,52: 9, \end{gathered}$ | 23:4 |
| 135:20 | 81:16, 130:7 | $16$ | 83 |  | height [1] - 28:6 |
| GALLAGHER | 133:10 <br> glad | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 14,34: 1 \\ & 36: 16,40: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { grows [1] } \\ & 71: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 101:12, 108: | heights [1] - |
| [17] - 2:7, 4:20, |  | 41:15, 46:17, | gr | 127:4, 136:1 | 81:9 <br> HELD [1]-1 |
| 55:11, 58:5, $110: 6,110: 8$ | 25:28 | 47:20, 48:26, | 62:20, 62:21, | hard [4]-44:28, | held [4] - 10:1, |
| 110:17, 110:26, | GLEESON [6] - | 49:28, 83:10, |  |  |  |


| 128:10 | 93:14, 99:22, | 89:7, 90:28, | 69:14, 70:20, | imposes [1] - | 86:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| help [4]-15:23, | 106:13, 106:15, | 94:12, 94:29, | 78:2, 80:13, | $10: 9$ | independence |
| 16:5, 40:28, | 107:29 | 130:15, 130:19, | 89:17, 98:18, | improve [7] - | [1] - 96:3 |
| 119:29 | hopefully [12] - | 130:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 134: 25,135: 22, \\ & 135 \cdot 25 \end{aligned}$ | 18:16, 44:29, | indicated [2] - |
| 138:25 | $37: 11,37: 13$ | 22:7, 22:18, 28:8, | ideal [1] - 50:28 | $72: 19,107: 23$ | $19$ |
| helpless [1] - | 37:26, 49:15, | 28:19, 29:17, | ideas [1] - | 119:25 | 67:27 |
| 17:26 | 56:10, 74:14, | 29:25, 31:7, | 128:16 | improved [1] - | igenous [2] - |
| heritage [2] - | 76:29, 102:10 | 31:18, 32:6, 32:8, | identification [2] | 46:16 | 88:18, 93:27 |
| 64:3, 67:17 | 103:4, 119:18 | 32:15, 32:18, | - 69:1, 69:20 | improvement | individual [1] - |
| hidden [1] - 21:5 | hoping [1] - | 35:9, 36:1, 36:23, | identified [3] - | [1] - 9:24 | 123:15 |
| hierarchy [5] - | 45:29 | 37:12, 38:5, | 21:26, 51:1, | improvements | individually [1] - |
| 60:26, 61:6, 93:7, | horse [2]- | 38:12, 38:16, | 106:8 | [2] - 9:18, 14:22 | 69:29 |
| 99:28, 108:16 | 131:2, 132:6 | 38:23, 38:25, | identify [3] - | improving [1] - | Industrial [1] - |
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| $\begin{aligned} & 93: 5,93: 6,93: 13, \\ & 93: 22,94: 1,94: 8, \end{aligned}$ | 51:12, 51:16, | 70:16, 71:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 100: 23,108: 27 \\ & 109: 4,129: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $35: 18,139: 18$ | 19:8, 78:10, 95:1, |
| 95:4, 97:21, |  |  | an |  | $106$ |
| 97:24, 100:17, |  | $77: 4,82: 14$ | 105:14, 105:17 | $82: 24,102: 17$ | $96: 11$ |
| 100:19, 101:15, | $53: 18$ | $90: 21,95: 21$ | 106:28, 107:8, | politically [1] - | Poulaphouca |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:23, 102:25, } \\ & \text { 103:4, 105:1, } \end{aligned}$ | phases [2] | $95: 23,108: 27,$ | 107:9 | 81:22 | [1] - 58:21 |
| 107:14, 107:18, | 35:1, 53:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 110:2, 115:11, } \\ & 116: 14,116: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $43: 14,65: 7,77: 4$ | politicians [1] - 88:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { power [1] - } \\ & \text { 129:3 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:14, 118:24, } \\ & 129: 13.132: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { phasing [2] } \\ \text { 115:1, 115:5 } \end{array}$ | plan [36] - 13:15, | $88: 14,89: 25$ | politics [1] | powers [2]- |
| $\begin{aligned} & 129: 13,132: 14, \\ & 138: 14 \end{aligned}$ | Phelan [1] - | $26: 13,37: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 5,107: 2, \\ & 107.7 \end{aligned}$ | $47: 27$ | $97: 14,122: 24$ |
| people's [4] - | 122:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42:10, 65:9, 66:7, } \\ & \text { 68:10, 68:12, } \end{aligned}$ | plant [1] - 94:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { popped [1] - } \\ & 39: 28 \end{aligned}$ | Powerscourt [4] |
| 36:19, 102:10, | photograph [1] - | $75: 5,75: 6,76: 27$ | plaque [1] - 85:2 | population [48] - | $125: 8,137: 5$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 104: 4,105: 20 \\ \text { per }[5]-5: 19 \end{gathered}$ | 48:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 81: 1,81: 7,82: 25, \\ & 82: 27,83: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { play [2] - 26:15, } \\ & 56: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:13, 64:12, } \\ & 64: 18,66: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { practicable [1] - } \\ & \text { 125:28 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 13:3, 24:19, | physical [2] - 8:29, 10:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 82: 27,83: 8, \\ & 83: 21,84: 10, \end{aligned}$ | playing [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 18,66: 5, \\ & 70: 25,71: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 125:28 } \\ & \text { practical }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 116:11, 126:4 } \\ \text { percent }[3] \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | pick [2] - 44:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 14,84: 24 \\ & 84: 27,85: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $41: 29$ <br> Pleanála [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 14,71: 19, \\ & 72: 16,75: 22, \end{aligned}$ | 48:8 <br> practice [2] - |
| $34: 29,36: 26$ | picked | 85:11, 88:6, 98:7, | 85:3 | $76: 6,77: 6,77: 11$ | $6: 1,10: 4$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 37:25 } \\ & \text { percentage }[2] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $87: 11,87: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 28,102: 4, \\ & 103: 20,104: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { pleasure [4] - } \\ 13: 29,34: 14, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 13,77: 24, \\ & 79: 4,79: 25, \end{aligned}$ | practices [5] - |
| 76:20, 127:29 | pictures [1] | 105:7, 106:25, | $34: 15,55: 2$ |  | $6: 6,14: 19,14: 22,$ |
| performance [2] |  | 109:19, 114:11, | plenary [1] | 81:18, 81:20, | praise [1] |
| - 6:2, 6:19 | 60 | 114:12, 116:10 | 67:3 | 83:1, 83:5, 83:17, | 35:13 |
| period [8]-4:29, | $99: 8,100: 19$ | planned [1] - | p | 83:18, 84:2 | pre [3] - 52:10, |
| 68:18, 71:6, | 100:23, 100:24, | 83:6 | 135:23 | 86:10, 93:29, | $53: 7,67: 29$ |
| 71:20, 99:3, |  | Planner [2] - | plumbing [1] - | 95:11, 102:23, |  |




| 25:27 | - 101:14, 101:16, | 84:4 | 7:11, 8:7, 8:8, | 104:11, 113:16, | 84:12, 85: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rent [1]-32:8 | 101:18, 104:14, | resolve [1] - | 8:11, 8:16, 8:22, | 113:24 | 85:22, 87:20, |
| rent [2]-20:16, | 104:17 | 41:8 | 9:13, 9:21, 10:7, | Roadstone [2] - | $87: 25,88: 1,88: 5,$ |
| 90:14 | representing [3] | resolved [1] - | 10:23, 11:4, | 113:18, 113:25 | 88:17, 89:13, |
| Rental [2] - | - 83:9, 103:5, | 54:26 | 11:23, 13:2, 13:8, | Robert [2] - | 89:15, 94:21, |
| 11:22, 11:23 | 134:3 | resourced [1] - | 18:24, 19:8, | 2:13, 2:23 | 94:23, 95:2, 95:8, |
| Renting [1] - | represents [2] - | 8:27 | 19:25, 121:12, | robust [3]-7:15, | 95:10, 95:13, |
| 32:9 | 35:26, 99:26 | resources [8] - | 121:26, 122:1, | 9:3, 10:2 | 97:19, 97:21, |
| repeat [2]-37:5, | reps [1] - 129:14 | 9:16, 17:23, | 122:8, 123:3, | role [5]-5:23, | 97:22, 97:24, |
| 80:4 | Republic [1] - | 17:25, 18:1, 18:2, | 136:15 | 44:26, 61:21, | 98:1, 98:2, 98:9, |
| replaced [1] - | 97:2 | 18:17, 47:22 | reviewed [3] - | 64:28, 66:19 | 99:8, 103:27, |
| 17:10 | request [4] - | respect [6] - | 11:18, 15:9, | roles [1] - 8:25 | 104:1, 104:14 |
| replacement [3] | 30:5, 30:24, | 6:19, 9:28, 22:17, | 73:13 | Ronan [1]-3:3 | rural/urban [1] - |
| - 17:7, 17:14, | 43:28, 68:19 | 55:16, 124:7, | reviewing [1] - | Ronnie [2] - | 92:7 |
| $27: 25$ | requested [2] - | 124:27 | $5: 7$ | $24: 8,36: 17$ | Ryan [1] - 4:21 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Report [10] - 4:6, } \\ 4: 13,11: 11,14: 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 7,31: 25 \\ & \text { required }[3]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { response [5] - } \\ 6: 11,6: 24,21: 10, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { revised [1] - } \\ & \text { 125:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { roof }[1]-26: 2 \\ & \text { room }[1]-86: 4 \end{aligned}$ | S |
| 14:7, 14:10, | 9:18, 75:21, | $49: 16,109: 29$ | revision [1] - |  |  |
| 20:14, 21:12, | 91:20 | responses [1] - | 122:22 | 51:23 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:12, 118:10 } \\ & \text { report [27]-6:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { requirement }[3] \\ & -13: 3,62: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $14: 13$ <br> responsibilitie | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rewritten [1] - } \\ & \text { 102:5 } \end{aligned}$ | roundabout [2] - | $42: 12$ |
| 6:12, 8:17, 9:14, | 96:26 | s [2]-8:25, 10:28 | ridiculous [2] - | rounded | safety [1] - |
| 10:1, 10:23, 11:9, | requirements | responsibility | 81:9, 129:11 | 124:9, 124:18 |  |
| 11:13, 11:19, | [3]-13:7, 24:14, | $[2]-15: 15,47: 16$ | rigorous [1] - | Roundwood [1] | $25: 5$ |
| 18:29, 20:24, | 77:22 | rest [7] - 23:8, | $19: 27$ | - 71:8 | Satisfactory |
| 22:15, 30:12, | requires [2] | $48: 25,66: 22,$ | rigours [1] - | route [1] - 87:7 | $-7: 19$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 40: 11,43: 10 \\ & 55: 14.57: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 11:17, } 15: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90:4, 96:27, } \\ & \text { 112:14. 128:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:14 } \\ & \text { Ring }[3]-87: 18, \end{aligned}$ | routes [1] - | Satisfactory" [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & 55: 14,57: 20 \\ & 57: 24,68: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rescue [1] - } \\ & 25: 29 \end{aligned}$ | 112:14, 128:8 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Ring [3] - 87:18, } \\ \text { 87:22, 132:4 } \end{array}$ | 134:6 | - 10:7 |
| 109:23, 109:26, | rescued [1] | $71: 15,71: 19$ | risk [16] - 5:25, |  | satisfy [1] - 13:7 |
| 122:2, 122:21, | 26:2 | restricted [2] - | 6:16, 7:15, 7:20, | 82:14, 108:13 | Saturday [1] - |
| $\begin{array}{r} 138: 3,138: 29 \\ \text { report's [1] - } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Research [1] - } \\ & \text { 68:22 } \end{aligned}$ | $71: 1,87: 14$ restricting | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 21,7: 25,7: 28 \\ & 8: 1,10: 24,10: 26 \end{aligned}$ | RTÉ [1] - 87:19 | 33:24 <br> SAVAGE [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:13 } \\ & \text { reported }[2]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reservations [1] } \\ & -80: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 70:29 } \\ & \text { restriction } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:27, 10:29, } \\ & 15: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89:22 } \\ & \text { Rule [1] - } 96 \end{aligned}$ | 18:29 <br> Savage [1] - |
| $13: 22,15: 10$ reporting [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reserved [1] - } \\ & 66: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71: 9,78: 14,80: 2 \\ \text { restrictions [2] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Risk [2] - 10:22, } \\ & \text { 68:15 } \end{aligned}$ | ruled [1] - 43:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 12 \\ & \text { saw }[3]-53: 17, \end{aligned}$ |
| $5: 25,6: 5$ | resident [1] - | $71: 16,85: 18$ | risks [1] - 8:11 | $42: 21$ | $91: 28,113: 1$ |
| Reports [1] - | 31:24 | restrictive [2] - | River [2]-12:7, | rumour [2] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { scale }[4]-5: 3, \\ 50: 1,63: 11, \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:21 } \\ & \text { reports [5] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { residential [5] - } \\ \text { 110:11, 110:20, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70: 16,80: 1 \\ \text { result [2] - } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:8 } \\ & \text { Road [24] - 23:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $73: 23$ rumo | $100: 25$ |
| 17:27, 18:8, 19:4, | 110:29, 111:8, | 92:29, 109:19 | 23:3, 24:10, | 58:20 | scandalous [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:5, 54:3 } \\ & \text { represent }[3] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 112:2 <br> Residents [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { resulting }[1] \text { - } \\ & 75: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 10,31: 15 \\ & 32: 25,32: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { run [2]-70:1, } \\ & 122: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:6 } \\ & \text { scene [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 83:14, 84:12, | 119:28 | results [2] - | $33: 12,33: 15$, $33: 17,37.7$ | runner [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:7 } \\ & \text { schedule [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 100:26 <br> representation | residents [20] 29:16, 29.24 | $110: 2,122: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 17,37: 7, \\ & 37 \cdot 1037 \cdot 16 \end{aligned}$ | 37:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { schedule [1] - } \\ & \text { 115:2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| [3] - 101:14, | 29:16, 29:24, | retail [1]-64:2 | $37: 20,43: 4.43$ | running [3] - | Scheme [9] - |
| $123: 16,129: 21$ | 30:3, 30:5, 31:26, 33:1, 38:11, | $\begin{gathered} \text { retain }[3]-46: 2, \\ 129: 20,137: 21 \end{gathered}$ | 44:8, 44:14, 48:6, | 20:17, 37:13, | 8:7, 11:22, 11:24, |
| representation | $38: 14,38: 15$ | retained [2] - | $48: 17,49: 7$ | 38:25 <br> rural [51] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:7, 12:8, 18:24, } \\ & \text { 19:7, 19:26, } \end{aligned}$ |
| s [4]-35:12, | 38:19, 39:6, 40:4, | $128: 5,134: 22$ | $53: 29,115: 19$ |  | 19:28 |
| 78:22, 99:5, | $40: 9,40: 16$ | retired [1] - | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{road}[13]- \\ 26: 28,31: 23 . \end{gathered}$ | 70:12, 70:16, | scheme [15] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:18 } \\ & \text { representative } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 25,40: 26, \\ & 41: 18,43: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:11 } \\ & \text { returning [2] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 28,31: 23 \\ & 32: 29,36: 2,40: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $70: 29,72: 7,72: 9$ | 8:13, 11:27, |
| [1]-35:25 | 44:19, 46:13 | $32: 10,126: 8$ | 40:25, 49:4, 76:3, | $72: 19,73: 25,$ | 12:10, 12:13, |
| representative | Residents' [1] - | reversed [1] - | 81:24, 86:11, | $73: 29,77: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:17, 12:18, } \\ & \text { 12:25, 12:27, } \end{aligned}$ |
| s [6]-30:3, 30:15, | 30:15 | 78:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 6,90: 8 \\ & 130: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 77:22, 77:28, | $13: 1,13: 4,13: 6$ |
| 31:24, 41:20, | residual [1] - | revert [1] - 4:11 | 130:18 | 78:6, 78:10, 79:2, | $13: 9,24: 6,29: 6,$ |
| $127: 21,132: 16$ | 7:21 | review [27] - 6:5, | roads [5] - 18:11, 90:18 | 83:9, 83:13, | 118:19 |


| scheme's [1] - | seconded [14] - | $69: 1,69: 5,75: 10,$ | $24: 12,30: 20$ | 26:24 | situation [15] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:20 } \\ & \text { scher } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 20,55: 12 \\ & 110: 6,110: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 24,78: 1, \\ & 80: 23,86: 5,92 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 63:22, 63:28, } \\ & 76: 17.96: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { showing }[3] \text { - } \\ \hline 8 \cdot 8 \quad 107 \cdot 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 16,17: 7,29: 27, \\ & 41: 12,44: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| 29:7, 31:18, 45:7, | 110:16, 110:25, | 99:28, 100:8, | 103:18, 128:2, | 119:26 | 46:11, 46:26, |
| 53:16 | 111:4, 112:10, | 100:16, 101:5, | 131:27 | shown [2] - | 57:20, 71:9, |
| school [4] - | 112:26, 113:12, | 103:19, 108:10 | set-up [1] - | $34: 23,107: 14$ | 72:23, 80:17, |
| $32: 26, ~ 77: 14$, $77.25,130 \cdot 23$ | 114:20, 115:8, | 121:3, 127:23, | 96:17 | shows [1] - 23:4 | $\begin{aligned} & 81: 3,85: 3,91: 16, \\ & 117 \cdot 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| schools [10] - | seconder [9] | 133:21, 133:26, | 26:6 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { side }[14]-28: 7, \\ 33: 7,33: 8,43: 8, \end{array}$ | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i x }}[14]-5: 19$ |
| 3:17, 77:15, | 34:17, 57:29, | 135:23 | sets [1]-13:14 | 50:13, 57:22, | 23:21, 27:24, |
| 77:17, 77:20, | 111:22, 111:23, | seek [2]-51:8 | settings [1] - | 61:14, 67:6, 76:9, | 30:22, 35:11, |
| 77:24, 78:5, 78:7, | 111:24, 117:28, | 57:7 | 77:29 | 87:5, 91:4, | 41:11, 41:19, |
| 95:1, 108:27, | $135: 9,139: 14$, $139 \cdot 19$ | seeking [1] - | settlements [4] - | 112:13, 136:26 | 50:2, 88:10, 97:1, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:25 } \\ & \text { scope }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 139:19 } \\ & \text { seconders [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126:21 } \\ & \text { seem }[3] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 15,64: 16 \\ & 64: 17,66: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sides }[1]-41: 3 \\ & \text { signed }[2]-7: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 122:2, 126:20, } \\ & \text { 127:20, 139:13 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:23 } \\ & \text { scrapped } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 110:5 } \\ & \text { secondly [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 27,92: 13, \\ & 124: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { seven }[10]- \\ 55: 13,66: 4 \end{gathered}$ | 48:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { six-seater [1] - } \\ & 126: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 78: 15 \\ & \text { scratch }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:25 } \\ & \text { seconds [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sees }[2]-18: 13, \\ & 19: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 14,86: 15 \\ & 123: 26,125: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -7: 12 \\ & \text { significant }[3]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sixties [1] - 92:7 } \\ & \text { size [5] - 23:3, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 87:29 | 116:4 | selected [1] - | 125:6, 127:25, | 14:27, 108:26, | 122:12, 123:29, |
| screen [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { secretariat }[1] \text { - } \\ & 60: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 11:18 } \\ \text { sele } \end{array}$ | $128: 3,130: 9$ | $111: 19$ <br> signif | $\begin{gathered} \text { 126:13, 130:5 } \\ \text { skills [1] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| script [1] | Secretary [1] - | $19: 9$ | $35: 19$ | $-7: 21,39: 10$ | $101: 11$ |
| 118:15 | 121:24 | sell [1] - 103:6 | sewage [1] - | silence [1]-3:6 | $\text { sky [1] }-112: 24$ |
| sea [1] - 97:17 | secretary [1] | send [1]-136:2 | 37:13 | SILENCE [1] - | slides [1] - 23:18 |
| SEA [2]-68:14, | 60:9 | sending [1] | sewerage [4] - | 3:9 | slight [2] - |
| 111:18 | Section [3]- | 139:6 | 55:24, 90:18, | siloed [1] - | 32:17, 53:2 |
| seabank [1] - | 11:13, 54:29 | Senior [4] | 94:15, 94:22 | 103:17 | slightly [2] |
| 111:8 | $\begin{gathered} 55: 20 \\ \text { secti } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 18,61: 18 \\ & 67: 7,106: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { shall }[7]-80: 7, \\ 123 \cdot 25 \quad 124 \cdot 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { similar [5] - } \\ & 20.77 \quad 48.9 \quad 68.1 \end{aligned}$ | $34: 6,87: 5$ <br> slipped $[1]$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { seamless [1] } \\ & 38: 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 28:7, } 36:$ | 67:7, | $124: 19,124: 26$ | 29:27, 48:9, 68:1, $68: 12,71: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { slipped [1] } \\ & 87: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Sean [2]-61:12, | sections [1] - | 49:20, 83:5, 83:8, | 125:15, 125:27 | simple [4] - | slow [2] - 29:23, |
| $138: 21$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8: 26 \\ \text { sec } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129: 9,131: 3, \\ & 131: 23,134: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { share [1] - 77:9 } \\ & \text { shelf [5] - 86:25, } \end{aligned}$ | $38: 18,42: 15$ | $56: 5$ |
| 96:12, 96:22, | 78:25, 105:27 | separate [5] - | 86:28, 101:23, | single [5] - | $22: 20,22: 21$ |
| 123:27, 126:12 | sectors [2] | 44:25, 51:4, | 105:7 | 24:27, 24:29 | 25:6, 27:26, |
| seater [2] - | 106:1, 106:2 | 80:26, 80:27 | shelf-type [1] - | 28:6, 28:13, 75:5 | 27:28, 72:10, |
| 126:20, 127:25 | sectors' [1] | 125:9 | 105:7 | single-storey [3] | 79:2, 87:12, 94:9, |
| seaters [4] - | 101:18 | September [1] - | Shelly [2] - | - 24:27, 24:29, | 94:10, 94:12, |
| 126:21, 128:7, | secure [1] - | 139:6 | 28:29, 34:21 | 28:6 | 94:24, 94:28, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 129:19, 134:27 } \\ & \text { seats [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $10: 14$ secul | septic [2]-92:9 serious [3] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shelton [1] - } \\ & 112: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sinn }[1]-98: 16 \\ & \text { sister }[1]-2: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 5,105: 25 \\ & 126: 3,127: 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| $128: 3,129: 20$ second [27] - | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 3,9: 28,10: 19, \\ & 10: 20,19: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39: 7,72: 7,121: 9 \\ \text { serves }[1]-5: 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { shelved [1] - } \\ & 86: 27 \end{aligned}$ | sit [3]-29:21, | 137:4 <br> smart [1] - 45:28 |
| 2:21, 34:18, | $\text { see }[55]-17: 1,$ | service [5] - | shop [1] - | site [18]-23:1, | smoother [1] - |
| 41:27, 45:9, | 18:7, 19:27, | 13:24, 17:17, | 138:13 | 23:3, 23:4, 23:8, | 38:15 |
| 55:29, 56:23, | 19:29, 23:8, | $75: 29,76: 29$ | short [3] - 24:12, | 23:20, 23:22, | Snell [7]-34:19, |
| 57:2, 60:20, | 23:11, 23:12, | 130:6 | 124:22, 127:13 | 24:16, 31:16, | 37:24, 55:8, |
| 72:13, 111:14, | 25:8, 25:22, | Service [1] | shortage [1] - | 33:10, 37:10, | 56:29, 131:11, |
| 112:8, 112:18, | 25:27, 26:7, | 96:23 | 77:14 | 42:29, 43:26, | 138:19, 139:17 |
| 113:21, 113:29, | 26:17, 26:28, | Service/Heads | shortly [1] - | 48:16, 48:19, | SNELL [5] - |
| 114:7, 114:18, | 27:6, 27:29, 29:4, | $[1]-13: 17$ | 17:17 | $68: 26,112: 14$ | 34:20, 57:1, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:19, 114:27, } \\ & \text { 115:15, 116:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 11,43: 5 \\ & 43: 10,43: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { services [5] - } \\ 10: 13,46: 2,69: 7, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { shot [2]-26:21, } \\ & 33: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:4 } \\ & \text { sites [2]- 26:1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:16, 138:20, } \\ & \text { 139:15 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 116:16, 117:3, | $44: 6,44: 13$ | $130: 20,130: 24$ | shouting [2] - | 66:7 | social [31] - |
| 117:27, 118:1, | 44:14, 45:17, | Services [3] - | 41:1, 41:2 | sits [2]-73:12, | 24:26, 31:18, |
| 135:10, 139:16, | $49: 3,52: 27,53: 7$ | $1: 19,6: 28,17: 5$ | show [1] - 47:12 | 105:5 | $31: 28,32: 6,$ |
| 139:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 7,56: 27,57: 6, \\ & 58: 20,64: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s e t }}[15]-11: 7,$ | showed [1] - | sitting [4] - | 32:15, 32:21, <br> $35 \cdot 9,36 \cdot 23,38 \cdot 5$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { secondary }[1] \text { - } \\ & 77: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 20,64: 17, \\ & 65: 11,68: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:7, 21:20, } \\ & \text { 21:28, 22:3, 22:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:22 } \\ & \text { shower [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 22,73: 16 \\ & 106: 3,132: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 9,36: 23,38: 5, \\ & 38: 7,38: 12, \end{aligned}$ |


| 38:16, 38:23, | Southern [1] - | 36:20, 46:5, | 135:28 | 81:26, 85:2, 85:3, | 132:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38:24, 40:18, | 136:27 | 54:28 | arted [8] - | 117:8, 128:5, | street [2] - |
| 44:5, 50:11, 55:7, | SPA [2] - 67:4, | sports [1] - | 30:27, 42:3, | 128:7 | 25:17, 27:1 |
| 57:23, 57:26, | 68:24 | 32:28 | $50: 25,51: 21$ | stock [1] - 10:10 | Street [1] - 96:19 |
| 70:14, 70:21, | space [7] - | spotted [1] - | 73:22, 82:28, | stocks [1] - 9:28 | strength [1] - |
| 78:21, 78:26, | 23:13, 23:15, | 20:24 | 97:14 | stone [1] - 39:19 | 49:21 |
| 89:26, 89:27, | 24:5, 24:15, | sprawl [1] - | starting [1] - | stop [4]-54:21, | strengths [1] |
| 90:2, 91:26, 94:6, | 26:12, 46:23, | 107:19 | 105:2 | 72:25, 78:20, | 65:12 |
| 98:3 | 50:18 | square [1] - | starved [1] - | 137:2 | stress [2] - |
| society [2] - | Spacial [1] - | 90:16 | 47:22 | store [2]-32:28, | 103:6, 120:26 |
| $69: 4,92: 24$ | 65:26 | squeeze [1] - | State [2]-67:10, | $138: 14$ | strict [1] - 10:9 |
| socioeconomi | SPAs [2] | 137:26 | 109:22 | stored [1] - 9:6 | strikes [1] - |
| c [1] - 68:23 | 100:27, 105:3 | staff [19] - 2:26, | state [3]-28:17, | storey [4] - | 78:13 |
| soil [1]-27: <br> soldiered $[1]$ | Spatial [5] 59•1, 59•28 | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 29,9: 15,10: 16, \\ & 18: 23,19: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $96: 28,132: 2$ | 24:27, 24:29, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stringent }[1] \text { - } \\ & 19 \cdot 28 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 32: 14 \\ & \text { solely }[1]-73: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 63:20, 106:19 spatial [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 13,40: 1 \\ & 40: 16,41: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $12: 14$ <br> statement [4] - | story [1] - 29:22 straight [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { strong [6] - 10:8, } \\ & \text { 14:21, 49:20, } \end{aligned}$ |
| solution [4] - | 64:9, 64:10, | 45:26, 46:3, | 6:12, 30:8, 86:26, | 56:20 | 54:18, 54:22, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 40: 5,54: 8,138: 4, \\ & 138: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 64:28, 66:16, } \\ & 132: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 13,61: 15 \\ & 89: 8,89: 9,13 \end{aligned}$ | 86:28 | strategic [12] - | 119:25 |
| solutions [2] - | speaker [2] | 138:21 |  | $59: 10,59: 26$ | 64:28 |
| $30: 27,52: 14$ | $35: 25,130: 9$ | stage [11]-19:2, | States [1] | 63:5, 63:22, | strongly [2] - |
| someone [5] - | speakers [5] <br> 35:21, 36:21, | 19:21, 48:15, | 121:28 | 66:10, 67:8, | $90: 7,131: 10$ |
| $\text { 89:20, } 9$ | 36:22, | $69: 19,69: 21$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { st } \\ 10: \end{gathered}$ | $90: 23,100: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { struck [1] - } \\ & 87: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| 119:12 | 134:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:23, 74:3, } \\ & 105: 29,109: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stats [2]-21:12, } \\ & 133: 10 \end{aligned}$ | Strategic [3] - <br> 35:18, 65:22, | structure [2] 66:27, 126:29 |
| 87:8 | 136:27 | stages [2] - | status | 67:24 | struggling [2] - |
| sometimes [2] - | special [6] - 6:9, | $63: 2,63: 10$ | 57:25, 126:21, | Strategies [2] - | $78: 6,78: 12$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 93:17, 101:6 } \\ & \text { somewhat [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 27,25: 17, \\ & 28: 5,55: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stairs [1] - 27:26 } \\ & \text { stakeholder [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:20, 134:21, } \\ & \text { 135:16, 137:22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 59:29, 61:5 } \\ & \text { strategies }[3]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { study [1] - 65:16 } \\ & \text { stuff [13] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| 106:16, 127:10 <br> somewhere [6] - | 55:26 <br> Special [1] | 66:19 <br> stakeholders [1] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Statutory }[3] \text { - } \\ 14: 4,14: 7,14: 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 73:10, 101:20, } \\ & \text { 107:1 } \end{aligned}$ | 18:11, 19:10 |
| 18:14, 46:24, | 58:10 | $-107: 23$ | statutory [13] - | Strategy [8] - | 88:20, 89:20, |
| $86: 11,98: 23$ | specific [7] | stamped [1] - | $51: 5,51: 19,65: 6$ | $24: 25,53: 14$ | $92: 13,93: 1$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 102:14, 135:26 } \\ \text { soon [3] - 60:1, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 17,52: 12, \\ & 65: 6,72: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23: 6 \\ \text { star } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 5,84: 25 \\ & 85: 10,104: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 10,59: 2 \\ & 63: 20,65: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93: 13,102: 1 \\ & 129: 24,131: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| $63: 17,117: 26$ | 120:16, 120:18 | 70:11, | $105: 29,106: 2$ | $66: 21,106: 19$ | $131: 24$ |
| Sorcha [2] - | 120:20 | stand-out [1] - | 106:22, 106:23, | strategy [46] - | subdivided [1] - |
| $61: 11,116: 23$ sorry [6] - 39:2 | specifically [1] - 106:3 |  | 122:24 stay | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:29, 63:28, } \\ & 64: 2,64: 7,64 \end{aligned}$ | 59:9 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { sorry [6] - } \\ 87: 16,105: 2 \end{array}$ | specified | stanc 26:24, | $\begin{array}{r} \text { stay }[3]-78 \\ 78: 12,131: 2 \end{array}$ | 64:9, 64:21, | $\begin{array}{r} \text { subject }[5] \text { - } \\ 52: 20,57: 19, \end{array}$ |
| 113:25, 130:11, | 36:7 | tanding |  | 64:27, 64:28 | 58:25, 68:13 |
| 136:6 | specifi | 3:12, 3:15, 3:24 | 131: | 64:29, 65:12 | 77:22 |
| sort [9]-23:13, | 136:17 | 4:2, 117:8, | stenograph | 65:19, 66:16, | subjects [1] - |
| 24:7, 48:27, | spend [2] - 45:3, | 117:10, 119:12 | [1] - 132:29 | 66:17, 66:20, | 77:18 |
| 74:19, 77:11, | 74:23 | standing [3] - | stenographic | 66:23, 66:25, | submission [20] |
| 80:6, 91:15, | pending [7] - | 28:1, 72:25, | [1] - 1:21 | 67:1, 67:2, 67:14, | -63:8, 82:4, |
| 114:12, 114:13 | 11:10, 11:15, | 103:19 | Stenography ${ }_{[1]}$ | 67:18, 68:4, 69:2, | 108:21, 111:10, |
| sorted [1] - 54:9 | 11:17, 11:26, | stands [3] | - 1:19 | 73:13, 73:15, | 115:4, 126:27 |
| sorts [1]-37:12 | 12:11, 13:3, | 93:15, 99:17 | STENOGRAPH | 74:11, 74:16, | 126:28, 127:3 |
| sound [2] - | 13:11 | 123:18 | Y [1] - 1:26 | 74:20, 79:19, | 128:21, 128:22, |
| 27:11, 72:1 | spent [4] - | stark [2] - 34:26, | step [1] - 94:7 | $93: 8,100: 14$ | 132:19, 133:6, |
| sounds [1] - | 35:23, 90:20, | 104:28 | stepping [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:22, 101:24, } \\ & \text { 102:2. 102:3. } \end{aligned}$ | 133:27, 133:28, |
| 127:8 | 94:14, 120:19 | start [13] - 2:5, | $39: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:2, 102:3, } \\ & \text { 103:9, 103:18, } \end{aligned}$ | 135:12, 135:14, |
| South [2]- | split [2] - | 29:16, 31:1, 34:3, | steps [3] - | 103:9, 103:18, | 136:3, 137:3, |
| $134: 28,138: 12$ <br> south [3] - | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 126:20, } 128: 4 \\ \text { splitting [1] - } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 14,38: 9 \\ & 51: 16,52: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 24,28: 8 \\ & 72: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 104:5, 104:19, } \\ & \text { 105:5, 105:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $137: 14,137: 21$ <br> submissions |
|  |  |  | 72: | 106:10, 107:4, |  |
| 100:6 | spoken [3] - | 93:11, 122:29, | $43: 3,45: 17,76: 3$ |  | 69:29, 107:29, |


| 109:21, 110:12 | 56:10, 57:2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110:20, 112:2, | 63:24, 93:24 | opsis [1] | 88:1 | 114:29, 115:10, | 126:11, 126:21 |
| 121:20, 122:25, | 94:2, 118:3, | 109: | ologically | 115:17, 115:25, | 128:7, 133:18, |
| 128:12, 133:2, | 120:2, 120:4 | system [8] | [1] - 88:20 | 116:8, 117:6, | 133:24, 134:27, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 133:5 } \\ & \text { submit [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | supported [2] - | $7: 15,7: 20,7: 25$ | Technology/ | 118:7, 139:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:17, 137:4 } \\ & \text { three-bedroom } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 139:9 } \\ & \text { submitted [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | supporting [7] - | 133:19, 135:2 | 8:20 | 67:13 | [9] - 23:9, 23:21 |
|  | 34:28, 36:26 | systemless [1] - | techy [1] - 88:20 | themes [3] - | 23:25, 23:26, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:19, } 139: 8 \\ & \text { substantial }[3] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | 37:3, 39:21, | 8:9 | por | 15:13, 67:18, | 23:27, 23:29, |
|  | 44:18, 64:13 |  | 43:22, 43:26 | 103:16 | 26:5, 26:23, |
| $\begin{gathered} 7: 15,8: 1,13: 10 \\ \text { substantially }[3] \\ -12: 1,24: 5,32: 2 \end{gathered}$ | $85: 11$ <br> supportive [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 15,8: 29,9: 4, \\ & 9: 6,9: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $48: 17,52: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { themselves [1] - } \\ & \text { 26:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 55:22 } \\ & \text { three-sea } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 27:22, 50:23 |  | ten [6]-23:18, | THEN [1] - | [3] - 126:21, |
| - 12:1, 24:5, 32:2 <br> success [1] - | ose |  | 25:24, $26: 9$ | 139:24 | 128:7, 134:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16: 24 \\ & \text { successful }[3] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $21: 2,58: 19$ 59:16, 61:1, |  | $37: 25,49: 28$, $58: 5$ | there'll [1] - $90: 28$ | thrilled [1] - 50:5 <br> thrive [1]-83:27 |
| 69:6, 7 | 61:19, 62:29, | T-junc | ena | therefore [1] | throughout ${ }^{\text {[7] }}$ |
|  | 63:2, 63:19, 66:6, |  | 27:11, 118:20 | 43:11 | 11:6, 36:11, 51:4, |
| 86:26suffers | 69:4, 72:11, | table [2] - 103:1 | tendency [1] | they've [2] - | 63:3, 63:10, |
|  | 76:26, 79:24 | AKEN [5] | 46:21 | 42:8, 71:2 | 100:9, 107:7 |
| 92:24 | 87:22, 90:11, | 55:12, 58:4, | ende | thinking [4] | tied [3]-19:9, |
| sugar [1] - 92:16 suggest [5] - | 98:27, 98:29, | 111:27, 113:13, | 13:23, 17:14, | 81:27, 94:17 | 74:23, 74:28 |
|  | 100:14, 102:8, | $11$ | 17:15 | 96:21, 129:13 | tier [1] - 99:22 |
| 98:24, 102:3, | 108:7 | talks [2]-62:24, | tends [1] - | thinks [2]- | tight [2]-58:19, |
|  | supposed [1] - | 62:25 <br> Tallaght [1] - | 104:26 | 88:15, 131:20 | 127:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 135: 7 \\ & \text { suggested }[5]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:9 } \\ & \text { surround }[1] \end{aligned}$ | 86:2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { tenets }[2]- \\ 11: 26,12: 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { third }[4]-52: 17, \\ & 52: 20,72: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TII [5] - 85:6, } \\ \text { 85:28, 86:19, } \end{array}$ |
| 82:17, 98:16, | 38:12 | Tallon's [2] | tenure [1]-16:1 | 110:19 | $86: 24,112: 3$ |
| 114:12, 133:3, 136:3 | surrounding [2] <br> - $27 \cdot 7$ 29.3 | 31:14, 49:7 <br> tandem [1] | terms [32] - | thirds [2] - | timeframe [2] 13.3, 127:6 |
| suggesting [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & -27: 7,29: 3 \\ & \text { surveillance }[2] \end{aligned}$ | 65:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 10,19: 6 \\ & 19: 13,60: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62: 14,62: 25 \\ \text { thirteen [1] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:3, 127:6 } \\ & \text { timelines [2] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 97:6, 132:22 } \\ & \text { suggestion [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -25: 16,27: 1 \\ \text { survive }[2]- \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tank}[3]-92: 9, \\ & 92: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 25,61: 5 \\ & 61: 21,94: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $9: 13$ <br> Thornhill [3] | $\begin{gathered} \text { 11:6, } 59: 2 \\ \text { timing [2] } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:19, 95:26 } \\ & \text { suggests [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | 78:6, 78:10 | target [3] | 94:11, 94:14 | 3:29, 20:19 | 50:28, 52 : |
|  | suspect [1] | 21:19, 21:27 | 101:13, 101:19, | 119:7 | Timmons [1] - |
| 111:18 <br> suit [1] - 40:5 suitable [1] - | $43: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:28 } \\ & \text { targets }[5] \end{aligned}$ | 101:28, 102:8, | THORNHILL [7] | $5: 13$ |
|  | suspended [1] - | $62: 10,70: 25$ | 102:10, 102:23, | $-4: 1,4: 9,20: 20$ | today [29]-2:29, |
| $13$ | $86$ | 75:22, 100:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 103: 1,103: 20 \\ & 103: 24,104: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:9, 119:9, } \\ & \text { 120:21, 121:1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 27,24: 21,25: 6, \\ & 31: 10.33: 19 . \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 86:20 | 102:23 | 104:29, 108:16, | thoughts [2] | $37: 8,39: 14$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53:21 } \\ & \text { suits [2] - 46:18, } \end{aligned}$ | uspension [7] | asked [2] | 108:26, 108:27, | 130:14, 132:12 | 39:20, 40:27, |
|  | - 3:12, 3:15, 3:24, | 63:21, 122:16 | 122:28, 124:4, | thousand [4] - | 43:22, 47:8, 50:2, |
| $46: 19$ | 4:2, 117:7, | ks [1] - 10:17 | 125:6, 127:10, | 39:13, 46:17, | 51:6, 52:11, |
|  | 117:10, 119:12 | Tax [3]-9:20, | 128:2, 133:19, | 124:9, 124:18 | 53:29, 58:15, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:28 } \\ & \text { summary }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | suspensions [1] | 9:23, 10:7 | $135: 1,136: 18$ | thousand-fold | 59:28, 63:16, |
|  | - 109:9 | $\mathbf{a x}[1]-10: 9$ | terrace [1] - | [1]-46:17 | 63:19, 82:17, |
| 28:28 | stain [5] | ion [1] - | 27:18 | thousands [1] - | 90:5, 93:21, |
| summer [3] - | 94:29, 95:14, | 97:28 | terraced [3] - | 95:4 | 94:18, 95:28, |
| 7:2, 106:15, | 125:22, 126:3, | teachers [1] - | 23:24, 25:14 | three [35] | 97:12, 99:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:16 } \\ & \text { superb [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 126: 5 \\ \text { susta } \end{gathered}$ | ```78:6 team [3]-34:22,``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { terraces }[2] \text { - } \\ 24 \cdot 12 \quad 28 \cdot 8 \end{gathered}$ | $10: 16,23: 9$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 128:12, 138:29 } \\ \text { today's [1] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| $17: 20$ <br> supervision [1] - | 59:26, 64:4, 82:7, | 39:15, 50:10 | territorially | 23:26, 23:27, | $51: 15$ |
|  | 100:19 | Team [5] - | 122:13 | 23:29, 26:5, | together [14] - |
|  | sustainably [1] - | 20:22, 34:22, | $\text { test }[3]-111: 20,$ | 26:23, 28:24, | 22:29, 23:25, |
| supply [1] - | 69:10 | 40:17, 116:23 | 112:21, 116:6 | 32:28, 37:26, | $25: 15,46: 15$ |
| 138:27support [16] - | switching [1] - | technical [3] - 8:29, 99:12, | THE [19]-2:1, | 53:7, 53:19, | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 28,51: 29, \\ & 52: 2,53: 19, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 128:27 | 101:8 | 58:2, 91:21, | 55:22, 59:9, | 52:2, 53:19, 58:19. 101:6. |
| $6: 29,15: 22,16: 4$ 18:1, 36:3, 42:28, | Sylvester [1] - | Technical [2] - | 110:18, 110:27, | 59:10, 59:18, | 58:19, 101:6, |
| 18:1, 36:3, 42:28, $45: 24,46: 5,$ | $2: 10$ | $67: 11,105: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 111:6, 112:11, } \\ & \text { 113:23, 114:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 19,67: 2,78: 5, \\ & 78: 6,86: 6,117: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:22, 109:26, } \\ & \text { 128:17, 132:23 } \end{aligned}$ |


| toilets [1] - | 124:22, 124:27, | 36:5 | 102:11, 117:12, | 24:24, 37:12 | 24:21, 24:22, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26:20 | 125:1, 125:16, | transit [1] - | 119:20, 119:24, |  | $25: 10,25: 2$ |
| Tom [15]-2:27, | 125:20, 125:24, | 10:13 | $128: 16$ | U | $27: 3,27: 28,35: 1$ |
| 4:22, 5:14, 5:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 29,126: 2, \\ & 130 \cdot 4 \quad 130 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ | transition [1] | $\begin{gathered} \text { turn }[3]-24: 2 \\ 29 \cdot 28131 \cdot 13 \end{gathered}$ |  | $36: 9,38: 16,53: 3$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:28, 17:4, } \\ & 71: 29,127: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:4, 130:5, } \\ & \text { 136:24, 136:28, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 38:15 } \\ & \text { transparency } \end{aligned}$ | 29:28, 131:13 turned [1] - | UCD [1]-91:12 <br> ultimately [1] - | 57:8, 137:9 <br> unless [1] - |
| 127:16, 130:12, | 138:11 ${ }^{1}$ | $[2]-5: 5,14: 19$ | $42: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ultimately [1] - } \\ & 38: 26 \end{aligned}$ | $118: 12$ |
| 132:19, 133:7, $133: 11,134: 14$, | TOWN [1] - 1:7 | transport [20]- |  | unacceptable | unrealistic [2] |
| $\begin{aligned} & 133: 11,134: 14 \\ & 136: 3 \end{aligned}$ | Town [15] - $12:$ 22:23, 42:4, | 64:3, 66:15, $66: 18,66: 23$ | $53: 3,53: 9,54: 16$ <br> twenty [1] - | [2] - 7:28, 43:12 | 71:11, 108:5 |
| Tommy [2] - | 42:16, 75:26, | 72:6, 72:20, | $129: 11$ | unanimous [1] - | [1] - 23:14 |
| 19:23, 55:12 | 79:3, 79:4, 87:12, | 72:23, 72:28 | twinne | 135: | unsustainable |
| TOMMY [2] | 90:13, 90:15, | 73:3, 74:16, | 119:21 | authorised | [1] - 33:1 |
| 2:17, 19:24 | 98:22, 110:29 | 74:17, 74:20 | two [63]-23:21, | [1]-9: | unused [1] |
| Tommy's [1] - | 138:10 | 76:7, 76:13, | $23: 26,23: 28$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unchanged }[1] \text { - } \\ & 126 \cdot 29 \end{aligned}$ | 23:13 |
| 39:28 | town/small [1] - | 76:24, 77:5 | 24:1, 24:27, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126:29 } \\ & \text { under [16] - 4:4, } \end{aligned}$ | unusual [1] - |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \hline \text { tomorr } \\ \text { 126:25 } \end{array}$ | towns [31] | $\begin{aligned} & 79: 19,96: 26, \\ & 102: 9,134: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 10,25: 22, \\ & 26: 5,26: 7,26: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $7: 11,7: 12,8: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134:10 } \\ & \text { up }[92]-4: 4,4: 7, \end{aligned}$ |
| es [1] - 61:25 | 45:12, 45:15 | Transport | 28:11, 28:13 | 52:17, 52:1 | 4:9, 4:29, 9:7 |
| took[4]-14:11, | 45:16, 45:20 | 66:18 | 28:20, 30:7, | 53:25, 67:2 | 14:13, 20:9 |
| 30:12, 31:21, | 64:11, 70:26 | transportation | 30:12, 35:1, 35:6, | 68:14, 78:11, <br> 105:6, 107:24 | 21:19, 21:21 |
| 49:21 | $70: 29,71: 1,71: 9$ | [1] - 102:26 | $35: 23,36: 7,40: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:6, 107:24, } \\ & \text { 117:24, 118:24, } \end{aligned}$ | $21: 26,22: 8,22: 9$ |
| $\text { tool }[1]-18: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 18,73: 7,79: 3, \\ & 81: 28,82: 1, \end{aligned}$ | trap [1] - 87:2 travel [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 4,51: 27, \\ & 51: 29,52: 8, \end{aligned}$ | 122:23, 125:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 17,24: 9, \\ & 27: 18,27: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| 47:9, 53:17 | 82:29, 83:2, 83:3, | 69:10, 132: | 53:23, 62:14 | underground [1] | 32:3, 33:23 |
| 66:28, 78:14, | 83:4, 83:25, | travelling [1] | $62: 21,62: 25$ | ```- 74:18 underpinned [1]``` | $33: 28,34: 4$ |
| 93:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 89: 14,94: 9, \\ & 94: 10,94: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 91:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 63: 10,65: 14 \\ & 70: 10,70: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { underpinned [1] } \\ & -93: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 19,37: 13, \\ & 38: 25,40: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| 78:14 | 108:6, 108:25 | 37:1 | 72:5, 72:25 | understood [1] - | 44:20, 44:2 |
| topnotch [1] | 122:15, 124:12 | trend [2]-62:9 | 72:26, 85:23 | 11: | 46:21, 48:21 |
| 37:28 | 124:17, 129:29 | 107:16 | 86:6, 86:24 | undertaken [2] - | 48:23, 48:28 |
| torn [2]-95:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tracked [1] } \\ & 138 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ | trends [1] | 86:29, 87:17 | 8:4, 122:8 <br> underway [1] - | $50: 19,54: 21$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 105:14 } \\ & \text { total }[6]-21: 29, \end{aligned}$ | 138:17 <br> traditional [2] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100:5 } \\ & \text { tribute }[4]-24: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 24,93: 11, \\ & 94: 22,95: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $13: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 14,57: 24, \\ & 57: 25,59: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 62:25, 123:7, | 25:14, 64:25 | 41:11, 41:13, | 95:22, 97:11, | unfair [1] - 97:27 | $60: 17,61: 1$ |
| 123:11, 129:8, | traffic [20] <br> 30.8, 33.3 | $41: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:27, 113:15 } \\ & \text { 122:1, 122:26 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unfortunate [1] - } \\ & 56: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 61:28, 63:6, 67:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 129:28 } \\ & \text { totally }[3] . \end{aligned}$ | $33: 25,37: 18$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { tried }[6]-40: 15, \\ 41: 8,51: 4,56: 2, \end{array}$ | $\text { 122:29, } 126: 4$ | unfortunately | $71: 27,72: 1$ |
| 33:14, 93:10, | $40: 10,43: 25$ | $90: 22,91: 10$ | $126: 20,128: 5,$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[8]-38: 20,40: 6,} \\ & 91: 27,92: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $72: 26,78: 16$ |
| 131:27 <br> touch | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 12,52: 15, \\ & 52: 17,52: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { trouble [2] - } \\ 53: 8,54: 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:6, 128:12, } \\ & \text { 129:19, 130:18, } \end{aligned}$ | 93:9, 101:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 79:20, 80:20, } \\ & \text { 81:7, 81:10, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $61: 29,99: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 29,54: 6,54: 7, \\ & 54: 19,54: 21, \end{aligned}$ | trucks [3]-34:3, | $\begin{aligned} & 134: 26,136: 13, \\ & 138: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $127: 17,128: 14$ unhappy [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 81: 27,82: 12 \\ & 84: 1,84: 3,84 \end{aligned}$ |
| touched [1] - | 79:27, 79:28, | 34:4, 49:3 | two-bedro | $83: 14$ | $84: 15,84: 2$ |
| touching [1] - | $86: 5,91: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { true [1] - 1:20 } \\ & \text { truly [2] - 47:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $[6]-23: 26,23: 28$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unholy [1] - } \\ & 128.25 \end{aligned}$ | 85:20, 86:5, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:19 } \\ & \text { tourism [4] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { trail }[1]-19: 29 \\ & \text { train }[1]-72: 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 129:15 } \\ & \text { truth }[1]-86: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 10,26: 5, \\ & 53: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 128:25 <br> unified [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 16,88: 11, \\ & 88: 27,89: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
| 73:4, 73:5, | Training [1] - | try [17] - 44:28, | two-bedrooms | 96:28 | 89:17, 92:7, |
| 110:20, 115:26 | $15: 6$ training [2] - | $45: 6,46: 22,57: 9$ | [1] - 26:18 <br> two-storey [1] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { unique [2] - } \\ 25: 18,79: 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 17,95: 24, \\ & 96: 2,96: 17, \end{aligned}$ |
| tourists [1] - | training [2] $15: 8,15: 11$ | $59: 5,63: 6,63: 11$ | two-storey [1] - $24: 27$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 18,79: 24 \\ & \text { Unit [2] - } 7: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 99:16, 101:23, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:2 } \\ & \text { towards [4] - } \end{aligned}$ | 15:8, 15:11 <br> tram [1] - 86:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 24,68: 28 \\ & 69: 17,77: 28 \end{aligned}$ | two-thirds [2] | 16:4 | 103:3, 103:13, |
| 13:20, 76:16, | transactions [1] | $94: 18,99: 9$ | $62: 14,62: 25$ | unit [5] - 25:24, | $105: 14,107: 5$ |
| $100: 21,120: 9$ | $\begin{array}{r} -10: 11 \\ \text { trans } \end{array}$ | 100:20, 100:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { type }[10]-25: 17, \\ & 28: 20,74: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 23,55: 17 \\ & 56: 8,57: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107:21, 108:6, } \\ & \text { 118:20, 119:2, } \end{aligned}$ |
| town [24]- |  | 107:21, 107:2 | 78:27, 82:15, | units [23] | 119:11, 119:13, |
| 57:22, 71:6, $76 \cdot 22,81.5$ |  | trying [15] - <br> 40:5,52.2,5 | 83:22, 105:7, | $22: 18,22: 27$ | $120: 10,120: 24$ |
| 76:22, 81:5, |  | $40: 5,52: 2,53: 18$ | 129:13, 129:24, | $22: 28,23: 7,$ | 128:2, 131:21 |
| 87:11, $87: 14$, $90 \cdot 19,90 \cdot 22$ | 10:14, 26:19, $125: 10$ | $69: 1,69: 3,86: 7$, $87 \cdot 25,89 \cdot 16$, | 130:6 | 23:20, 23:22, | 131:27, 132:15, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90:19, 90:22, } \\ & 91: 6,96: 9,124: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 125:10 } \\ & \text { transferred [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 87:25, 89:16, } \\ & 91: 9,102: 8, \end{aligned}$ | types [2] - | $23: 24,24: 19$ | 133:20, 135:28, |




